



Food and Agriculture
Organization of the
United Nations



International
Plant Protection
Convention

REPORT

Bureau of the Commission on Phytosanitary Measures

**Rome, Italy
16-20 June 2025**

IPPC Secretariat

The designations employed and the presentation of material in this information product do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) concerning the legal or development status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. The mention of specific companies or products of manufacturers, whether or not these have been patented, does not imply that these have been endorsed or recommended by FAO in preference to others of a similar nature that are not mentioned.

The views expressed in this information product are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of FAO.

© FAO, 2025



Some rights reserved. This work is made available under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 IGO licence (CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO; <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/igo/legalcode>).

Under the terms of this licence, this work may be copied, redistributed and adapted for non-commercial purposes, provided that the work is appropriately cited. In any use of this work, there should be no suggestion that FAO endorses any specific organization, products or services. The use of the FAO logo is not permitted. If the work is adapted, then it must be licensed under the same or equivalent Creative Commons licence. If a translation of this work is created, it must include the following disclaimer along with the required citation: "This translation was not created by the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). FAO is not responsible for the content or accuracy of this translation. The original English edition shall be the authoritative edition."

Disputes arising under the licence that cannot be settled amicably will be resolved by mediation and arbitration as described in Article 8 of the licence except as otherwise provided herein. The applicable mediation rules will be the mediation rules of the World Intellectual Property Organization www.wipo.int/amc/en/mediation/rules and any arbitration will be conducted in accordance with the Arbitration Rules of the United Nations Commission on International Trade Law (UNCITRAL).

Third-party materials. Users wishing to reuse material from this work that is attributed to a third party, such as tables, figures or images, are responsible for determining whether permission is needed for that reuse and for obtaining permission from the copyright holder. The risk of claims resulting from infringement of any third-party-owned component in the work rests solely with the user.

Sales, rights and licensing. FAO information products are available on the FAO website (fao.org/publications) and can be purchased through publications-sales@fao.org. Requests for commercial use should be submitted via: www.fao.org/contact-us/licence-request. Queries regarding rights and licensing should be submitted to: copyright@fao.org

CONTENTS

1. Opening of the meeting.....	5
2. Meeting Arrangements.....	5
2.1 Election of the rapporteur.....	5
2.2 Adoption of the agenda.....	5
3. Administrative matters.....	5
3.1 Travel and funding.....	5
4. Updates from the IPPC secretariat.....	5
4.1 Standard Setting Unit and Standards Committee updates.....	5
4.2 Implementation and Facilitation Unit / Implementation and Capacity Development Committee (IC) updates.....	5
5. Roles and responsibilities for bureau members.....	6
5.1 Review and selection of bureau representative in CPM focus groups and subsidiary bodies.....	6
6. Review of CPM-19 and arrangements for CPM-20.....	6
6.1 Feedback from CPM-19.....	6
6.2 Updates on the call for topics.....	7
6.3 CPM-20 arrangements.....	7
6.4 Side sessions/science session/poster session.....	7
7. Mid-term review and evaluation of the IPPC strategic framework development agenda items (DAIs).....	8
7.1 DAI 1: Harmonization of Electronic Data Exchange: Review on sustainable funding process and update on the MDTF.....	8
7.2 DAI 2: Commodity and pathway-specific ISPMs.....	8
7.3 DAI 3: Management of e-commerce and postal and courier pathways.....	8
7.4 DAI 4: Developing Guidance for the Use of Third-Party Entities.....	9
7.5 DAI 5: Strengthening pest outbreak alert and response systems.....	9
7.6 DAI6: Assessment and management of climate change impacts on plant health.....	9
7.7 DAI7: Global Phytosanitary research coordination.....	9
7.8 DAI8: Diagnostic laboratory network.....	10
7.9 Stocktake discussion and next iteration of the SF.....	10
8. IPPC ePhyto Solution.....	11
8.1 ePhyto implementation update.....	11
8.2 ePhyto Solution Roadmap.....	11
8.3 Follow up on the gap analysis of in-house IT expert for ePhyto, and for the new APP work.....	12
9 Africa Phytosanitary Programme.....	12
9.1 APP implementation update.....	12
9.2 CPM-19 follow ups on APP.....	12
10. Update from other CPM focus groups.....	13
10.1 Update from the CPM Focus Group on Plant Health in the context of One Health.....	13
10.2 Update from the CPM Focus Group on Sea Containers.....	13

10.3 CPM Focus Group on Safe Provision of Food and Other Humanitarian Aid.....	13
11. Antimicrobial Resistance.....	14
11.1 Implications of AMR information gathered in the InFARM database for future CPM consideration.....	14
12. IPPC Workshops.....	14
12.1 Process for determination of IPPC workshop arrangements and guidance for CPs on hosting IPPC meetings traditionally held in Rome.....	14
12.2 Update on arrangements for the Systems Approaches workshop.....	14
13. Strategic Planning Group (SPG).....	15
13.1 Review of submissions from the expression of interest for funded participants.....	15
13.2 Discussion ideas for the agenda and format of the SPG.....	15
13.3 Is it time to rethink ISPMs?.....	16
13.4 Raising the profile of the IPPC.....	16
14. International Day of Plant Health (IDPH).....	16
14.1 Report from 2025 IDPH.....	16
14.2 Planning for 2026 IDPH multi-year strategy to identify hosts, champions and themes.....	17
15. IPPC Plant Health Campus.....	17
16. Any other business.....	18
16.1 Bureau meeting duration.....	18
16.2 IPPC visibility and communication strategy.....	18
16.3 October bureau meeting.....	18
Appendix 1: Agenda.....	19
Appendix 2: Documents List.....	22
Appendix 3: Participants list.....	23
Appendix 4: Roles and responsibilities assigned to CPM Bureau members post CPM Bureau June meeting.....	25
Appendix 5: Membership list of the CPM Bureau Focus Group on Plant Health in the Context of One Health.....	27
Appendix 6: CPM Focus Group on Safe Provision of Food and Other Humanitarian Aid Membership and contact information.....	29

1. Opening of the meeting

- [1] The June 2025 meeting of the Commission on Phytosanitary Measures (CPM) Bureau (hereafter referred to as the “bureau”) was opened on 16 June 2025, at FAO Headquarters in Rome, Italy.
- [2] The FAO Director, Plant Production and Protection Division (Yurdi YASMI) and the Chairperson (Samuel BISHOP, Europe) welcomed participants and introduced the agenda. Emphasis was placed on the importance of collaboration in between the Bureau and the IPPC secretariat, efficient use of available resources and the need for sustained and strategic resource mobilization to support priority initiatives.

2. Meeting Arrangements

2.1 Election of the rapporteur

- [3] The bureau elected a rapporteur for the meeting (Temarama ANGUNA-KAMANA, Southwest Pacific)

2.2 Adoption of the agenda

- [4] The bureau adopted the agenda (Appendix 1).

3. Administrative matters

- [5] The list of documents is attached to this report as Appendix 2.
- [6] The list of participants is attached to this report as Appendix 3.

3.1 Travel and funding

- [7] The IPPC Secretariat (hereafter referred to as the “secretariat”) provided an overview of administrative arrangements, including logistical and travel support procedures for bureau members. Travel support is provided in line with IPPC travel criteria and FAO procedures, covering economy-class airfare, hotel accommodation (PHP Hotel) and daily subsistence allowance. The bureau was reminded to confirm their travel plans promptly to facilitate arrangements, as ticket issuance is subject to confirmation and changes are the traveler’s responsibility.

4. Updates from the IPPC secretariat

4.1 Standard Setting Unit and Standards Committee updates

- [8] The bureau noted the updates from the Standard Setting Unit (SSU) and the Standards Committee (SC). The SSU team lead briefly updated the bureau that the SC at their May 2025 meeting approved two draft ISPMs to be sent for a first round of consultation from 1 July 2025.
- [9] The SC re-elected Sophie PETERSON (Australia) as chairperson to the SC for a second term (three-year term) and Prudence Tonator ATTIPOE (Ghana) as vice-chairperson (three-year term). The SC formed two small working groups of SC members to progress on specific issues but no agreement was made on the activities of such groups yet.
- [10] The bureau:
- (1) *noted* the updates from the SSU and the SC.

4.2 Implementation and Facilitation Unit / Implementation and Capacity Development Committee (IC) updates

- [11] The secretariat provided the bureau with an update of the Implementation and Capacity Development (IC) meeting report. Overall, the IC meeting focused on the evaluation results as well as members’ clear understanding of their roles. The IC noted the paper “Is it Time to Rethink the ISPMs?” The IC

plans to present a paper for the Strategic Planning Group (SPG) and CPM, to outline in detail what was discussed.

[12] Other initiatives discussed included e-commerce, pest risk assessment, capacity-building webinars, and collaboration with partners such as the World Bank and the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA). The IC also requested the translation of guides and training materials into French and Spanish, the exploration for an improved implementation of the system approach tool, and continuation of the work on climate change and One Health (together with colleagues from the Plant Production and Protection Division – NSP).

[13] The chairperson added that since the bureau is on the Phytosanitary Capacity Evaluation (PCE) board, it would be useful to further clarify what is expected from them.

[14] The bureau:

(2) *noted* the updates from the IC.

5. Roles and responsibilities for bureau members

5.1 Review and selection of bureau representative in CPM focus groups and subsidiary bodies

[15] The bureau reviewed and updated the assignment of their roles and responsibilities in CPM focus groups and subsidiary bodies. Where necessary, roles may be shared among two members. The bureau agreed on designated leads for each focus area, with further clarifications to be addressed (as outlined in appendix 4).

[16] The chairperson emphasized the importance of ensuring that incoming bureau members understand their role in supporting CPM focus groups and suggested some information be added to the procedure manual for governance at its next revision.

[17] The Task Force on Topics (TFT) is being paused, but it is still important to have a bureau person assigned on that.

[18] All the members have been assigned to the PCE board on a rotating basis and according to available criteria.

[19] The bureau:

(3) *agreed* to the roles and responsibilities assigned to CPM bureau members as presented in Appendix 4; and

(4) *requested* that the secretariat include information on the roles and responsibilities of bureau members into the *IPPC procedure manual for governance*.

6. Review of CPM-19 and arrangements for CPM-20

6.1 Feedback from CPM-19

[20] The bureau reflected on CPM-19 outcomes and agreed that the CPM-20 agenda should continue to be structured around the IPPC Strategic Framework. The feedback highlighted the need for improved presentation structure, with speakers encouraged to use no more than three slides and be concise in their delivery.

[21] The bureau agreed that some agenda items may be listed for noting only, without presentation, though the floor may still be opened for questions or comments. The secretariat noted the importance of maintaining flexibility in session timing, with adjustments based on discussion flow.

[22] Members emphasized the importance of carefully structuring the placement of agenda items, noting that some items should be categorized appropriately rather than designated as "emerging issues."

[23] The bureau discussed the importance of ensuring a quorum, particularly for report adoption on Friday. This will be highlighted in the CPM invitation and a side session will be planned on Friday morning to retain engagement and attendance.

[24] The bureau:

- (5) *agreed* on the structure of the CPM-20 agenda and that it should remain focused around the strategic framework and suggested improvements based on lessons learned; and
- (6) *agreed* that the secretariat with support from the CPM chairperson would develop a template or guide for speakers regarding the structure of their presentations.

6.2 Updates on the call for topics

[25] The secretariat reported on the 2025 call for topics (83 submissions received), which reopened on 6 May with a revised submission form. Bureau members were invited to attend a related webinar and encouraged to promote submissions within their regions. The bureau requested regional statistics on contributions to help target outreach and increase engagement.

[26] The chairperson asked the secretariat whether it would be possible to have data on the regions sending over topics to know which countries are contributing. Countries that are struggling to contribute should be targeted and supported accordingly. Regional workshops should also address this. The SWP representative tabled a proposal to review the funding allocation for the regions, especially in the SWP, for an increase, in light of the increasing costs of travel. This would allow an increased attendance from its members to the IPPC Regional Workshops.

[27] The bureau:

- (7) *requested* the IPPC secretariat to provide statistics on calls contribution in order to support countries with their submissions at the 2025 IPPC Regional Workshop.

6.3 CPM-20 arrangements

[28] The bureau will receive news about a potential date for the CPM-20 after 27 June 2025.

[29] The bureau discussed the reinstatement of the "Successes and challenges in implementing the IPPC" session. A poster session in the FAO atrium was proposed, possibly in conjunction with a networking event. While such a setting would enhance visibility, issues related to translation and acoustics were noted. A mixed approach was recommended that entailed presentations during plenary followed by an informal atrium session.

[30] The bureau suggested to keep in mind the following when planning CPM-20:

- focus on CPM business first and then move to other topics;
- invite some observer organizations to present their papers and work throughout the week, if time allows, to avoid closing any session early and losing interpretation time;
- omit online speakers but accept recorded messages and reports;
- test pop-up quizzes and interactive polls at the SPG and potentially use them during CPM to enhance engagement; and
- include a poster session in the FAO atrium.

6.4 Side sessions/science session/poster session

[31] The bureau suggested linking a keynote speaker to the science session and proposed the topic of the science session to be Provision of safe food and other *humanitarian aid in the phytosanitary context*. The bureau also discussed side sessions and agreed on holding up to three throughout the week, on Wednesday, Thursday, and Friday morning, noting that they should try not to clash with a *Friends of the chair* meeting.

[32] The bureau:

- (8) *proposed* the science session for CPM-20 (2026) should be focused on the topic Provision of safe food and other *humanitarian aid*; and
- (9) *suggested* the topics for the side sessions for CPM-20 (2026) would be:
 - (a) commodity standards
 - (b) pest free areas
 - (c) smart plant health; and
 - (d) APP.

7. Mid-term review and evaluation of the IPPC strategic framework development agenda items (DAIs)

7.1 DAI 1: Harmonization of Electronic Data Exchange: Review on sustainable funding process and update on the MDTF

- [33] The secretariat reported on the status of the ePhyto Multi-Donor Trust Fund (MDTF), which has received contributions from 14 partners, amounting to approximately 25% of the pledged budget for the first implementation year. The bureau welcomed suggestions for targeted follow-ups with non-contributing countries and supported the recommendation to publicly acknowledge donors who contributed to the ePhyto MDTF at CPM-20.
- [34] The secretariat was asked by Deputy Director General (DDG) Bech BECHDOL to engage in the World Trade Organization (WTO) public forum in September for advocacy purposes on ePhyto. The Small Islands Development States forum was also highlighted as another platform for advocacy.
- [35] The bureau:
 - (10) *welcomed* suggestions for targeted follow-up with non-contributing countries and supported the recommendation to publicly acknowledge donors at CPM-20.

7.2 DAI 2: Commodity and pathway-specific ISPMs

- [36] The secretariat presented an update on this DAI, noting that work is being led by the IPPC Technical Panel on Commodity Standards (TPCS), under the auspices of the Standards Committee (SC). Two draft ISPMs (*fresh taro for consumption and Musa spp. fruits for consumption*) were approved for first consultation in 2025. Drafts for citrus (multiple species) and bean seeds have also been finalized. The panel is scheduled to reconvene in December to accelerate the development of other subjects in the work programme. The current focus is on developing a commodity standard for apples. However, concerns were raised that prioritizing a single commodity could delay progress.
- [37] The chairperson proposed that the technical panel consider splitting into subgroups, each covering a different commodity, to broaden the scope and accelerate output. This suggestion was made to avoid missing opportunities to address a wider range of pathway-specific concerns and to ensure more comprehensive use of the panel's expertise. The final decision on the scope and structure of the panel's work will rest with the SC.
- [38] The bureau:
 - (11) *noted* the update on the development agenda item on commodity standards.

7.3 DAI 3: Management of e-commerce and postal and courier pathways

- [39] The secretariat presented an update on this DAI which included the translation of the e-commerce guide and infographic into French and Spanish. A regional workshop on e-commerce is planned for Asia in October. The secretariat also presented survey results reflecting strong stakeholder engagement. The bureau emphasized the importance of continued advocacy and resource mobilization.
- [40] One bureau member informed the bureau that there will be an Asian regional workshop on e-commerce in October and that he will share the concept note.

- [41] The bureau:
- (12) *noted* the update on the development agenda item on e-commerce.

7.4 DAI 4: Developing Guidance for the Use of Third-Party Entities

- [42] The secretariat updated the bureau that the IC approved two draft guides for development and Australia and Canada provided contributions to the IPPC MDTF to support this work. A call for experts is scheduled for November to initiate the guide on audit. The secretariat confirmed that available funds are sufficient to support the work.
- [43] The bureau:
- (13) *noted* the update on the development agenda item on *Guidance on the use of third-party entities*.

7.5 DAI 5: Strengthening pest outbreak alert and response systems

- [44] The secretariat updated the bureau members that the work of the Pest Outbreak and Alert Response Systems (POARS) Steering Group is structured around four pillars: technical capability, governance, collaboration and resource mobilization, and financial capability. An evaluation process was initiated to classify pest submissions according to the criteria for emerging pests and an alert on *Clavibacter nebraskensis* was published on the IPP. A guidance document for using the criteria to identify emerging pests was developed.
- [45] Governance elements are progressing, including the establishment of a POARS Steering Group as a potential long-term governance mechanism. As POARS currently lacks dedicated funding, and in line with a request from CPM-19, the bureau emphasized the importance of prioritizing the establishment of a trust fund at CPM-20.
- [46] The bureau:
- (14) *noted* the update on the development-agenda item on strengthening pest outbreak alert and response systems; and
- (15) *stressed* the need to discuss funding issues of POARS at CPM-20 (2026).

7.6 DAI6: Assessment and management of climate change impacts on plant health

- [47] The secretariat recalled that CPM-19 had extended the mandate of the Focus Group on Climate Change and Plant Health until March 2026 to complete its action plan. The plan addresses three main outcomes: awareness-raising, risk assessment, and greater recognition of phytosanitary matters in climate-related contexts. Each outcome has corresponding action areas, including participation in international events, collaboration with FAO units, and data use from UN platforms.
- [48] It was suggested that a side session or poster contribution be considered for CPM-20 (2026). The bureau member from North America proposed the integration of the climate change topic under broader themes like pest risk analysis or smart plant health, a proposal supported by the secretariat.
- [49] The secretariat updated the bureau on its contributions to the review of the GEF-9 framework, including plant health and phytosanitary issues, to potentially allow for GEF funding opportunities in the future.
- [50] The bureau:
- (16) *noted* the update on the development agenda item on climate change and phytosanitary issues.

7.7 DAI7: Global Phytosanitary research coordination

- [51] The bureau representative for this focus group updated the bureau on the ongoing work including the challenges the focus group is facing with lack of regional balance in their membership.

- [52] The bureau was notified that the focus group has created a list of regional research networks. However, this list is geographically biased towards the regions and countries of the members from the same focus group.
- [53] The listed research networks were assessed using a scoring criterion. Based on the results, representatives from Euphresco and STAR-IDAZ were invited to attend a focus group meeting to share the operationalization and governance structures of their respective networks. They will also contribute to identifying options for improving coordination at both regional and global levels. The bureau encouraged coordination with other focus groups, such as the laboratory diagnostics group, to avoid duplication. It agreed that additional research networks may be invited for interviews by the focus group, as needed, without requiring changes to the focus group's terms of reference.
- [54] The focus group is also developing the outline for the final report that is planned to be presented to CPM-20 (2026). However, the bureau representative for the focus group emphasized that the scope of the group is very broad and they would benefit from a clearer definition. The bureau agreed that the focus group should narrow their scope, as needed, to deliver the mandate.
- [55] The bureau:
- (17) *noted* the update on the development agenda item on global phytosanitary research coordination;
 - (18) *agreed* for the focus group to narrow the scope as needed to deliver their mandate; and
 - (19) *agreed* the representative from Latin America and the Caribbean (LAC) will work with the secretariat to intensify the search for representation from this region.

7.8 DAI8: Diagnostic laboratory network

- [56] The secretariat informed the bureau that the CPM-19 had extended the focus group's mandate until 2028. Work began with an in-kind contribution expert from South Africa, represented by expert Nolan AFRICANDER. His initial efforts focused on identifying existing national and regional diagnostic laboratory networks, gathering information on their technical work and best practices, engaging with National Plant Protection Organizations (NPPOs) and experts to evaluate conditions and expectations for a network arrangement. He briefed the bureau on preliminary findings, shared highlights from interviews and presented a case study from South Africa.
- [57] The secretariat informed the bureau that the call for the CPM focus group members was launched¹ and the deadline is 15 July. A face-to-face meeting is planned for October 2025.
- [58] Regarding finances, the bureau noted that the current funding, provided by Canada and the IPPC secretariat, will only cover up to the middle of next year's operations for the DAI. Additional funds are needed beyond that period.
- [59] The bureau discussed possible IPPC certification or recognition of regional labs to function as hubs for those without diagnostic capacity. However, it was acknowledged that this was premature, and it is up to the CPM focus group to further discuss and provide recommendations to the CPM. An example cited that could be further explored was of a South African laboratory recognized by FAO for the diagnosis of the *Fusarium* TR4 for *Musa* species.
- [60] The bureau representatives for North America and Asia offered to support the secretariat in providing information for the interviews and information gathering from their respective countries.
- [61] The bureau:
- (20) *noted* the update on the development agenda item on diagnostic laboratory networking; and
 - (21) *acknowledged* the in-kind contribution and thanked the South Africa Ministry of Agriculture and its NPPO for providing the staff for this initial work.

¹ Call for experts for the CPM focus group on diagnostic laboratory networking

7.9 Stocktake discussion and next iteration of the SF

- [62] In 2024, a mid-term review of the IPPC Strategic Framework was anticipated. Terms of Reference (TORs) were presented to CPM-19, which acknowledged the value of this work. The IC proposed revisions that emphasise modularity and scalability, using a tiered evaluation model. These included early pre-implementation phases, and advanced implementation phases with associated planning and reporting.
- [63] The cost has risen from an initial estimate of USD 55 000 to approximately USD 60 000–70 000, with the revisions in the TORs.
- [64] The chairperson recommended shifting to a delivery-oriented mindset, suggesting the term “mid-term review” be changed to “final review”. This focus on the long-term review is to be initiated in 2027, per CPM-19 decisions. As for the mid-term review, the stock take report for each of the DAIs should also be prepared for presentation at SPG and CPM-20 (2026).
- [65] The bureau supported presenting the revised TORs to the SPG and proposed that each focus group prepare progress updates outlining achievements and challenges.
- [66] The bureau discussed new potential areas to include in the next strategic framework beyond 2030 and recommended a dedicated SPG meeting to evaluate candidate DAIs through submissions and presentations.
- [67] The bureau:
- (22) *requested* the term “mid-term review” be changed to “final review”; and
 - (23) *requested* to have a stock take report on each of the SF DAIs for presentation at SPG.

8. IPPC ePhyto Solution

8.1 ePhyto implementation update

- [68] The secretariat reported on progress in building a dedicated ePhyto team, including the integration within the secretariat of the UNICC expert who had developed, maintained and implemented the IPPC ePhyto Solution so far as a loaned staff (and the upcoming addition of another in-kind staff from the French Ministry of Agriculture).
- [69] The implementation roadmap was presented, comprising of two core components:
- an implementation package designed to standardise implementation and ensure transparency and scalability; and
 - a tracking and monitoring mechanism to assess progress and identify gaps across countries.
- [70] While budget alignment has been maintained, activities in recent months have been limited to essential maintenance. There is a recognized need to secure additional resources to expand the system.

8.2 ePhyto Solution Roadmap

- [71] The roadmap presented to the bureau emphasizes supporting additional exchanges and broader applications of the ePhyto model. One limitation identified is the system’s inability to handle mixed commodities in a single consignment. The Inter-American Institute for Cooperation Agriculture (IICA) expressed interest in developing its own certification system modelled after ePhyto, with potential connection to the existing hub. Moreover, New Zealand offered to fund an exploratory study on additional declarations.
- [72] The bureau supported the approach of promoting the exchange mechanism to attract external partnerships and offset operational costs.
- [73] The secretariat suggested developing a newsletter to raise visibility and encourage stakeholders’ engagement.

[74] It was noted that a revised governance structure is under preparation and the CPM's role in shaping ePhyto governance needs clarification.

[75] The bureau:

(24) *noted* the roadmap on IPPC ePhyto Solution activities and updates.

8.3 Follow up on the gap analysis of in-house IT expert for ePhyto, and for the new APP work

[76] Following the recommendation to address a detailed staffing proposal as a follow up of the IT gap analysis, a GIS specialist was onboarded in May and was trained by the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) staff to transfer knowledge of the APP tools and retain institutional knowledge.

[77] The secretariat updated the bureau on the status for the finalization of the agreement for the secondment of the ePhyto manager from UNICC.

[78] The bureau:

(25) *noted* that with the successful hiring of the GIS specialist and the steps taken to finalise the secondment of the ePhyto manager from UNICC, the secretariat has fully addressed the immediate IT staffing gaps identified in the 2024–2025 gap analysis.

9 Africa Phytosanitary Programme

9.1 APP implementation update

[79] The initial estimated budget for the APP was USD 38 million, approximately of which USD 1.5 million has been secured with an in-kind contribution from the USDA and staff resources from the secretariat.

[80] A recent survey showed strong engagement from first-phase countries and training for second-phase countries is scheduled from 23-27 June 2025.

[81] Participants at this second-phase training will receive information on I-tools and data management. FAO will provide technical expertise and first-phase countries will share their experiences. To this end, approximately 200 new tablets are being procured, with 70 designated for trainers in South Africa.

[82] The secretariat commended Senegal and Mali for exemplary national engagement. Their experience may be featured in the poster session during CPM-20 (2026).

[83] The bureau expressed concerns over sustainability, knowledge retention, and the programme's ability to scale up. The chairperson added to the discussion by emphasizing that APP must be independently funded through its MDTF.

[84] A bureau member inquired whether APP could evolve into a self-managing model. The secretariat confirmed it is feasible and outlined options for transitioning.

[85] The bureau:

(26) *noted* the updates on Africa Phytosanitary Programme implementation.

9.2 CPM-19 follow ups on APP

[86] Two written interventions² were received from the United Kingdom of Great Britain and the European Union during CPM-19 (2025). The United Kingdom of Great Britain made four recommendations on APP governance, capacity development, communication, and staffing. The European Union supported the programme but highlighted uncertainty due to weak governance structures. The secretariat prepared a paper outlining potential governance options.

2 CPM-19 (2025), EU Written Statements, agenda item 16.2

- [87] The bureau discussed the evolving scope of APP and decided to postpone final decisions until a new IPPC Secretary is appointed. Among the proposed governance options presented, option 1 was tentatively favoured.
- [88] Bureau members were asked to review the options presented in detail over the next six weeks. The papers presented addressed governance and staffing. Concerns were raised about how the transition from APP to a Global Phytosanitary Programme (GPP) will be managed and how unused funds across multiple funding pots will be reconciled. The bureau agreed to revisit the timetable and consider new funding strategies.
- [89] The bureau:
- (27) *noted* the main outcomes of the assessment of APP implementation in pilot countries; and
 - (28) *noted* the report on APP governance and the APP's two-year work plan and *requested* to further discuss governance options at its next bureau meeting.

10. Update from other CPM focus groups

10.1 Update from the CPM Focus Group on Plant Health in the context of One Health

- [90] The secretariat provided an update on the One Health focus group which has incorporated the CPM-19 (2025) decisions and is working under its TORs to explore linkages between plant health and the One Health framework. A research matrix has been developed, and collaboration is ongoing with FAO colleagues specializing in AMR and AMU. Data from the IPPC survey are being integrated alongside regional case studies and interviews.
- [91] The bureau was also informed that a holistic desk review is expected to be finalized by September and will be presented at the SPG in October 2025.
- [92] The bureau noted challenges in regional nominations. The Asia representative position was vacant until recently and has now been filled by Nagaraju DEVALAPURA KALASIAH from India.
- [93] The bureau:
- (29) *noted* the update; and
 - (30) *reviewed* and *approved* the membership of Nagaraju DEVALAPURA KALASIAH, DPPQS, Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, Government of India, to represent the region of Asia at the CPM focus group on Plant Health in the Context of One Health (Appendix 5).

10.2 Update from the CPM Focus Group on Sea Containers

- [94] The secretariat provided a general update on sea container work as they continue to raise awareness and collaborate with other partners, including at the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) meeting. As next steps, a symposium and CPM focus group meeting are planned for October 2025 in Denmark.
- [95] The bureau:
- (31) *noted* the update.

10.3 CPM Focus Group on Safe Provision of Food and Other Humanitarian Aid

- [96] The secretariat informed the bureau that the membership of the Focus Group on Safe Provision of Food and Other Humanitarian Aid (FGSA) had been updated via eDecision, with Nelson LAVILLE, the FGSA Chairperson, now representing its regional plant protection organization, CAHFSA and Luiza MUNYA joining as a new member representing AU-IAPSC (Appendix 6).
- [97] The focus group will meet in Nairobi, Kenya from 23-27 June 2025, to complete its tasks from the TORs with a focus on advocacy and a proposed action plan for the other standard setting bodies (under SPS) and the World Food Programme (WFP). Strong interest has been confirmed from the Kenya

NPPO, the Red Cross and the Kenya Department of Emergencies. The focus group's final report will be submitted to CPM-20 (2026).

[98] The bureau:

(32) *noted* the update; and

(33) *approved* the new membership list as agreed via eDecision (Appendix 6).

11. Antimicrobial Resistance

11.1 Implications of AMR information gathered in the InFARM database for future CPM consideration

[99] The secretariat welcomed specialists from the FAO One Health and Disease Control Group division (NSAH-CJW) who delivered a presentation on the implications of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) and antimicrobial use (AMU), based on information gathered through the InFARM system. The presentation included the FAO Action Plan on AMR (2021–2025), highlights from the recent UNGA high-level session on AMR, and an overview of the One Health approach. It was noted that plant health has historically been underrepresented.

[100] The FAO has trained 167 focal points in 46 countries and integrated over 50 countries into the InFARM system. A second call for participation will open between June and December 2025. A new AMU questionnaire is under development. Key benefits of InFARM participation include harmonized data collection, capacity-building resources, and visual tools to support national evidence-based decision-making.

[101] The chairperson noted, on one hand, ongoing challenges with data surveillance in plant health and the need for increased reporting on plant-based antimicrobial resistance. On the other hand, he welcomed the positive developments and progress. FAO colleagues emphasized that new global information systems will support plant health efforts, and that data will be publicly accessible.

[102] The secretariat inquired about the existence of an FAO resolution on AMR and the FAO representatives confirmed that a draft, the result of internal consultations will be finalized soon.

[103] The Southwest Pacific bureau member raised implementation challenges in their region and requested guidance on contributing to the system and potential policy tools. The FAO representatives agreed to follow up.

[104] The bureau:

(34) *noted* the update on the integration of AMR data collection on plant health in the FAO InFARM system.

12. IPPC Workshops

12.1 Process for determination of IPPC workshop arrangements and guidance for CPs on hosting IPPC meetings traditionally held in Rome

[105] The secretariat presented draft criteria for determining whether workshops traditionally held at FAO should instead be hosted externally, with a focus on cost-efficiency and visibility. The bureau requested the secretariat to assess the costs associated with the last Sea Containers meeting.

[106] The bureau:

(35) *agreed* that, should there be availability of budget and staff resources and a real benefit and good return on investment in attending the event, and provided that participation does not interfere with the secretariat's core work plan, the IPPC Secretary should have the discretion to decide on the secretariat's attendance at relevant events; and

(36) *noted* the draft guidance for CPs on hosting IPPC meetings traditionally held in Rome and *requested* the secretariat to include this guidance in the *IPPC procedure manual for governance*.

12.2 Update on arrangements for the Systems Approaches workshop

[107] The chairperson confirmed that the IPPC Workshop on Systems Approaches will be held in Santiago, Chile, from 1 to 5 December 2025. A subgroup is developing the programme, and logistical preparations, including venue confirmation, are ongoing. A private-sector-sponsored field trip has been proposed. However, in accordance with FAO rules, the secretariat advised that it be included as an optional post-workshop activity.

[108] The bureau:

(37) *noted* the update on the upcoming workshop; and

(38) *agreed* to include an update on this workshop at the SPG 2025 meeting.

13. Strategic Planning Group (SPG)

13.1 Review of submissions from the expression of interest for funded participants

[109] At its October and December meetings, the IPPC Financial Committee (FC) agreed on the need to enhance representation and participation at the SPG, particularly from historically under-represented regions. As a 2025 pilot, the FC approved funding support for participants from countries eligible for travel assistance under World Bank criteria.

[110] Following this process, the secretariat created an expression of interest form based on the criteria and sent it out in the invitation letter to contracting parties via email with a 1-month deadline (end of May). The secretariat received eleven expressions of interest:

- two did not meet the criteria for funding and were therefore removed from the bureau review;
- seven submissions were from Africa; and
- two submissions were from Asia.

[111] The bureau approved funding for two candidates from Asia (Pakistan and Indonesia) and one from Africa (Cameroon). It recommended renewing the call for regions that had not submitted any requests and reaching out to submitters who may benefit from revising their applications.

[112] The bureau emphasized the importance of quality and active participation at the SPG over geographic representation.

[113] The bureau also reviewed the pilot process for 2025 as presented by the secretariat. The bureau agreed that it can be streamlined to include a separate call for interest for funding outside of the invitation letter to avoid confusion for submissions from funded and non-funded contracting parties.

[114] The bureau:

(39) *approved* three representatives from the NPPOs of Pakistan, Indonesia and Cameroon to be funded to attend the SPG in October 2025;

(40) *agreed* the secretariat would work directly with the bureau members to advocate participation at the SPG 2025; and

(41) *reviewed* the process and agreed on the new procedure proposed to streamline it.

13.2 Discussion ideas for the agenda and format of the SPG

[115] The bureau compiled a list of agenda items for the SPG meeting in October 2025 and noted that SPG participants may still propose an agenda item and submit a paper for it by the end of September.

[116] The bureau discussed streamlining the SPG agenda and adopting a more interactive meeting format, building on the 2024 approach. It agreed that a summary followed by breakout sessions was effective and that the environmental scan should be integrated into each agenda item.

[117] The updates from strategic framework focus groups will be included, as well as reports highlighting progress, challenges, and future needs.

[118] The SPG agenda will include a session on the “final” review of the Strategic Framework. A template will be developed to standardize updates on each DAI, future developments for the next Strategic Framework, and progress on ePhyto and the APP. The agenda will also cover cross-cutting topics such as innovation, diagnostic laboratories, visibility and exposure, ISPM-related matters, and preparations for CPM-20, including the *Successes and Challenges* session.

[119] The bureau:

(42) *agreed* on a provisional list of topics to discuss at the next SPG meeting in 2025.

13.3 Is it time to rethink ISPMs?

[120] The bureau agreed that this topic should be introduced through breakout group discussions for more focused dialogue. The secretariat proposed drafting a short background paper to guide participants and offered ISPM 3 (*Guidelines for the export, shipment, import and release of biological control agents and other beneficial organisms*) as a pilot to test potential changes.

[121] The bureau:

(43) *noted* that the IC and SC will propose papers to be further discussed at SPG 2025 on “Is it time to rethink ISPMs?”

13.4 Raising the profile of the IPPC

[122] The bureau discussed leveraging FAO’s 80th anniversary as well as upcoming events such as the “Seeds to Food” Exhibition during World Food Week in October and the inauguration of the FAO museum. IPPC contributions will include:

- STF exhibition: A virtual reality display featuring pest surveillance (oculus) and a “meet-and-greet” with trained detective dogs from the Puglia Plant Health Service.
- Olive oil tasting session: This is an experience to learn about olive oil.
- FAO Museum: The IPPC contribution will contain a video on Puglia detective dogs, a wooden pallet/crate with an ISPM 15 stamp, an IPPC Campus, and artwork from the IDPH 2025 activity.

[123] For the exhibition, additional contributions will include traditional food and artifacts from the Cook Islands. LAC suggested adding food safety to emphasize IPPC’s impact. The bureau agreed to update the 2012 IPPC Declaration for a possible display at the museum.

[124] The chairperson emphasized the importance of using these opportunities to raise the IPPC’s visibility and positioning it alongside bodies like Codex. He suggested developing an updated IPPC declaration.

[125] The bureau:

(44) *noted* the report.

14. International Day of Plant Health (IDPH)

14.1 Report from 2025 IDPH

[126] The 2025 International Day of Plant Health (IDPH) saw increased global public engagement compared to 2024, supported by the IPPC’s partnership with the International Regional Organization for Plant and Animal Health (OIRSA), which hosted the high-level event in El Salvador. The event was covered by 45 media outlets and drew over 50 in-person participants and 683 online viewers. On social media and digital platforms, the IDPH campaign gained **187 million reach** (number of unique individuals who saw IDPH content), and around **a billion impressions** (number of times IDPH content was displayed online). These are record numbers since IDPH was first observed in 2023, and much more than the International Potato Day (100 million) and World Bee Day/Tea Day combined (170 million) in 2025.

[127] The bureau member for the Near East inquired about the process for countries to host IDPH events. The secretariat explained that El Salvador was selected as host due to its ongoing partnership with OIRSA and the opportunity to highlight innovation in plant health.

[128] The bureau:

(45) *noted* the report and the increased visibility of the event.

14.2 Planning for 2026 IDPH multi-year strategy to identify hosts, champions and themes

[129] The bureau agreed that planning for the 2026 IDPH should begin earlier, particularly to enable the participation of high-level figures such as the FAO Director-General. Successful examples like World Soil Day in Thailand, which featured the Thai monarch, highlighted the importance of early engagement.

[130] It was recommended aligning IDPH with high-level FAO events to ensure in-person participation of the FAO DG. Internal FAO tools such as the calendar of Permanent Representatives, which schedules high-level FAO-led events, should be consulted for strategic planning, as well as the office of DDG Beth BECHDOL for advice.

[131] Coming from a fruitful partnership with OIRSA in co-organizing the IDPH event, the secretariat recommended engaging Regional Plant Protection Organizations (RPPOs) or regional organizations to make it inclusive of NPPO members. This effort would be to further broaden campaign reach and impact within the region. RPPOs can host in the rotation to give equal chances to all.

[132] The provisional theme for 2026 remains “food security,” unless revised at the leadership level. The importance of three years forward planning was emphasized. Collaboration with FAO regional offices significantly expanded outreach.

[133] The bureau discussed engaging plant health advocates, as listed in paper 18, and proposed using regional digital influencers to reach youth audiences while ensuring gender and geographical diversity. The secretariat recommended liaising with FAO Regional Offices to identify vetted influencers, and bureau members were invited to suggest suitable regional partners.

[134] Other possible themes and tie-ins included safe aid, digital agriculture, and innovation, such as AI and plant health.

[135] The bureau:

(46) *noted* the report;

(47) *requested* that the secretariat bring the topic to the TC-RPPO in October to identify regional partners to host the 2026, 2027 and 2028 IDPH; and

(48) *will provide* suggestions of influencers in the different regions and demographics as potential IDPH advocates and goodwill ambassadors.

15. IPPC Plant Health Campus

[136] The secretariat presented the IPPC Plant Health Campus, launched in March 2025 and a full list of learning resources was shared with the bureau.

[137] The secretariat noted ongoing collaboration with universities in Africa, Canada and the Asia-Pacific region, which are exploring integration of IPPC materials into their curricula. In response to an inquiry from the Philippines bureau member about formal collaboration mechanisms, the secretariat clarified that partnerships can proceed informally, as the materials are publicly available and universities may coordinate with IPPC focal points.

[138] The bureau welcomed the platform’s content and functionality. The French version of the campus has officially launched, with the Spanish version expected by year-end. A simulation and interactive game

for youth is also in development. In response to a question from the vice-chair, the secretariat noted that further translations into FAO languages would require additional funding. As of March 2025, the platform had registered over 4,000 learners globally.

[139] The bureau:

- (49) *noted* and *acknowledged* the progress and relevance of the IPPC Plant Health Campus; and
- (50) *encouraged* the secretariat to include more languages to further expand the platform.

16. Any other business

16.1 Bureau meeting duration

[140] The bureau:

- (51) *agreed* that the June 2026 bureau meeting should span four days to accommodate the full agenda.

16.2 IPPC visibility and communication strategy

[141] The secretariat outlined plans to strengthen IPPC's visibility and impact through a more targeted communication strategy. The proposed approach focuses on streamlining content delivery and maximizing strategic reach, supported by a monitoring and evaluation framework. Social media and web analytics will be used to assess audience engagement. One key insight was the strong performance of content on wood packaging, which received 14,000 views, underscoring the value of data-driven planning. An IPPC secretariat communications brainstorming session will be held in July to examine timing, language, hashtags, and platform-specific strategies.

[142] A survey will be conducted to understand the NPPOs' preferred formats and content needs. The secretariat aims to shift toward evidence-based storytelling, using topical case studies like ePhyto.

[143] The bureau discussed increasing awareness of the IPPC Plant Health Campus among permanent representatives and subsidiary bodies. Recommendations included enhancing the mailing list, improving focal points engagement, and targeting youth and universities.

[144] The LAC bureau member emphasized using informal, fast platforms such as WhatsApp to expand reach. The bureau member for Asia inquired about the target audience, to which the secretariat responded with a detailed matrix categorizing audiences (e.g. NPPOs, RPPOs, donors, media, scientific communities).

[145] The bureau:

- (52) *welcomed* the coming communications strategic direction; and
- (53) *agreed* to revisit this discussion at the October meeting by reviewing a discussion paper provided by the secretariat.

16.3 October bureau meeting

[146] The bureau:

- (54) *agreed* to the next meetings, in virtual mode on 16 and 18 September 2025 at 12:00-14:00 (CEST) and in Rome, Italy for 22–24 October 2025 and 30 October 2025 (post SPG).

Appendix 1: Agenda

	AGENDA ITEM	DOCUMENT NO.	PRESENTER
1.	Opening of the meeting		NSP Director Yurdi YASMI / CPM CHAIR (BISHOP)
2.	Meeting arrangements		
2.1	Election of the rapporteur		CHAIR
2.2	Adoption of the agenda	01_Bureau_2025_Jun	CHAIR
3.	Administrative matters		
3.1	Document list	02_Bureau_2025_Jun	SENTINELLI / CASSIN
3.2	Participants list	03_Bureau_2025_Jun	SENTINELLI / CASSIN
3.3	Travel and funding arrangements for CPM Bureau members	--	LAHTI
4.	Updates from the IPPC Secretariat	--	IPPC Secretary / OIC
4.1	Standards Setting Unit / Standards Committee (SC) update	04_Bureau_2025_Jun	NERSISYAN
4.2	Implementation and Facilitation Unit / Implementation and Capacity Development Committee (IC) update	Link to IC report	BRUNEL]
5.	Roles and responsibilities for bureau members		
5.1	Review and selection of bureau representative in CPM focus groups and subsidiary bodies	05_Bureau_2025_Jun	CHAIR / ALL
6.	Review of CPM-19		
6.1	Feedback from CPM-19 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • follow-ups • lessons learned • Credentials committee arrangements 	Link to CPM report 06_Bureau_2025_Jun	CHAIR / IPPC Team leads
6.2	Update on the call for topics	--	KRAH
6.3	CPM-20 arrangements <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Confirmation of dates • Agenda restructuring and schedule to improve the flow • Guidelines for speakers • Remote speakers • Atrium presence 	06_Bureau_2025_Jun	SENTINELLI / CASSIN

6.4	Side sessions / science session/poster session <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Key objectives and outcomes for the reinstated session on “Success and challenges of implementing the IPPC” • Science session; feedback and upcoming topics • Side sessions for upcoming CPM 	--	CHAIR / ALL
7.	Mid-term review and evaluation of the IPPC Strategic Framework DAIs	07_Bureau_2025_Jun	MADAMINOVA
7.1	DAI 1: Harmonization of electronic data exchange <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review on sustainable funding process and update on the MDTF 	--	BRUNEL/BENOVIC
7.2	DAI 2: Commodity- and Pathway- Specific ISPMs	08_Bureau_2025_Jun	MOREIRA
7.3	DAI 3: Management of e-commerce and postal and courier pathways	19_Bureau_2025_Jun	BRUNEL
7.4	DAI 4: Developing Guidance for the Use of Third-Party Entities	20_Bureau_2025_Jun	PARMAR
7.5	DAI 5: Strengthening pest outbreak alert and response systems <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • POARS Steering Group 	--	BELTRAN
7.6	DAI 6: Assessment and management of climate change impacts on plant health <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Update from the CPM Focus Group 	09_Bureau_2025_Jun	BELTRAN
7.7	DAI 7: Global Phytosanitary research coordination <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Update from the CPM Focus 	10_Bureau_2025_Jun	CASSIN

	Group		
7.8	DAI 8: Diagnostic laboratory network	11_Bureau_2025_Jun	MOREIRA / MARTINO / AFRICANDER
7.9	Stocktake discussion	--	CHAIR / ALL
7.10	Next iteration of the SF	--	CHAIR / ALL
8.	IPPC ePhyto Solution		
8.1	ePhyto implementation update	--	BRUNEL
8.2	ePhyto Solution Roadmap	12_Bureau_2025_Jun	NUZZO
8.3	Follow up on the gap analysis of in-house IT expert for ePhyto, and also specifically for new APP work	15_Bureau_2025_Jun	SENTINELLI
9.	Africa Phytosanitary Programme		
9.1	APP implementation update <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • APP Updates and results of the of first phase countries assessment 	22_Bureau_2025_Jun	NERSISYAN/KOUMBA / DENG
9.2	CPM-19 follow-ups <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Terms of reference for APP steering committee • 2-year work plan 	23_Bureau_2025_Jun 24_Bureau_2025_Jun	NERSISYAN/KOUMBA / DENG
10.	Update from other CPM focus groups		
10.1	Update from the CPM Focus Group on Plant Health in the context of One Health <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Member ship update 	13_Bureau_2025_Jun Link to the TOR Link to the workplan Link to membership	BRUNEL/MADAMINOVA
10.2	Update from the CPM Focus Group on Sea Containers	27_Bureau_2025_Jun	STIRLING / NERSISYAN
10.3	CPM Focus Group on Safe Provision of Food and Other Humanitarian Aid <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Member ship update 	Link to the CPM-19 paper Link to membership	MOREIRA / ANGUNA-KAMANA
11.	Antimicrobial resistance		
11.1	Implications of AMR information gathered in the InFARM database for future CPM consideration	21_Bureau_2025_Jun	DORADO GARCIA / KOUMBA
12.	IPPC workshops		
12.1	IPPC Secretariat's role in supporting and participating in NPPO and	25_Bureau_2025_Jun 26_Bureau_2025_Jun	BENOVIC / NERSISYAN

	stakeholder-organized events		
12.2	Update on arrangements for the Systems Approaches workshop	--	CHAIR / MOREIRA / CASSIN
13.	Strategic Planning Group (SPG)		
13.1	Review of submissions from the expression of interest for funded participants	14_Bureau_2025_Jun	CHAIR
13.2	Discussion ideas for the agenda and format of the SPG	--	BARIK / ALL
13.3	Is it time to rethink ISPMs? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Discuss and decide how to work with the outcomes of, and advice from, the 2025 SPG meeting, and the next steps to be presented at CPM-20 (2026). 	--	CHAIR / ALL
13.4	Raising the profile of the IPPC <ul style="list-style-type: none"> FAO's eightieth anniversary celebrations in October 	16_Bureau_2025_Jun	CHAIR / FRIO / ALL
14.	International Day of Plant Health		
14.1	Report from 2025 IDPH	17_Bureau_2025_Jun	FRIO
14.2	Planning for 2026 IDPH <ul style="list-style-type: none"> multi-year strategy to identify hosts, champions and themes. 	18_Bureau_2025_Jun	FRIO / ALL
15.	Update on the Plant Health Campus	--	BRUNEL
16.	Any other business		
17.	Next meeting		
18.	Adoption of decision points		
19.	Closing of the meeting		

Appendix 2: Documents List

DOCUMENT NO.	AGENDA ITEM	DOCUMENT TITLE	DATE POSTED / DISTRIBUTED
01_Bureau_2025_Jun	2.2	Agenda	2025-06-13
02_Bureau_2025_Jun	3.1	Documents List	2025-06-11 2025-06-13
03_Bureau_2025_Jun	3.2	Participants List	
04_Bureau_2025_Jun	4.1	Standards Setting Unit / Standards Committee (SC) update	2025-06-03
05_Bureau_2025_Jun	5.1	Review and selection of bureau representative in CPM focus groups and subsidiary bodies	2025-06-03
06_Bureau_2025_Jun	6.1 / 6.3	Feedback from CPM-19 and CPM-20 arrangements	2025-06-03
07_Bureau_2025_Jun	7	Mid-term review and evaluation of the IPPC Strategic Framework DAIs	2025-06-03
08_Bureau_2025_Jun	7.2	DAI 2: Commodity- and Pathway-Specific ISPMs	2025-06-03
09_Bureau_2025_Jun	7.6	DAI 6: Assessment and management of climate change impacts on plant health	2025-06-03
10_Bureau_2025_Jun	7.7	DAI 7: Global Phytosanitary research coordination	2025-06-03
11_Bureau_2025_Jun	7.8	DAI 8: Diagnostic laboratory network	2025-06-03
12_Bureau_2025_Jun	8.2	ePhyto Solution Roadmap	2025-06-03
13_Bureau_2025_Jun	10.1	Update from the CPM Focus Group on Plant Health in the context of One Health	2025-06-03

14_Bureau_2025_Jun	13.1	Review of submissions from the expression of interest for funded participants	2025-06-04
15_Bureau_2025_Jun	8.3	Follow up on the gap analysis of in-house IT expert for ePhyto, and also specifically for new APP work	2025-06-04
16_Bureau_2025_Jun	13.4	Raising the profile of the IPPC FAO's eightieth anniversary celebrations in October	2025-06-04
17_Bureau_2025_Jun	14.1	Report from 2025 IDPH	2025-06-04
18_Bureau_2025_Jun	14.2	Planning for 2026 IDPH multi-year strategy to identify hosts, champions and themes.	2025-06-04
19_Bureau_2025_Jun	7.3	DAI 3: Management of e-commerce and postal and courier pathways	2025-06-04
20_Bureau_2025_Jun	7.4	DAI 4: Developing Guidance for the Use of Third-Party Entities	2025-06-04
21_Bureau_2025_Jun	21	Implications of AMR information gathered in the InFARM database for future CPM consideration	2025-06-04
22_Bureau_2025_Jun_Rev1	9.1	APP implementation update	2025-06-09 2025-06-11
23_Bureau_2025_Jun	9.2	APP governance options and terms of reference for APP steering committee	2025-06-09
24_Bureau_2025_Jun	9.2	APP 2-year work plan	2025-06-09
25_Bureau_2025_Jun	12.1	IPPC	2025-06-09

		Secretariat's role in supporting and participating in NPPO and stakeholder-organized events	
26_Bureau_2025_Jun	12.1	Draft Guidance for CPs on hosting IPPC meetings traditionally held in Rome	2025-06-09
27_Bureau_2025_Jun	10.2	Update from the CPM Focus Group on Sea Containers	2025-06-11

Appendix 3: Participants list

Attended	Region / role	Name, address, number	e-mail
✓	Africa	Mr Mamba Mamba DAMAS Head of Plant Protection Division, Ministry of Agriculture, Intersection of Boulevard du 30 juin and Avenue Batetela, Commune of Gombe, Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of the Congo Ph. (+243) 81 29 59 330	damasmamba@yahoo.fr ; damasmmb5@gmail.com ;
✓	Asia	Mr Glenn F. PANGANIBAN Director of the Bureau, Bureau of Plant Industry 692 San Andres St., Malate, Manila, 1004 Philippines Ph. (+63) 915 3141 568	glenn.panganiban@da.gov.ph ; gfpanganiban@gmail.com ;
✓	Europe <i>(Chairperson)</i>	Mr Sam BISHOP Head of International Plant Health Policy Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs UK Ph. (+44) 2080262506	sam.bishop@defra.gsi.gov.uk ;
✓	Latin America and Caribbean	Mr Larry Mauricio RIVERA General Coordinator of Plant Health Agency for Plant and Animal Health Regulation and Control, Eloy Alfaro and Federico Gonzalez	larry.rivera@agrocalidad.gob.ec ;

		Suarez, Av. Interocianico Km 1/2, La Granja Sector, Ecuador Ph. (+593) 02- 382-8860	
✓	Near East (<i>Vice-Chairperson</i>)	Mr Barik Dris Chef de la Division de la Protection des Végétaux Office National de Sécurité Sanitaire des Produits Alimentaires (ONSSA) Morocco Phone: +212 5 37 67 65 00 / +212 5 37 68 13 51	dris.barik@onssa.gov.ma ; barikdris@gmail.com ;
✓	North America	Ms Michelle GRAY International Phytosanitary Standards Coordinator, USDA APHIS Plant Protection and Quarantine, 920 Main Campus Drive, Suite 200, Raleigh, NC 27606 United States of America Ph. (+1) 919- 937-8528	michelle.l.gray@usda.gov ;
✓	Southwest Pacific	Ms Temarama ANGUNA-KAMANA Head of the Ministry of Agriculture PO Box 302, Victoria Park, Avarua, Rarotonga, Cook Islands Ph. (+682) 00682 55871	temarama.anguna@cookislands.gov.ck ;

IPPC Secretariat

Role	Name,	Email address
IPPC Secretariat	Mr Avetik NERSISYAN	Avetik.Nersisyan@fao.org
IPPC Secretariat	Ms Sarah BRUNEL	Sarah.Brunel@fao.org

IPPC Secretariat	Mr Descartes KOUNBA	Descartes.Koumba@fao.org
IPPC Secretariat	Ms Adriana MOREIRA	Adriana.Moreira@fao.org
IPPC Secretariat	Ms Paola SENTINELLI	Paola.Sentinelli@fao.org
IPPC Secretariat	Mr Marko BENOVIC	Marko.Benovic@fao.org
IPPC Secretariat	Ms Mutya FRIO	Mutya.Frio@fao.org
IPPC Secretariat	Ms Aoife CASSIN	Aoife.Cassin@fao.org
IPPC Secretariat	Mr Edoardo CORRIERE	edocorriere09@gmail.com

Appendix 4: Roles and responsibilities assigned to CPM Bureau members post CPM Bureau June meeting

Work area	Previous Bureau lead	Interim bureau lead (until June 2025)	New bureau lead (as of June 2025)
Strategic Framework development agenda items			
Harmonization of electronic data exchange (ePhyto)	Gabrielle Vivian SMITH (Southwest Pacific)	Temarama ANGUNA-KAMANA (Southwest Pacific) Barik DRIS (Near East)	Temarama ANGUNA-KAMANA (Southwest Pacific) Barik DRIS (Near East)
Commodity- and pathway-specific ISPMs	Samuel BISHOP (Europe)	Samuel BISHOP (Europe)	Samuel BISHOP (Europe)
Management of e-commerce and postal and courier pathways	Diego QUIROGA (Latin America and Caribbean)	Larry Mauricio RIVERA (Latin America and Caribbean)	Larry Mauricio RIVERA (Latin America and Caribbean)
Developing guidance on the use of third-party entities	Greg WOLFF (North America)	Michelle GRAY (North America)	Michelle GRAY (North America)
Strengthening pest outbreak alert and response systems	Jan Hendrik VENTER (Africa)	Mamba Mamba DAMAS (Africa) with support from with support from Jan (outgoing bureau member for Africa)	Mamba Mamba DAMAS (Africa)
Assessment and management of climate-change impacts on plant health	Samuel BISHOP (Europe)	Samuel BISHOP (Europe)	Samuel BISHOP (Europe)
Global phytosanitary research coordination	Mamoru MATSUI (Asia)	Glenn PANGANIBAN (Asia)	Glenn PANGANIBAN (Asia)
Laboratory diagnostic networking	Jan Hendrik VENTER (Africa)	Mamba Mamba DAMAS (Africa)	Mamba Mamba DAMAS (Africa)
Committees			
Heads of Finance Committee	Gabrielle Vivian SMITH (Southwest Pacific)	TBD	Michelle GRAY (North America) Temarama ANGUNA-KAMANA (Southwest Pacific)
Standards Committee	Samuel BISHOP (Europe)	Samuel BISHOP (Europe)	Samuel BISHOP (Europe)
Implementation and Capacity Development Committee	Greg WOLFF (North America)	Michelle GRAY (North America)	Michelle GRAY (North America)
Task Force on Topics	Diego QUIROGA (Latin America and Caribbean)	Larry Mauricio RIVERA (Latin America and Caribbean)	Larry Mauricio RIVERA (Latin America and Caribbean)
Other group and initiatives			
CPM Focus Group on Sea Containers	Greg WOLFF (North America)	Michelle GRAY (North America)	Michelle GRAY (North America) Glenn PANGANIBAN (Asia)
CPM Focus Group on Safe Provision of Food and Other Humanitarian Aid	Gabrielle Vivian SMITH (Southwest Pacific)	Temarama ANGUNA-KAMANA (Southwest Pacific)	Temarama ANGUNA-KAMANA (Southwest Pacific) Barik DRIS (Near East)
CPM Focus Group on One Health	Greg WOLFF (North America)	Michelle GRAY (North America)	Michelle GRAY (North America) Temarama ANGUNA-

			KAMANA (Southwest Pacific) Larry Mauricio RIVERA (Latin America and Caribbean)
IPPC Observatory Subgroup	Samuel BISHOP (Europe)	Samuel BISHOP (Europe)	Michelle GRAY (North America)
Africa Phytosanitary Programme	Jan Hendrik VENTER (Africa) (with involvement of the CPM chairperson)	Mamba Mamba DAMAS (Africa) (with involvement of the CPM chairperson)	Barik DRIS (Near East) , Mamba Mamba DAMAS (Africa)
ePhyto Steering Group	Barik DRIS (Near East)	Barik DRIS (Near East)	Temarama ANGUNA-KAMANA (Southwest Pacific) , Barik DRIS (Near East), Mamba Mamba DAMAS (Africa)
Phytosanitary Capacity Evaluation Board	Samuel BISHOP (Europe). Jan Hendrik VENTER (Africa), Diego QUIROGA (Latin America and Caribbean)	Samuel BISHOP (Europe). Mamba Mamba DAMAS Larry Mauricio RIVERA (Latin America and Caribbean) (Africa),	All (to be called based on availability)

Appendix 5: Membership list of the CPM Bureau Focus Group on Plant Health in the Context of One Health

FAO Region	Country	Name	Contact details (e-mail)
Africa	Kenya	Mr Henri Edouard Zefack Tonnang International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA), Nairobi KENYA Phone: +254 725 797240	h.tonnang@gmail.com h.tonnang@cgiar.org
Asia	India	Mr Nagaraju Devalapura Kalasaiah Directorate of Plant Protection Quarantine Storage (DPPQS) , Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, Government of India, Bengaluru INDIA Phone: +91 9844140742	Dkn.raju@gov.in
Europe and Central Asia	France	Mr Philippe Lucien Reignault French Agency for Food, Environmental and Occupational Health Safety (ANSES) Laboratoire de la santé des végétaux / Plant Health Laboratory 7, rue Jean Dixméras FR-49044 Angers cedex 01 FRANCE Phone: +33 (0)675207075	philippe.reignault@anses.fr
Latin America and Caribbean	Chile	Mr Alvaro Sepúlveda Luque National Plant Protection Organization Av. Santa Rosa # 238, Dep. 113 Santiago de Chile CHILE Phone: +569-66377853	alvaro.sepulveda@sag.gob.cl
Southwest Pacific	Cook Islands	Ms Temarama Rou - Ariki Anguna Kamana Ministry of Agriculture PO Box 96, Arorangi, Rarotonga, COOK ISLANDS Phone: +682 55871	temarama.anguna@cookislands.gov.ck
Near East and North Africa	Egypt	Ms Heba Salah El-Dein Kassab National Plant Protection Organization 6, Michael Bakhoun St, Dokki, Giza, EGYPT Phone: +201005190669	enghkassab@gmail.com
North America	United States of America	Ms Michelle Gray Plant Protection and Quarantine Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service 11409 Old Creedmoor Road, Raleigh, NC 27613 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Phone: 919-937-8528	Michelle.L.Gray@usda.gov
FAO Technical Working Group	FAO	Ms Carmel Bullon The Development Law Service (LEGN), FAO	Carmen.Bullon@fao.org

for One Health		Viale delle Terme di Caracalla, 00153 Rome, ITALY Phone: +39 06 570 54162	
IPPC Secretariat	IPPC	Ms Sarah Brunel IPPC Secretariat, FAO Viale delle Terme di Caracalla, 00153 Rome, ITALY Phone: +39 06 570 53768	Sarah.Brunel@fao.org
IPPC Secretariat	IPPC	Ms Rokhila Madaminova IPPC Secretariat, FAO Viale delle Terme di Caracalla, 00153 Rome, ITALY Phone: +39 06 570 52556	Rokhila.Madaminova@fao.org

Appendix 6: CPM Focus Group on Safe Provision of Food and Other Humanitarian Aid Membership and contact information

Participant role ¹	Name, mailing address, telephone	Email address
CPM Bureau representative	Ms Temarama ANGUNA-KAMANA Head of the Ministry of Agriculture PO Box 302, Victoria Park, Avarua, Rarotonga, Cook Islands Phone : (+682) 00682 55871	temarama.anguna@cookislands.gov.ck
Standards Committee (SC) representative - (currently SC Chairperson) Vice-Chairperson of the Focus Group	Ms Sophie Alexia PETERSON Director, Pacific Engagement and International Plant Health Australian Chief Plant Protection Office Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment AUSTRALIA Tel: +61 2 6272 3769 Mob: +61 466 867 519	Sophie.peterson@aff.gov.au
Implementation and Capacity Development Committee (IC) representative	Mr Lucien KOUAMÉ KONAN Inspecteur Direction de la Protection des Végétaux, du Contrôle et de la Qualité Ministère de l'Agriculture B.P. V7 Abidjan, COTE D'IVOIRE Mob.: +22507903754 Fax: (+225) 20 212032	l_kouame@yahoo.fr
Regional Plant Protection Organization (RPPO) representative Chairperson of the Focus Group	Mr Nelson LAVILLE Plant Protection Officer Caribbean Agricultural Health and Food Safety Agency (CAHFSA) representative	nelson.laville@gmail.com
Regional Plant Protection Organization (RPPO) representative	Mr Riten Gosai Biosecurity, Sanitary and Phytosanitary Officer Pacific Community (SPC) Acting Executive Secretary for the Pacific Plant Protection	riteng@spc.int

	Organisation (PPPO) Private Mail Bag - Suva, Fiji Tel: (679) 3370733 Ext: 35575	
Regional Plant Protection Organization (RPPO) representative	Ms Luiza M. MUNYUA Senior Scientific Officer (Phytopathology) Inter-African Phytosanitary Council AU- IAPSC	MunyuaL@africa-union.org
Member (R) - SAMOA	Ms Olive Juliet JAY TO-ALESANA Principal Quarantine Officer	olive.alesana@maf.gov.ws
Member (D and R) - KENYA	Mr Thomas Kimeli KOSIOM Principal Plant Health Inspector, Agricultural Regulator	tkosiom@kephis.org
Member (D) THE NETHERLANDS	Mr Marco TRAA Chief Plant Health Officer for policy affairs Ministry of Agriculture, Nature and Food Quality	m.j.w.traa@minlnv.nl
Member (D) - CANADA	Ms Tanya STAFFEN Senior Policy Analyst	Tanya.staffen@inspection.gc.ca
Member (R) - SYRIA	Mr Ramez Ali DARWISH Head of the Plant Quarantine Center (Jdayda Yabos land border)	ramezali79@gmail.com
Member (R) - ZAMBIA	Mr Shepherd KALUMBA Plant Health Inspector Zambia /Plant Quarantine and Phytosanitary Service	kalumba.shepherd@gmail.com

Observers / Invited experts

Observer/Invited experts – World Food Program (WFP)	Ms Virginia SIEBENROK Chief Food Safety and Quality Officer WFP - Food Safety and Quality Supply Chain Division Rome, Italy Tel: +39 066 513 2845	virginia.siebenrok@wfp.org
--	---	--

Observer/Invited experts – World Food Program (WFP)	Ms Stephanie HEARD Loss Prevention Officer WFP – Operational Risk Mitigation Service Rome, Italy (Remotely working from London, UK) Tel: + 39 347 446 9421	stephanie.heard@wfp.org
Observer/Invited experts – FAO NSP – Seed Security	Mr Shawn MCGUIRE Seed Security Officer Plant Protection and Production Division Viale delle Terme di Caracalla 00153 Rome, Italy	Shawn.Mcguire@fao.org

International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC) Secretariat:

IPPC Secretariat Coordinator	Ms Adriana G. MOREIRA Deputy Leda to the Standard Setting Unit FAO Programme Specialist IPPC Secretariat / FAO Viale delle Terme di Caracalla 00153 Rome, Italy Tel: (+39) 06 570 55809	Adriana.Moreira@fao.org
IPPC Secretariat Technical Support	Ms Marina Elena MARTINO Phytosanitary Standard Setting Specialist IPPC Secretariat / FAO Viale delle Terme di	marina.martino@fao.org

	Caracalla 00153 Rome	
IPPC Secretariat Technical Support	Ms Colleen STIRLING Standard Setting Unit Specialist IPPC Secretariat / FAO Viale delle Terme di Caracalla 00153 Rome, Italy	Colleen.Stirling@fao.org

Action list

Meeting	Agenda item (decision)	Task	Person or persons responsible	Deadline
2025-05	5.1 (4)	Secretariat to include information on the roles and responsibilities of bureau members into the IPPC procedure manual for governance.	Secretariat	2025-08
2025-05	6.1 (6)	Develop a template or guide for CPM speakers regarding the structure of their presentations.	Secretariat / CPM Chair	2026/03
2025-05	6.2 (7)	provide statistics on calls contribution in order to support countries with their submissions at the 2025 IPPC Regional Workshop.	Secretariat	2025/10
2025-05	7.9 (23)	stock take report on each of the SF DAIs for presentation at SPG	DAI leads	2025/10
2025-05	13.2 (47)	Secretariat bring the topic to the TC-RPPO in October to identify regional partners to host the 2026, 2027 and 2028 IDPH;	Secretariat	2025/10