



2004-012: DRAFT ANNEX TO ISPM 27 – XANTHOMONAS FRAGARIAE

Comm no.	Para no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country
1.	G	Editorial		Although the importance of testing of asymptomatic material is recognized in the introduction it is not very clear if both type of samples are covered. Eg in the section detection it is mentioned 'Procedures for the detection of X. fragariae in plants with symptoms are presented below' so reading the text, the protocol seems to be restricted to symptomatic samples only. However later in sampling (3.2) and in some tests descriptions (3.9) asymptomatic samples are mentioned. The protocol would be clearer if it would make a clear distinction between symptomatic and asymptomatic material. It is also suggested to add pictures of X. fragariae (symptoms and exudates) and of X. arboricola pv. fragariae.	EPPO, European Union
2.	G	Editorial		General comment: the technical panel must pay attention to detail when editing the document because in certain instances there appears to be no spacing between sentences in the document and also there are a few inconsistencies in terms of the referencing style.	South Africa
3.	G	Editorial	<a href="#">Se hace una atenta solicitud para que los párrafos de estos protocolos se numeren para mayor claridad y mejorar manejo de la información.</a>	Para facilitar el proceso de consulta y de revisión de estos proyectos de NIMF (protocolos de diagnóstico).	Costa Rica, Mexico
4.	G	Substantive	I support the document as it is and I have no comments		Georgia, Indonesia, Lao People's Democratic Republic, United States of America, Nepal, Thailand, Mexico, Congo, Barbados, Bahrain, Guyana, Belize, Ghana, Burundi
5.	G	Substantive	<a href="#">Redraft section 4 'Identification' to recognise that the bacterium can be identified without the need for pathogenicity tests or ELIS A tests.</a>	The requirement for positive results from those three kinds of tests is asking too much and could be restrictive. A quick look at the literature shows a fair amount of work has been done to eliminate the need for pathogenicity tests. Scientists have moved to replace pathogenicity tests with PCRs and other	Australia

Comm no.	Para no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country
				DNA tests. Identification relies on the specificity of the test and there are several papers reporting specificity of DNA methods and reporting that certain DNA tests are specific enough to reliably distinguish <i>Xanthomonas fragariae</i> from related <i>Xanthomonads</i> . Mirmajlessi et al. (2015) have done a systematic review of the publications describing PCR based methods for detecting and identifying <i>X. fragariae</i> . They say that "Conventional PCR using species-specific primers is known to differentiate close species and used for detection of <i>X. fragariae</i> ..." They also say that "A few loci suitable for the design of species specific primers for <i>X. fragariae</i> have been identified..." and then they provide references for the loci in RAPD-specific regions and within the <i>hrp</i> and <i>gyrB</i> genes. Albuquerque, P., Caridade, C. M., Marcal, A. R., Cruz, J., Cruz, L., Santos, C. L., ... & Tavares, F. (2011). Identification of <i>Xanthomonas fragariae</i> , <i>Xanthomonas axonopodis</i> pv. <i>phaseoli</i> , and <i>Xanthomonas fuscans</i> subsp. <i>fuscans</i> with Novel Markers and Using a Dot Blot Platform Coupled with Automatic Data Analysis. <i>Applied and environmental microbiology</i> , 77(16), 5619-5628. Mirmajlessi, S. M., Destefanis, M., Gottsberger, R. A., Mänd, M., & Loit, E. (2015). PCR-based specific techniques used for detecting the most important pathogens on strawberry: a systematic review. <i>Systematic reviews</i> , 4(1), 9. Vandroemme, J., Baeyen, S., Van Vaerenbergh, J., De Vos, P., & Maes, M. (2008). Sensitive real-time PCR detection of <i>Xanthomonas fragariae</i> in strawberry plants. <i>Plant Pathology</i> , 57(3), 438-444.	
6.	G	Substantive	<a href="#">Insert some pictures of disease symptoms and flow chart for detection.</a>	These information would be useful to identify <i>Xanthomonas fragariae</i> .	Japan
7.	G	Technical	<a href="#">QBOL is a consortium of 20 partners (universities, research institutes and phytosanitary organizations) from all over the world working together and sharing their research expertise in the field of DNA barcoding of Arthropods, Bacteria, Fungi, Nematodes, Phytoplasmas and Viruses. Thereby, we would like to request the TPDP to evaluate the relevance to include this method in protocols.</a>  <a href="#">Regarding paragraph 49, we would like to request the</a>	See comment	Peru

Comm no.	Para no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country
			<u>TPDP to clarify the affirmation that "There is not always a good correlation between isolation, serological tests (i.e. immunofluorescence, ELISA) and/or PCR because isolation frequently fails."</u>		
8.	G	Technical	<u>Regarding paragraph 49, we would like to request the TPDP to clarify the affirmation that "There is not always a good correlation between isolation, serological tests (i.e. immunofluorescence, ELISA) and/or PCR because isolation frequently fails."</u>	See comment	Argentina
9.	G	Technical	<u>Regarding paragraph 49, we would like to request the TPDP to clarify the affirmation that "There is not always a good correlation between isolation, serological tests (i.e. immunofluorescence, ELISA) and/or PCR because isolation frequently fails."</u>	See comment	COSAVE, Uruguay, Chile, Paraguay
10.	G	Technical	<u>1. Regarding paragraph 49, we would like to request the TPDP to clarify the affirmation that "There is not always a good correlation between isolation, serological tests (i.e. immunofluorescence, ELISA) and/or PCR because isolation frequently fails."</u>  <u>2. QBOL is a consortium of 20 partners (universities, research institutes and phytosanitary organizations) from all over the world working together and sharing their research expertise in field of DNA barcoding of Arthropods, Bacteria, Fungi, Nematodes, Phytoplasma and Viruses. Thereby, we would like to request the TPDP to evaluate the relevance to include this method in this protocol.</u>	See comment	Brazil
11.	G	Technical	<u>En el apartado 3.9.2, primer párrafo, se debe clarificar si el nivel de detección por el método Multiplex de PCR se refiere a un mínimo o un máximo nivel de detección.</u>  <u>En el apartado 3.9.2, segundo párrafo, debe aclararse si la concentración de los componentes de la mezcla se refiere a concentración de la solución madre o a la concentración del mastermix.</u>  <u>En el apartado 3.9.3, protocolo de Moltmann y Zimmerman primer párrafo, última oración, no queda claro si se está refiriendo al ADN total (incluye el de la</u>	Aspecto relevantes que deben ser clarificados	Costa Rica

Comm no.	Para no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country												
			<p><u>planta) o solamente el ADN bacteriano. En el párrafo 3 primera oración de este protocolo debe indicarse cuántas unidades de la Taq DNA polimeras ha de usarse, ya que no se indica</u></p> <p><u>En el protocolo de Roberts et al. tercer párrafo debe indicarse cuántas unidades de la Taq ADN polimerasa ha de usarse ya que no se indica</u></p>														
12.	1	Substantive	<p><u>Deletion of the name “Africa “ and replacing it with the name “Ethiopia “.DRAFT ANNEX to ISPM 27 – Xanthomonas fragariae (2004-012)</u></p>	<p>According to CABI 2015, Ethiopia is the only country in Africa where Xanthomonas fragariae is known to occur rather than in the entire African continent</p>	South Africa												
13.	2	Editorial	<table border="1"> <tr> <td><b>Status box</b></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>This is not an official part of the standard and it will be modified by the IPPC Secretariat after adoption.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Date of this document</b></td> <td>2015-06-10</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Document category</b></td> <td>Draft annex to ISPM 27 (<i>Diagnostic protocols for regulated pests</i>)</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Current document stage</b></td> <td>To member consultation</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Origin</b></td> <td>Work programme topic: Bacteria, CPM-1 (2006)  Original subject: <i>Xanthomonas fragariae</i> (2004-012)</td> </tr> </table>	<b>Status box</b>		This is not an official part of the standard and it will be modified by the IPPC Secretariat after adoption.		<b>Date of this document</b>	2015-06-10	<b>Document category</b>	Draft annex to ISPM 27 ( <i>Diagnostic protocols for regulated pests</i> )	<b>Current document stage</b>	To member consultation	<b>Origin</b>	Work programme topic: Bacteria, CPM-1 (2006)  Original subject: <i>Xanthomonas fragariae</i> (2004-012)	<p>seperate the two words i.e to member and fragariae to</p>	Kenya
<b>Status box</b>																	
This is not an official part of the standard and it will be modified by the IPPC Secretariat after adoption.																	
<b>Date of this document</b>	2015-06-10																
<b>Document category</b>	Draft annex to ISPM 27 ( <i>Diagnostic protocols for regulated pests</i> )																
<b>Current document stage</b>	To member consultation																
<b>Origin</b>	Work programme topic: Bacteria, CPM-1 (2006)  Original subject: <i>Xanthomonas fragariae</i> (2004-012)																

Comm no.	Para no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country
			<p><b>Major stages</b></p>	<p>2004-11 SC added topic to work programme</p> <p>2006-04CPM-1 added <i>Xanthomonas fragariae</i> to work programme (2004-012)</p> <p>2008-06TPDP meeting</p> <p>2014-01 Expert consultation</p> <p>2014-07 TPDP meeting</p> <p>2015-04 TPDP e-decision for submission to SC</p> <p>2015-06 SC e-decision approval for submitting to MC (2015_eSC_Nov_03)</p>	
			<p><b>Discipline leads history</b></p>	<p>2006-07 SC Lum KENG-YEANG (MY)</p> <p>2011-05 SC Robert TAYLOR (NZ)</p>	

Comm no.	Para no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country
			<p><b>Consultation on technical level</b></p>	<p>The first draft of this protocol was written by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Edwin L. CIVEROLO (USDA/ARS, United States) (retired)</li><li>• Solke H. DE BOER (Centre for Animal and Plant Health, Canadian Food Inspection Agency)</li><li>• John ELPHINSTONE (Plant and Environmental Bacteriology, Fera, United Kingdom)</li><li>• María M. LÓPEZ (Centro de Protección Vegetal y Biotecnología)</li></ul>	

Comm no.	Para no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country
			<div data-bbox="454 300 808 951" style="border: 1px solid black; height: 408px;"></div> <div data-bbox="808 300 1055 951" style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p>a, Instituto Valenciano de Investigaciones Agrarias, Spain).</p> <p>The following expert commented on the draft protocol on a voluntary basis during the expert consultation stage:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Stephan BIERIE (Canadian Food Inspection Agency, Canada)</li> </ul> </div> <div data-bbox="454 951 808 1050" style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p><b>Main discussion points during development of the diagnostic protocol</b></p> </div> <div data-bbox="454 1050 808 1278" style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p><b>Notes</b></p> </div>		
14.	2	Editorial	<div data-bbox="454 1284 808 1329" style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;"> <p><b>Status box</b></p> </div> <div data-bbox="454 1329 808 1382" style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;"> <p>This is not an official part of the standard and it will be modified</p> </div>	It is requested that the referencing method that is used in this text be consistent throughout the entire text	South Africa

Comm . no.	Para . no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country												
			<table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td>by the IPPC Secretariat after adoption.</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Date of this document</b></td> <td>2015-06-10</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Document category</b></td> <td>Draft annex to ISPM 27 (<i>Diagnostic protocols for regulated pests</i>)</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Current document stage</b></td> <td>To member consultation</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Origin</b></td> <td>Work programme topic: Bacteria, CPM-1 (2006)  Original subject: <i>Xanthomonas fragariae</i> (2004-012)</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Major stages</b></td> <td>2004-11 SC added topic to work programme  2006-04CPM-1 added <i>Xanthomonas fragariae</i> to work programme (2004-012)  2008-06TPDP meeting  2014-01 Expert consultation  2014-07 TPDP meeting</td> </tr> </table>		by the IPPC Secretariat after adoption.	<b>Date of this document</b>	2015-06-10	<b>Document category</b>	Draft annex to ISPM 27 ( <i>Diagnostic protocols for regulated pests</i> )	<b>Current document stage</b>	To member consultation	<b>Origin</b>	Work programme topic: Bacteria, CPM-1 (2006)  Original subject: <i>Xanthomonas fragariae</i> (2004-012)	<b>Major stages</b>	2004-11 SC added topic to work programme  2006-04CPM-1 added <i>Xanthomonas fragariae</i> to work programme (2004-012)  2008-06TPDP meeting  2014-01 Expert consultation  2014-07 TPDP meeting		
	by the IPPC Secretariat after adoption.																
<b>Date of this document</b>	2015-06-10																
<b>Document category</b>	Draft annex to ISPM 27 ( <i>Diagnostic protocols for regulated pests</i> )																
<b>Current document stage</b>	To member consultation																
<b>Origin</b>	Work programme topic: Bacteria, CPM-1 (2006)  Original subject: <i>Xanthomonas fragariae</i> (2004-012)																
<b>Major stages</b>	2004-11 SC added topic to work programme  2006-04CPM-1 added <i>Xanthomonas fragariae</i> to work programme (2004-012)  2008-06TPDP meeting  2014-01 Expert consultation  2014-07 TPDP meeting																



Comm no.	Para no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country
			<p>2015-04 TPDP e-decision for submission to SC</p> <p>2015-06 SC e-decision approval for submitting to MC (2015_eSC_Nov_03)</p>		
			<p><b>Discipline leads history</b></p>	<p>2006-07 SC Lum KENG-YEANG (MY)</p> <p>2011-05 SC Robert TAYLOR (NZ)</p>	
			<p><b>Consultation on technical level</b></p>	<p>The first draft of this protocol was written by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Edwin L. CIVEROLO (USDA/ARS, United States) (retired)</li> <li>• Solke H. DE BOER (Centre for Animal and</li> </ul>	

Comm no.	Para no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country
			<p>Plant Health, Canadian Food Inspection Agency)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• John ELPHINSTO NE (Plant and Environment al Bacteriology, Fera, United Kingdom)</li><li>• María M. LÓPEZ (Centro de Protección Vegetal y Biotecnología , Instituto Valenciano de Investigacion es Agrarias, Spain).</li></ul> <p>The following expert commented on the draft protocol on a voluntary basis during the expert consultation stage:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Stephan BIERIE</li></ul>		

Comm . no.	Para . no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country										
			<table border="1"> <tr> <td></td> <td>(Canadian Food Inspection Agency, Canada)</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Main discussion points during development of the diagnostic protocol</b></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Notes</b></td> <td>                     This is a draft document.                      2015-03 Edited                      2015-06 Status box last modified                 </td> </tr> </table>		(Canadian Food Inspection Agency, Canada)	<b>Main discussion points during development of the diagnostic protocol</b>		<b>Notes</b>	This is a draft document. 2015-03 Edited 2015-06 Status box last modified						
	(Canadian Food Inspection Agency, Canada)														
<b>Main discussion points during development of the diagnostic protocol</b>															
<b>Notes</b>	This is a draft document. 2015-03 Edited 2015-06 Status box last modified														
15.	2	Substantive	<table border="1"> <tr> <td><b>Status box</b></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>This is not an official part of the standard and it will be modified by the IPPC Secretariat after adoption.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Date of this document</b></td> <td>2015-06-10</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Document category</b></td> <td>Draft annex to ISPM 27 (<i>Diagnostic protocols for regulated pests</i>)</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Current document stage</b></td> <td>To member</td> </tr> </table>	<b>Status box</b>		This is not an official part of the standard and it will be modified by the IPPC Secretariat after adoption.		<b>Date of this document</b>	2015-06-10	<b>Document category</b>	Draft annex to ISPM 27 ( <i>Diagnostic protocols for regulated pests</i> )	<b>Current document stage</b>	To member	Please correct Stephan Brière's name - BRIERE. It is spelled incorrectly in the table.	Canada
<b>Status box</b>															
This is not an official part of the standard and it will be modified by the IPPC Secretariat after adoption.															
<b>Date of this document</b>	2015-06-10														
<b>Document category</b>	Draft annex to ISPM 27 ( <i>Diagnostic protocols for regulated pests</i> )														
<b>Current document stage</b>	To member														

Comm . no.	Para . no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country
			consultation		
		<b>Origin</b>	Work programme topic: Bacteria, CPM-1 (2006) Original subject: <i>Xanthomonas fragariae</i> (2004-012)		
		<b>Major stages</b>	2004-11 SC added topic to work programme 2006-04CPM-1 added <i>Xanthomonas fragariae</i> to work programme (2004-012) 2008-06TPDP meeting 2014-01 Expert consultation 2014-07 TPDP meeting 2015-04 TPDP e-decision for submission to SC 2015-06 SC e-decision approval for submitting to MC (2015_eSC_Nov_03)		

Comm no.	Para no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country
			<p data-bbox="443 363 712 387"><b>Discipline leads history</b></p> <p data-bbox="792 308 1016 363">2006-07 SC Lum KENG-YEANG (MY)</p> <p data-bbox="792 400 1016 456">2011-05 SC Robert TAYLOR (NZ)</p> <p data-bbox="443 911 734 967"><b>Consultation on technical level</b></p> <p data-bbox="792 467 1016 547">The first draft of this protocol was written by:</p> <ul data-bbox="842 592 1016 1406" style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="842 592 1016 751">• Edwin L. CIVEROLO (USDA/ARS, United States) (retired)</li> <li data-bbox="842 799 1016 1046">• Solke H. DE BOER (Centre for Animal and Plant Health, Canadian Food Inspection Agency)</li> <li data-bbox="842 1094 1016 1342">• John ELPHINSTO NE (Plant and Environment al Bacteriology, Fera, United Kingdom)</li> <li data-bbox="842 1382 1016 1406">• María M.</li> </ul>		

Comm no.	Para no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country
			<p>LÓPEZ (Centro de Protección Vegetal y Biotecnología, Instituto Valenciano de Investigaciones Agrarias, Spain).</p> <p>The following expert commented on the draft protocol on a voluntary basis during the expert consultation stage:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Stephan BRIERIE (Canadian Food Inspection Agency, Canada)</li> </ul>		
			<p><b>Main discussion points during development of the diagnostic protocol</b></p>		
			<p><b>Notes</b></p>	<p>This is a draft document.</p> <p>2015-03 Edited</p> <p>2015-06 Status box</p>	

Comm no.	Para no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country
			<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100%; height: 100%; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center;">last modified</div>		
16.	3	Editorial	<p>Contents <a href="#">Addition of a semi colon after the reference “Civerolo, 1980” for consistency with other listed references.</a></p> <p><a href="#">Commission on Phytosanitary Measures (CPM)</a></p> <p><a href="#">(NSPM, 2014; UH-CTAHR, 2006 ).</a></p> <p><a href="#">Approximately</a></p> <p><a href="#">described</a></p> <p><a href="#">An alternative to isolation of X. fragariae from tissue is to streak aliquots of fresh exudates from lesions directly onto Wilbrink-N, YPGA, SPA or other commonly used media.</a></p>	For consistency with other listed reference. Write out abbreviations in full the first time when they are used e.g. “CPM” in order to provide clarity for those who may not be familiar with the abbreviations in question. Addition of these references to validate the point that has been made. Replacement of the word “Approximatley” with “Approximately”, it’s grammatically correct. Replacement of the word “decribed” with “described”, its grammatically correct Addition of this sentence which was in 3.5.2 below because it is more relevant in this paragraph than in 3.5.2. Deletion of this sentence and moving it to paragraph 3.5.1 above because the sentence is more relevant in paragraph 3.5.1.	South Africa
17.	3	Substantive	<p><a href="#">Immunofluorescence), Molecular (PCR) and Pathogenecity (Koch’s Ppostulates)</a>Contents</p>	Deletion of Elisa, immunofluorescence and PCR reason being for consistency with point 4. In addition.; ELISA and immunofluorescence are both immunological tests and pathogenicity tests were not mentioned in this .paragraph.	South Africa
18.	4	Substantive	To be added later. <a href="#">or supernatant</a>	Addition of “supernatant because it can also be used to confirm Koch’s postulates .	South Africa
19.	9	Editorial	<p><i>Xanthomonas fragariae</i> Kennedy and King, 1962<del>a</del> is the causal agent of bacterial angular leaf spot disease of strawberry. The disease is prevalent mainly in North America and was first reported in the United States in 1962 (Kennedy and King, 1962<del>a</del>; Hildebrand <i>et al.</i>, 1967; Maas <i>et al.</i>, 1995), but it has been subsequently reported in many strawberry growing areas around the world, including South America, Africa and Europe (CABI, 2015). <i>Fragaria x ananassa</i>, the predominant cultivated strawberry, is the primary host of <i>X. fragariae</i>. However, commercial cultivars vary in susceptibility, and other</p>	The "Maas,1995" reference has multiple authors, as seen in the entry under the references section (Maas, J.L., Pooler, M. & Galletta, G.J. 1995. Bacterial angular leafspot disease of strawberry: Present status and prospects for control. <i>Advances in Strawberry Research</i> , 14: 18–24). It is proposed to delete the reference "Kennedy and King, 1962b" from the references section, as it was not cited in the draft annex. Hence, the reference "Kennedy and King, 1962a" should be changed to "Kennedy and King, 1962" instead.	Singapore

Comm no.	Para no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country
			<i>Fragaria</i> species, including <i>F. chiloensis</i> , <i>F. virginiana</i> and <i>F. vesca</i> , as well as <i>Potentilla fruticosa</i> and <i>P. glandulosa</i> are also susceptible. Among <i>Fragaria</i> species only <i>F. moschata</i> is immune (Kennedy and King, 1962a; Kennedy, 1965; Maas, 1998).		
20.	9	Editorial	<p><i>Xanthomonas fragariae</i> Kennedy and King, 1962a is the causal agent of bacterial angular leaf spot disease of strawberry. The disease is prevalent mainly in North America and was first reported in the United States in 1962 (Kennedy and King, 1962a; Hildebrand <i>et al.</i>, 1967; Maas, 1995), but it has been subsequently reported in many strawberry growing areas around the world, including South America, Africa and Europe (CABI, 2015). <i>Fragaria x ananassa</i>, the predominant cultivated strawberry, is the primary host of <i>X. fragariae</i>. However, commercial cultivars vary in susceptibility, and other <i>Fragaria</i> species, including <i>F. chiloensis</i>, <i>F. virginiana</i> and <i>F. vesca</i>, as well as <i>Potentilla fruticosa</i> and <i>P. glandulosa</i> are also susceptible. Among <i>Fragaria</i> species only <i>F. moschata</i> is immune (Kennedy and King, 1962a; Kennedy, 1965; Maas, 1998).</p> <p><a href="http://www.moadwto.gov.np/downloadfile/NSPM-17pestreporting_1390904481.pdf-Nov%202015_pp5-6">National Standards for Phytosanitary Measures (NSPM) approved by the NPPO and National Quarantine Committee of Nepal. 2014. Standard Technical protocols for collection and Handling of Disease samples.[Online] Available: http://www.moadwto.gov.np/downloadfile/NSPM-17pestreporting_1390904481.pdf-Nov 2015 pp5-6</a></p> <p><a href="#">University of Hawaii college of tropical agriculture and Human resources (UH-CTAHR), 2006. Collecting plant disease and Insects Pest Samples for problem diagnosis. Scot. C. Nelson and Brian C. Bushe. Cooperative Extension Service, Soil and Crop management. SCM-14. University of Hawaii. pp 2-3.</a></p>	Addition of these two references that were added in paragraph number: 2	South Africa
21.	10	Technical	<i>X. fragariae</i> is readily transmitted via asymptomatic planting stock with latent infection. Inoculum sources for primary infection are infected but clinically asymptomatic	Alt would be better to add a literature citation. One possible citation is the Maas (1998) Compendium of Strawberry Diseases (page 16 there is a reference to survival)	EPPO, European Union



Comm no.	Para no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country
			daughter plants that develop on runners from infected nursery plants and that are used for planting in fruit production fields. Although <i>X. fragariae</i> is not free-living in the soil, it can overwinter in the soil in association with previously infected plant material and persist there for long periods of time (Maas, 1998). Residues of infected leaves and crown infections on runners used for planting are also sources of inoculum for primary infection.		
22.	13	Editorial	<b>Name:</b> <i>Xanthomonas fragariae</i> Kennedy and King, 1962a	It is proposed to delete the reference "Kennedy and King, 1962b" from the references section, as it was not cited in the draft annex. Hence, the reference "Kennedy and King, 1962a" should be changed to "Kennedy and King, 1962" instead.	Singapore
23.	19	Editorial	Diagnosis of bacterial angular leaf spot disease of strawberry caused by <i>X. fragariae</i> is based on inspection for diagnostic symptoms, direct or indirect isolation of the pathogen, serological analyses (e.g. indirect immunofluorescence, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)) and molecular methods, including polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based techniques (López <i>et al.</i> , 1985; Roberts <i>et al.</i> , 1996; Civerolo <i>et al.</i> , 1997a, 1997b; Hartung and Pooler, 1997; Zimmerman <i>et al.</i> , 2004; López <i>et al.</i> , 2005). A detached leaf bioassay (Civerolo <i>et al.</i> , 1997a) is useful for <del>direct</del> presumptive diagnosis of <i>X. fragariae</i> . Analyses of field-collected or clinical samples are generally based on leaves with young water-soaked spots if available, or leaves with older lesions with or without dried bacterial exudates. If systemic infection is suspected, analysis of crown tissue is necessary (López <i>et al.</i> , 2005). The methods indicated, with the exception of the nested PCR, have been validated in a ring test project funded by the European Union (SMT-4-CT98-2252) (López <i>et al.</i> , 2005).	unnecessary word	EPPO, European Union
24.	19	Technical	Diagnosis of bacterial angular leaf spot disease of strawberry caused by <i>X. fragariae</i> is based on inspection for diagnostic symptoms, direct or indirect isolation of the pathogen, serological analyses (e.g. indirect immunofluorescence, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)) and molecular methods, including polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based techniques (López <i>et al.</i> , 1985; Roberts <i>et al.</i> , 1996; Civerolo <i>et al.</i> ,	it is suggested to replace the text on molecular tests by Several PCR detection tests each targeting different loci in the Xf genome have been developed (Roberts <i>et al.</i> , 1996; Zimmerman <i>et al.</i> , 2004; Weller <i>et al.</i> , 2007; Vandroemme <i>et al.</i> , 2007, 2008; Vermunt & van Beuningen, 2008; Turecheck <i>et al.</i> , 2008). These tests can be used to confirm the presence of Xf in symptomatic plant material but several of them have also been used to for the detection of latent Xf-infections (Mahuku & Goodwin, 1997;	EPPO

Comm no.	Para no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country
			1997a, 1997b; Hartung and Pooler, 1997; Zimmerman <i>et al.</i> , 2004; López <i>et al.</i> , 2005). A detached leaf bioassay (Civerolo <i>et al.</i> , 1997a) is useful for direct presumptive diagnosis of <i>X. fragariae</i> . <del>Analyses of field-collected or clinical samples are generally based on leaves with young water-soaked spots if available, or leaves with older lesions with or without dried bacterial exudates. If systemic infection is suspected, analysis of crown tissue is necessary (López <i>et al.</i>, 2005).</del> The methods indicated, with the exception of the nested PCR, have been validated in a ring test project funded by the European Union (SMT-4-CT98-2252) (López <i>et al.</i> , 2005).	Zimmerman <i>et al.</i> , 2004; Moltman & Zimmerman, 2005; Vermunt & van Beuningen, 2008). More complete RIng test: We have been told by Quality assessors that the name “test performance study” was a better word than ring test and have decided to use it instead in EPPO documents. Proposed deletion: This text is repeated in section 3.2 and is better placed there In addition is the term clinical samples widely used? Not really in Europe does it mean laboratory samples?	
25.	19	Technical	Diagnosis of bacterial angular leaf spot disease of strawberry caused by <i>X. fragariae</i> is based on <del>observation</del> inspection for diagnostic symptoms, direct or indirect isolation of the pathogen, serological analyses (e.g. indirect immunofluorescence, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)) and molecular methods, including polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based techniques (López <i>et al.</i> , 1985; Roberts <i>et al.</i> , 1996; Civerolo <i>et al.</i> , 1997a, 1997b; Hartung and Pooler, 1997; Zimmerman <i>et al.</i> , 2004; López <i>et al.</i> , 2005). A detached leaf bioassay (Civerolo <i>et al.</i> , 1997a) is useful for direct presumptive diagnosis of <i>X. fragariae</i> . Analyses of field-collected or [clinical samples] are generally based on leaves with young water-soaked spots if available, or leaves with older lesions with or without dried bacterial exudates. If systemic infection is suspected, analysis of crown tissue is necessary (López <i>et al.</i> , 2005). The methods indicated, with the exception of the nested PCR, have been validated in a ring test project funded by the European Union (SMT-4-CT98-2252) (López <i>et al.</i> , 2005).	Clarify Why clinical sample and yet we are dealing with plants.	Kenya
26.	19	Technical	Diagnosis of bacterial angular leaf spot disease of strawberry caused by <i>X. fragariae</i> is based on inspection for diagnostic symptoms, direct or indirect isolation of the pathogen, serological analyses (e.g. indirect immunofluorescence, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)) and molecular methods, including polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based techniques (López <i>et al.</i> , 1985; Roberts <i>et al.</i> , 1996; Civerolo <i>et al.</i> ,	it is suggested to replace the text on molecular tests by Several PCR detection tests each targeting different loci in the Xf genome have been developed (Roberts <i>et al.</i> , 1996; Zimmerman <i>et al.</i> , 2004; Weller <i>et al.</i> , 2007; Vandroemme <i>et al.</i> , 2007, 2008; Vermunt & van Beuningen, 2008; Turecheck <i>et al.</i> , 2008). These tests can be used to confirm the presence of Xf in symptomatic plant material but several of them have also been used to for the detection of latent Xf-infections (Mahuku & Goodwin, 1997;	European Union

Comm no.	Para no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country
			1997a, 1997b; Hartung and Pooler, 1997; Zimmerman <i>et al.</i> , 2004; López <i>et al.</i> , 2005). A detached leaf bioassay (Civerolo <i>et al.</i> , 1997a) is useful for direct presumptive diagnosis of <i>X. fragariae</i> <u>in the laboratory in cases where direct isolation is very slow or inhibited</u> . <del>Analyses of field-collected or clinical samples are generally based on leaves with young water-soaked spots if available, or leaves with older lesions with or without dried bacterial exudates. If systemic infection is suspected, analysis of crown tissue is necessary (López <i>et al.</i>, 2005).</del> The methods indicated, with the exception of the nested PCR, have been validated in a ring test project funded by the European Union (SMT-4-CT98-2252) (López <i>et al.</i> , 2005).	Zimmerman <i>et al.</i> , 2004; Moltman & Zimmerman, 2005; Vermunt & van Beuningen, 2008). More complete Ring test: We have been told by Quality assessors that the name “test performance study” was a better word than ring test and have decided to use it instead in EPPO documents. Proposed addition: Further clarity on the circumstances when the bioassay would be used. Proposed deletion: This text is repeated in section 3.2 and is better placed there. In addition is the term clinical samples widely used? Not really in Europe does it mean laboratory samples?	
27.	21	Technical	Procedures for the detection of <i>X. fragariae</i> in plants with symptoms are presented below.	See general comment (this sentence seems to mean that only procedures for the detection of <i>X. fragariae</i> in plants with symptoms are included).	EPPO, European Union
28.	22	Editorial	In this diagnostic protocol, methods (including reference to brand names) are described as published, as these defined the original level of sensitivity, specificity and/or reproducibility achieved. The use of names of reagents, chemicals or equipment in these diagnostic protocols implies no approval of them to the exclusion of others that may also be suitable. (This information is given for the convenience of users of this protocol and does not constitute an endorsement by the CPM of the chemical, reagent and/or equipment named.). Laboratory procedures presented in the protocols may be adjusted to the standards of individual laboratories, provided that they are adequately validated.	Remove the unnecessary brackets.	Canada
29.	22	Technical	In this diagnostic protocol, methods (including reference to brand names) are described as published, as these defined the original level of sensitivity, specificity and/or reproducibility achieved. <del>The use of names of reagents, chemicals or equipment in these diagnostic protocols implies no approval of them to the exclusion of others that may also be suitable. (This information is given for the convenience of users of this protocol and does not constitute an endorsement by the CPM of the chemical, reagent and/or equipment named.).</del> Laboratory	Text deleted and included in the footnote as previously agreed.	COSAVE, Argentina, Peru, Brazil, Uruguay, Chile, Paraguay

Comm no.	Para no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country
			procedures presented in the protocols may be adjusted to the standards of individual laboratories, provided that they are adequately validated.		
30.	23	Substantive	<b>3.1 Symptoms</b>	It is suggested that it would be most beneficial if pictures of the symptoms could be added.	New Zealand
31.	24	Editorial	Small (1–4 mm diameter) angular water-soaked spots (lesions) bounded by the smallest leaf veins appear initially on the lower leaf surface. In the early stages of infection, these spots appear translucent yellow when viewed under transmitted light. The lesions enlarge and coalesce, eventually appearing on the upper leaf surface as angular water-soaked spots that become reddish brown. Viscous bacterial exudates that are white, milky, cream or yellow in colour develop from lesions under wet conditions or when the relative humidity is high. The exudates become dry scale-like masses that are opaque or brown. As the disease progresses, coalesced reddish-brown lesions become necrotic. Necrotic lesion tissue may tear or break off the leaf, and diseased leaves may appear blighted or ragged. Leaf infections often develop and form long lesions along major veins. In advanced stages of disease development, the foliar tissue around old coalesced reddish-brown lesions is generally chlorotic (Kennedy and King, 1962a; EPPO, 1992; Rat, 1993; Maas, 1998).	It is proposed to delete the reference "Kennedy and King, 1962b" from the references section, as it was not cited in the draft annex. Hence, the reference "Kennedy and King, 1962a" should be changed to "Kennedy and King, 1962" instead.	Singapore
32.	24	Technical	Small (1–4 mm diameter) angular water-soaked spots (lesions) bounded by the smallest leaf veins appear initially on the lower leaf surface. In the early stages of infection, these spots <b>are barely visible in the field and</b> appear translucent yellow when viewed under transmitted light. The lesions enlarge and coalesce, eventually appearing on the upper leaf surface as angular water-soaked spots that become reddish brown. Viscous bacterial exudates that are white, milky, cream or yellow in colour develop from lesions under wet conditions or when the relative humidity is high. The exudates become dry scale-like masses that are opaque <b>and whitish/silvery, becoming brown (Janse, 2005) or brown.</b> As the disease progresses, coalesced reddish-	1 It is important to specify that the symptoms are not easy to see. 2 Bacterial slime on leaf spots dries as a silvery scale under dry conditions. The reference is Janse, J.D. 2005. Phytobacteriology: Principles and Practice. CABI Publishing, Wallingford, UK. PP. 224-226.	EPPO, European Union

Comm no.	Para no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country
			brown lesions become necrotic. Necrotic lesion tissue may tear or break off the leaf, and diseased leaves may appear blighted or ragged. Leaf infections often develop and form long lesions along major veins. In advanced stages of disease development, the foliar tissue around old coalesced reddish-brown lesions is generally chlorotic (Kennedy and King, 1962a; EPPO, 1992; Rat, 1993; Maas, 1998).		
33.	25	Technical	In contrast to angular leaf spot disease of strawberry, bacterial leaf blight of strawberry caused by <i>Xanthomonas arboricola</i> pv. <i>fragariae</i> is characterized by small reddish-brown lesions on the lower leaf surface that are neither water-soaked nor translucent; reddish spots on the upper leaf surface; lesions coalescing into large, dry brown spots surrounded by a chlorotic halo; and large brown V-shaped lesions along the leaf margin, midrib and major veins (Janse <i>et al.</i> , 2001). Also, no bacterial exudation is associated with bacterial leaf blight lesions (Janse <i>et al.</i> , 2001). In advanced stages, bacterial angular leaf spot is difficult to distinguish from fungal leaf-spotting diseases such as common leaf spot ( <i>Mycosphaerella fragariae</i> ) and leaf scorch ( <i>Diplocarpon earliana</i> ). <a href="#">provide reference</a>	For Scientific justification and further cross reference	Kenya
34.	26	Substantive	Severe infections of <i>X. fragariae</i> may spread from the leaves to the crown where discrete water-soaked areas develop (Hildebrand <i>et al.</i> , 1967). Severe crown infection can result in plants with decreased vigour that may collapse and eventually die. Leaves that develop from infected crowns are often systemically infected, with lesions that appear along the veins at the base of the leaves. <a href="#">join paragraph 26 and 27</a>	For consistency since both refer to similar symptoms	Kenya
35.	26	Technical	Severe infections of <i>X. fragariae</i> may spread from the leaves to the crown where discrete water-soaked areas develop (Hildebrand <i>et al.</i> , 1967). Severe crown infection can result in plants with decreased vigour that may collapse and eventually die. Leaves that develop from infected crowns are often systemically infected, with lesions that appear along the veins at the base of the leaves. <a href="#">Slime may exude from vascular bundles when the crown is cut transversely.</a>	Technical addition of a characteristic symptom	EPPO, European Union

Comm no.	Para no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country
36.	27	Substantive	In severe cases of disease, <i>X. fragariae</i> may attack flowers and cause blossom blight, but it does not directly infect fruits (Gubler <i>et al.</i> , 1999). Water-soaked lesions on infected calyx tissue are similar in appearance to foliar lesions (Gubler <i>et al.</i> , 1999). Fruit tissue near severely infected calyx tissue may also become water-soaked. <a href="#">join with paragraph 26</a>	For consistency	Kenya
37.	28	Technical	<i>X. fragariae</i> can move systemically into the roots, crowns and runners without exhibiting obvious symptoms (Stefani <i>et al.</i> , 1989; Milholland <i>et al.</i> , 1996; Mahuku and Goodwin, 1997). This type of infection <del>may can</del> result in the appearance of water-soaked areas at the base of newly emerged leaves followed shortly by sudden plant collapse and death <a href="#">although it is not always seen</a> .	The sudden plant collapse and death is not frequently seen in Europe	EPPO, European Union
38.	30	Editorial	For plants with symptoms, leaves with initial water-soaked spots are preferred as samples for the diagnosis of bacterial angular leaf spot and is necessary for successful isolation of <i>X. fragariae</i> . Alternatively, leaves with dry spots and with or without exudates can be used. When systemic infection is suspected it is necessary to analyse crown tissue from affected plants. For symptomless plants, it is recommended that several entire plants be selected and small amounts of tissue be excised from their leaves, petioles and crowns (EPPO, 2006). These can be used directly for PCR-based analyses as described in section 3.9. A reference <i>X. fragariae</i> strain should be included in all tests as a positive control.	Spelling correction.	Singapore
39.	30	Editorial	For plants with symptoms, leaves with initial water-soaked spots are preferred as samples for the diagnosis of bacterial angular leaf spot <del>facilitating and is necessary for</del> successful isolation of <i>X. fragariae</i> . Alternatively, leaves with dry spots and with or without exudates can be used. <del>When systemic infection is suspected it is necessary to analyse crown tissue</del> <a href="#">should also be examined from affected plants</a> . For symptomless plants, it is recommended that several entire plants be selected and small amounts of tissue be excised from their leaves, petioles and crowns (EPPO, 2006). These can be used directly for PCR-based analyses as described in section 3.9. A reference <i>X. fragariae</i> strain should be	Simplification of the text	EPPO, European Union

Comm no.	Para no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country
			included in all tests as a positive control.		
40.	30	Editorial	For plants with symptoms, leaves with initial water-soaked spots are preferred as samples for the diagnosis of bacterial angular leaf spot and is <del>neccessary</del> necessary for successful isolation of <i>X. fragariae</i> . Alternatively, leaves with dry spots and with or without exudates can be used. When systemic infection is suspected it is necessary to analyse crown tissue from affected plants. For symptomless plants, it is recommended that several entire plants be selected and small amounts of tissue be excised from their leaves, petioles and crowns (EPPO, 2006). These can be used directly for PCR-based analyses as described in section 3.9. A reference <i>X. fragariae</i> strain should be included in all tests as a positive control.	Incorret spelling	Jamaica
41.	30	Editorial	For plants with symptoms, leaves with initial water-soaked spots are preferred as samples for the diagnosis of bacterial angular leaf spot and is <del>necessary</del> necessary for successful isolation of <i>X. fragariae</i> . Alternatively, leaves with dry spots and with or without exudates can be used. When systemic infection is suspected it is necessary to analyse crown tissue from affected plants. For symptomless plants, it is recommended that several entire plants be selected and small amounts of tissue be excised from their leaves, petioles and crowns (EPPO, 2006). These can be used directly for PCR-based analyses as described in section 3.9. A reference <i>X. fragariae</i> strain should be included in all tests as a positive control.	spelling corrections	Kenya
42.	30	Editorial	For plants with symptoms, leaves with initial water-soaked spots are preferred as samples for the diagnosis of bacterial angular leaf spot and is <del>neccessary</del> necessary for successful isolation of <i>X. fragariae</i> . Alternatively, leaves with dry spots and with or without exudates can be used. When systemic infection is suspected it is necessary to analyse crown tissue from affected plants. For symptomless plants, it is recommended that several entire plants be selected and small amounts of tissue be excised from their leaves, petioles and crowns (EPPO, 2006). These can be used directly for PCR-based analyses as	Correct spelling of necessary	Canada



Comm no.	Para no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country
			described in section 3.9. A reference <i>X. fragariae</i> strain should be included in all tests as a positive control.		
43.	30	Substantive	For plants with symptoms, leaves with initial water-soaked spots are preferred as samples for the diagnosis of bacterial angular leaf spot and is necessary for successful isolation of <i>X. fragariae</i> . Alternatively, leaves with dry spots and with or without exudates can be used. When systemic infection is suspected it is necessary to analyse crown tissue from affected plants. For symptomless plants, it is recommended that several entire plants be selected and small amounts of tissue be excised from their leaves, petioles and crowns (EPPO, 2006). These can be used directly for PCR-based analyses as described in section 3.9. A reference <i>X. fragariae</i> strain should be included in all [tests as a positive control].	Provide more information on sampling intensity	Kenya
44.	30	Technical	For plants with symptoms, leaves with initial water-soaked spots are preferred as samples for the diagnosis of bacterial angular leaf spot and is necessary for successful isolation of <i>X. fragariae</i> . Alternatively, leaves with dry spots and with or without exudates can be used. When systemic infection is suspected it is necessary to analyse crown tissue from affected plants. For symptomless plants, it is recommended that several entire plants be selected and small amounts of tissue be excised from their leaves, petioles and crowns (EPPO, 2006). These can be used directly for PCR-based analyses as described in section 3.9. <del>A reference <i>X. fragariae</i> strain should be included in all tests as a positive control.</del>	The last sentence should not belong to sampling but be included in the section related to the different tests.	EPPO, European Union
45.	31	Substantive	Samples should not be left in a wet condition after collection. Preferably samples should be partially dried, wrapped in paper, placed in polythene bags and kept cool. Samples should be transported in a well-insulated container and on arrival at their destination stored at 4 °C. <a href="#">join with paragraph 30</a>	For consistency	Kenya
46.	31	Technical	Samples should not be left in a wet condition after collection. Preferably samples should be partially dried, wrapped in paper, placed in polythene bags and kept cool. Samples should be transported in a well-insulated container and on arrival at their destination stored at 4 °C <a href="#">and processes as soon as possible</a> .	technical important specification regarding the timing of processing of samples.	EPPO, European Union



Comm. no.	Para. no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country
47.	32	Technical	<b>3.3 Sample preparation</b>	Elements of sample preparation are scattered in 3.3 and 3.5 (where two methods for the preparation of samples are described. However isolation method 2 is also considered appropriate for PCR IF It is suggested to present the different sample preparation and indicate for each for which tests they are relevant and then describe the different tests.	EPPO, European Union
48.	33	Editorial	The surfaces of plant tissue can be disinfested by wiping with 70% ethanol. If the plants show vascular symptoms, it is recommended that the roots and the leaves are removed, keeping the crown and petioles. The sample is rinsed in tap water to remove excess soil and then disinfested by immersing for 1 min in 70% ethanol followed by rinsing three times in sterile distilled water. Approximately 0.1 g of leaf or crown and petiole tissue per sample is added to 9 ml phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (8 g NaCl, 0.2 g KCl, 2.9 g Na <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub> ·12H <sub>2</sub> O, 0.2 g KH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> , distilled water to 1 litre; pH 7.2). The plant material is crushed and incubated at room temperature for 15 min. These sample tissue macerates are then used in ELISA, Immunofluorescence and PCR tests as described in the following sections.	Spelling corrections.	Singapore
49.	33	Editorial	The surfaces of plant tissue can be disinfested by wiping with 70% ethanol. If the plants show vascular symptoms, it is recommended that the roots and the leaves are removed, keeping the crown and petioles. The sample is rinsed in tap water to remove excess soil and then disinfested by immersing for 1 min in 70% ethanol followed by rinsing three times in sterile distilled water. Approximately 0.1 g of leaf or crown and petiole tissue per sample is added to 9 ml phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (8 g NaCl, 0.2 g KCl, 2.9 g Na <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub> ·12H <sub>2</sub> O, 0.2 g KH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> , distilled water to 1 litre; pH 7.2). The plant material is crushed and incubated at room temperature for 15 min. These sample tissue macerates are then used in ELISA, Immunofluorescence and PCR tests as described in the following sections.	2 typing mistakes	EPPO, European Union
50.	33	Editorial	The surfaces of plant tissue can be disinfested by wiping with 70% ethanol. If the plants show vascular symptoms, it is recommended that the roots and the leaves are removed, keeping the crown and petioles. The sample is	Incorrect spelling	Jamaica

Comm no.	Para no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country
			rinsed in tap water to remove excess soil and then disinfested by immersing for 1 min in 70% ethanol followed by rinsing three times in sterile distilled water. <del>Approximatley</del> <u>Approximately</u> 0.1 g of leaf or crown and petiole tissue per sample is added to 9 ml phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (8 g NaCl, 0.2 g KCl, 2.9 g Na <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub> ·12H <sub>2</sub> O, 0.2 g KH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> , distilled water to 1 litre; pH 7.2). The plant material is crushed and incubated at room temperature for 15 min. These sample tissue macerates are then used in ELISA, Immunofluorescence and PCR tests as <del>decribied</del> <u>described</u> in the following sections.		
51.	33	Editorial	The surfaces of plant tissue can be disinfested by wiping with 70% ethanol. If the plants show vascular symptoms, it is recommended that the roots and the leaves are removed, keeping the crown and petioles. The sample is rinsed in tap water to remove excess soil and then disinfested by immersing for 1 min in 70% ethanol followed by rinsing three times in sterile distilled water. <u>Approximately</u> <del>Approximatley</del> 0.1 g of leaf or crown and petiole tissue per sample is added to 9 ml phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (8 g NaCl, 0.2 g KCl, 2.9 g Na <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub> ·12H <sub>2</sub> O, 0.2 g KH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> , distilled water to 1 litre; pH 7.2). The plant material is crushed and incubated at room temperature for 15 min. These sample tissue macerates are then used in ELISA, Immunofluorescence and PCR tests as <del>decribied</del> <u>described</u> in the following sections.	spelling	Kenya
52.	33	Editorial	The surfaces of plant tissue can be disinfested by wiping with 70% ethanol. If the plants show vascular symptoms, it is recommended that the roots and the leaves are removed, keeping the crown and petioles. The sample is rinsed in tap water to remove excess soil and then disinfested by immersing for 1 min in 70% ethanol followed by rinsing three times in sterile distilled water. <del>Approximatley</del> <u>Approximately</u> 0.1 g of leaf or crown and petiole tissue per sample is added to 9 ml phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (8 g NaCl, 0.2 g KCl, 2.9 g Na <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub> ·12H <sub>2</sub> O, 0.2 g KH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> , distilled water to 1 litre; pH 7.2). The plant material is crushed and incubated at room temperature for	Two spelling issues (4th line - Approximately, 7th line - described)	Canada

Comm no.	Para no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country
			15 min. These sample tissue macerates are then used in ELISA, Immunofluorescence and PCR tests as described in the following sections.		
53.	33	Substantive	<p>For symptomatic plants. The surfaces of plant tissue can be disinfested by wiping with 70% ethanol. If the plants show vascular symptoms, it is recommended that the roots and the leaves are removed, keeping the crown and petioles. The sample is rinsed in tap water to remove excess soil and then disinfested by immersing for 1 min in 70% ethanol followed by rinsing three times in sterile distilled water. Approximately 0.1 g of leaf or crown and petiole tissue per sample is added to 9 ml phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (8 g NaCl, 0.2 g KCl, 2.9 g Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>·12H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.2 g KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, distilled water to 1 litre; pH 7.2). The plant material is crushed and incubated at room temperature for 15 min. These sample tissue macerates are then used in ELISA, Immunofluorescence and PCR tests as described in the following sections.</p> <p>For asymptomatic plants, collect a 30 g sample at random from the 200 quarters. Place each sample in 150 mL of PBS. Shake for 30 min and use the washing liquid directly for detection, or after centrifugation at 10 000 g per 10 min. Suspend the final pellet in sterile distilled water to obtain a final volume of 5 mL. After leaving to settle for 15 min collect the upper clarified part and prepare dilutions (1 : 10 and 1 : 100) in sterile distilled water. Keep 2 mL for analysis and put 1 mL at –20°C and 1 mL at –80°C with 30% glycerol.</p>	The explanation on sample preparation should be divided into part of symptomatic plants and part of asymptomatic plants based on the reference (EPPO, 2006, pp.140)	Japan
54.	35	Editorial	<p>Rapid screening tests facilitate detection of <i>X. fragariae</i>. As the bacterium is very difficult to isolate. Three tests (ELISA, immunofluorescence and PCR) should be positive to confirm <i>X. fragariae</i> detection, as the bacterium is very difficult to isolate. The correlation among ELISA, PCR and detached leaf bioassay is usually high (Civerolo <i>et al.</i>, 1997b). The detached leaf bioassay is a supplemental method for confirming the presence of viable <i>X. fragariae</i>.</p>	Clearer wording.	Singapore

Comm no.	Para no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country
55.	35	Editorial	Rapid screening tests facilitate detection of <i>X. fragariae</i> . Three tests (ELISA, immunofluorescence and PCR) should be positive to confirm <i>X. fragariae</i> detection, as the bacterium is very difficult to isolate. The correlation among ELISA, PCR and detached leaf bioassay is usually high (Civerolo <i>et al.</i> , 1997b). The detached leaf bioassay is a supplemental <del>test</del> method for confirming the presence of viable <i>X. fragariae</i> .	Consistency of terminology between IPPC protocols.	EPPO, European Union
56.	35	Substantive	Rapid screening tests facilitate [detection of <i>X. fragariae</i> ] <del>what rapid method are available for detection of <i>X. fragariae</i>?</del> . Three tests (ELISA, immunofluorescence and PCR) should be positive to confirm <i>X. fragariae</i> detection, as the bacterium is very difficult to isolate. The correlation among ELISA, PCR and detached leaf bioassay is usually high (Civerolo <i>et al.</i> , 1997b). The detached leaf bioassay is a supplemental method for confirming the presence of viable <i>X. fragariae</i> .	Should provide more information on rapid screening.	Kenya
57.	35	Technical	Rapid screening tests facilitate detection of <i>X. fragariae</i> . Three [tests (ELISA, immunofluorescence and PCR)] <del>are the three tests rapid screening?</del> should be positive to confirm <i>X. fragariae</i> detection, as the bacterium is very difficult to isolate. The correlation among ELISA, PCR and detached leaf bioassay is usually high (Civerolo <i>et al.</i> , 1997b). The detached leaf bioassay is a supplemental method for confirming the presence of viable <i>X. fragariae</i> .	More technical information needed	Kenya
58.	35	Technical	Rapid screening tests facilitate detection of <i>X. fragariae</i> . Three tests (ELISA, immunofluorescence and PCR) should be positive to confirm <i>X. fragariae</i> detection, as the bacterium is very difficult to isolate. The correlation among ELISA, PCR and detached leaf bioassay is usually high (Civerolo <i>et al.</i> , 1997b). The detached leaf bioassay is a supplemental <del>assay</del> method for confirming the presence of viable <i>X. fragariae</i> .	Assay is a more precise term than method.	Canada
59.	37	Editorial	Direct isolation of <i>X. fragariae</i> is difficult, even in the presence of symptoms and exudates, because <i>X. fragariae</i> grows very slowly on artificial nutrient media and is rapidly overgrown by secondary organisms. Two media are recommended for isolation. Isolation is more successful on Wilbrink's medium with nitrate (Wilbrink-N) (10 g sucrose, 5 g proteose peptone (L85; Oxoid), 0.5 g	Correct writing of scientific name.	Singapore

Comm no.	Para no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country
			K <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub> , 0.25 g MgSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O, 0.25 g NaNO <sub>3</sub> , 15 g purified agar, distilled water to 1 litre; pH 7.0–7.2) (Koike, 1965). Isolation on YPGA medium (5 g yeast extract, 5 g Bacto peptone, 10 g glucose, 15 g purified agar, distilled water to 1 litre; adjust pH to 7.0–7.2; add 5 ml filter-sterilized cycloheximide (stock solution: 5 g cycloheximide per 100 ml absolute ethanol) after autoclaving) is less successful but still recommended. SPA medium (20 g sucrose, 5 g peptone, 0.5 g K <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub> , 0.25 g MgSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O, 15 g purified agar, distilled water to 1 litre; pH 7.2–7.4) may be useful for fastidious bacteria (Hayward, 1960); however, the reliability of this medium for isolating <i>X. fragariae</i> has not been validated (López <i>et al.</i> , 2005). The use of purified agar (Oxoid or Difco) <sup>1</sup> is recommended for all media as impurities in other commercial agars can inhibit the growth of <i>X. fragariae</i> .		
60.	37	Technical	Direct isolation of <i>X. fragariae</i> is difficult, even in the presence of symptoms and exudates, because <i>X. fragariae</i> grows very slowly on artificial nutrient media and is rapidly overgrown by secondary organisms. Two media are recommended for isolation. Isolation is more successful on Wilbrink's medium with nitrate (Wilbrink-N) (10 g sucrose, 5 g proteose peptone (L85; Oxoid), 0.5 g K <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub> , 0.25 g MgSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O, 0.25 g NaNO <sub>3</sub> , 15 g purified agar, distilled water to 1 litre; pH 7.0–7.2) (Koike, 1965). Isolation on YPGA medium (5 g yeast extract, 5 g Bacto peptone, 10 g glucose, 15 g purified agar, distilled water to 1 litre; adjust pH to 7.0–7.2; add 5 ml filter-sterilized cycloheximide (stock solution: 5 g cycloheximide per 100 ml absolute ethanol) after autoclaving) is less successful but still recommended. SPA medium (20 g sucrose, 5 g peptone, 0.5 g K <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub> , 0.25 g MgSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O, 15 g purified agar, distilled water to 1 litre; pH 7.2–7.4) may be useful for fastidious bacteria (Hayward, 1960); <del>however, the reliability of this medium for isolating <i>X. fragariae</i> has not been validated (López <i>et al.</i>, 2005).</del> The use of purified agar (Oxoid or Difco) <sup>1</sup> is recommended for all media as impurities in other commercial agars can inhibit the growth of <i>X. fragariae</i> .	A comparison of six media was performed in a Portuguese laboratory. The SPA medium allowed the best recovery of <i>X. fragariae</i> cells from symptomatic material. This is confirmed by the experience of at least another laboratory in the EPPO region. If the editorial team wishes it more information can be asked to the laboratory.	EPPO, European Union

Comm no.	Para no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country
61.	38	Substantive	<b>3.5.1 Isolation method 1</b>  <u>Working area procedure have been left out; for example disinfection of working surface with 70% ethanol</u>	The procedure should form part of this sub heading	Kenya
62.	39	Editorial	For plants with symptoms, select leaves with initial lesions and disinfect the surface by wiping with 70% ethanol. Isolations should be made from initial water-soaked lesions or from the margins of older lesions by excising a small piece of tissue (0.5–1.0 cm <sup>2</sup> ) with a sharp sterile scalpel.	spelling	Kenya
63.	40	Editorial	Tissue is crushed in a few <del>millilitres mls</del> of sterile distilled water or PBS and incubated at room temperature for 10–15 min. Aliquots (50 – 100 µl) of lesion tissue macerates as well as dilutions (1:10, 1:100, 1:1 000 and 1:1 0000) are plated out onto the surface of Wilbrink-N and YPGA media. Similar aliquots of <i>X. fragariae</i> cell suspensions (10 <sup>4</sup> , 10 <sup>5</sup> and 10 <sup>6</sup> colony-forming units (cfu/ml) should also be plated out in order to verify the quality of the media and to compare the cultural characteristics of any bacterial colonies that develop. Incubate the plates at 25–27 °C for 7 days but mark the colonies appearing after 2–3 days as these will not be <i>X. fragariae</i> . Final readings should be performed after incubation at 25–27 °C for 7 days.	Suggest "millilitres" rather than "mls" as mls is not the correct short form for "millilitres"	Canada
64.	40	Technical	Tissue is crushed in a few mls of sterile distilled water or PBS and incubated at room temperature for 10–15 min. Aliquots (50 – 100 µl) of lesion tissue macerates as well as dilutions (1:10, 1:100, 1:1 000 and 1:1 0000) are plated out onto the surface of Wilbrink-N, <del>and</del> YPGA <u>and/or SPA</u> media. Similar aliquots of <i>X. fragariae</i> cell suspensions (10 <sup>4</sup> , 10 <sup>5</sup> and 10 <sup>6</sup> colony-forming units (cfu/ml) should also be plated out in order to verify the quality of the media and to compare the cultural characteristics of any bacterial colonies that develop. Incubate the plates at 25–27 °C for 7 days but mark the colonies appearing after 2–3 days as these will not be <i>X. fragariae</i> . Final readings should be performed after incubation at 25–27 °C for 7 days.	Aliquots (50 – 100 µl) of lesion tissue macerates : Could these aliquots also be used for other tests? This should be clarified. SPA is mentioned above	EPPO, European Union

Comm no.	Para no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country
65.	40	Technical	Tissue is crushed in a [few mls] <u>should be specific</u> of sterile distilled water or PBS and incubated at [room temperature] <u>should provide a range</u> for 10–15 min. Aliquots (50 – 100 µl) of lesion tissue macerates as well as dilutions (1:10, 1:100, 1:1 000 and 1:1 0000) are plated out onto the surface of Wilbrink-N and YPGA media. Similar aliquots of <i>X. fragariae</i> cell suspensions (10 <sup>4</sup> , 10 <sup>5</sup> and 10 <sup>6</sup> colony-forming units (cfu/ml) should also be plated out in order to verify the quality of the media and to compare the cultural characteristics of any bacterial colonies that develop. Incubate the plates at 25–27 °C for 7 days but mark the colonies appearing after 2–3 days as these will not be <i>X. fragariae</i> . Final readings should be performed after incubation at 25–27 °C for 7 days.	For clarity and Scientific justification	Kenya
66.	41	Technical	<i>X. fragariae</i> colonies on Wilbrink-N medium are initially off-white, becoming pale yellow, circular, slightly convex, smooth and mucoid after 4–6 days. On YPGA medium, the colonies are similar in morphology to those on Wilbrink-N, but they have a more intense yellow colour. Obtain pure cultures from individual suspect colonies of each sample (from each of the two media) by plating suspensions of the <i>Xanthomonasfragariae</i> -like colonies on Wilbrink-N medium.	A description of colonies on SPA is missing	EPPO, European Union
67.	43	Technical	Excise pieces of leaf tissue with distinct water-soaked angular lesions and wash in 50 ml tap water and a few drops of Tween-20 and incubate at room temperature for 10 min. Rinse the leaf pieces in distilled water and blot dry. The surfaces of the leaf pieces can then be disinfected in 70% ethanol for 5 s and blot dried. Place the leaf pieces in 5 ml of 0.1 M PBS, mix and incubate at room temperature for 30 min to release any <i>X. fragariae</i> into the supernatant. Prepare a 1:100 dilution of supernatant in 0.1 M PBS and add 20 µl aliquots of the undiluted sample and 1:100 dilution to separate wells of a multi-well microscope slide. Fix the bacterial cells to the slide by flaming for later immunofluorescence analysis (section 3.8). Place 200 µl undiluted supernatant in a microtube for later PCR analysis (section 3.9) and another 1 ml undiluted supernatant in a second microtube, adding a drop of glycerol, and store at –20 °C or –80 °C for	regarding glycerol it should be mentioned at least 20% glycerol	EPPO, European Union

Comm no.	Para no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country
			reference purposes. The remaining supernatant can be used for isolation by dilution plating as described above and for inoculation of detached strawberry leaves (section 3.6).		
68.	43	Technical	Excise pieces of leaf tissue with distinct water-soaked angular lesions and wash in 50 ml tap water and a few drops of Tween-20 and incubate at room temperature for 10 min. Rinse the leaf pieces in distilled water and blot dry. The surfaces of the leaf pieces can then be disinfected in 70% ethanol for 5 s and blot dried. <u>Cut leaf fragment into small pieces (1-4mm<sup>2</sup>) and place them</u> <del>the leaf pieces</del> in 5 ml of 0.1 M PBS, mix and incubate at room temperature for 30 min to release any <i>X. fragariae</i> into the supernatant. Prepare a 1:100 dilution of supernatant in 0.1 M PBS and add 20 µl aliquots of the undiluted sample and 1:100 dilution to separate wells of a multi-well microscope slide. Fix the bacterial cells to the slide by flaming for later immunofluorescence analysis (section 3.8). Place 200 µl undiluted supernatant in a microtube for later PCR analysis (section 3.9) and another 1 ml undiluted supernatant in a second microtube, adding a drop of glycerol, and store at –20 °C or –80 °C for reference purposes. The remaining supernatant can be used for isolation by dilution plating as described above and for inoculation of detached strawberry leaves (section 3.6).	For more clarity of the isolation procedure. This modification is consistent with (EPPO, 2006, pp.143)	Japan
69.	44	Technical	<del>An alternative to isolation of <i>X. fragariae</i> from tissue</del> <u>may also be performed if</u> <del>from s-to streak</del> aliquots of fresh exudates from lesions directly onto Wilbrink-N, YPGA, SPA or other commonly used media <u>and may be performed in addition to the other isolation methods.</u>	This isolation is not always successful and should not be proposed as an alternative methods but rather as an additional one.	EPPO, European Union
70.	46	Editorial	The isolation is negative if no bacterial colonies with morphology similar to <i>X. fragariae</i> colonies are observed after 7 days <del>on</del> <sup>in</sup> either of the two media (provided no growth inhibition due to competition or antagonism has occurred) and typical <i>X. fragariae</i> colonies are found in the positive controls.	English correction	EPPO, European Union
71.	46	Technical	The isolation is negative if no bacterial colonies with morphology similar to <i>X. fragariae</i> colonies are observed	Three media not two	EPPO, European Union



Comm no.	Para no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country
			after 7 days in either of the <del>three</del> two-media (provided no growth inhibition due to competition or antagonism has occurred) and typical <i>X. fragariae</i> colonies are found in the positive controls.		
72.	49	Substantive	[There is not always a good] <del>correlation between isolation, serological tests (i.e. immunofluorescence, ELISA) and/or PCR because isolation frequently fails. The best isolation results are expected when using freshly prepared sample extracts from young lesions. Isolation onto media can also be achieved by <i>in planta</i> enrichment as described in section 3.6.</del> <u>Need to be rephrased and combine with paragraph 48</u>	For consistency	Kenya
73.	52	Editorial	Tissue sample preparations (section 3.3) can be used for inoculating detached strawberry leaves as soon as they are prepared in extraction buffer or distilled water (Civerolo <i>et al.</i> , 1997a). Use young (7–14 days old) leaves of a cultivar susceptible to <i>X. fragariae</i> (e.g. Camarosa, Seascape, Selva, Korona) from greenhouse-grown, <i>X. fragariae</i> -free plants. The quality of the leaves and their age are essential considerations for a successful <del>test</del> <u>assay</u> .	Consistency of terminology	EPPO, European Union
74.	53	Editorial	Aseptically remove three leaves (each one with three leaflets) from the greenhouse-grown plants and immediately place the petioles in glass tubes containing sterile water. Cut off the basal portion of the petioles then replace the petioles in <del>their</del> glass tubes <del>containing sterile water</del> .	Simplification	EPPO, European Union
75.	53	Technical	Aseptically remove three leaves (each one with three leaflets) from the greenhouse-grown plants and immediately place the petioles in glass tubes containing sterile water. Cut off the basal portion of the petioles then <del>return</del> <u>replace</u> the petioles in glass tubes containing sterile water.	scientific clarification	Kenya
76.	55	Editorial	Rinse off excess inoculum with sterile water 1 h after inoculation. Place leaves with their petioles in <del>the</del> tubes in a humid chamber and incubate at 18–20 °C with a 12 h photoperiod for up to 21 days. The specified temperature and illumination during incubation is essential for avoiding false negative results. The inoculated leaves should not have visible injuries and water-soaking caused by the	simplification	EPPO, European Union

Comm. no.	Para. no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country
			inoculum infiltration should disappear within 24 h.		
77.	55	Technical	Rinse off excess inoculum with sterile water 1 h after inoculation. Place leaves with their petioles in their tubes in a humid chamber and incubate at 18–20 °C with a 12 h photoperiod for up to 21 days. The specified temperature and illumination during incubation is essential for avoiding false negative results. The inoculated leaves should not have visible injuries and water-soaking caused by the inoculum infiltration should disappear within 24 h.	It should be specified that HR is usually 100%	EPPO, European Union
78.	57	Technical	<b>3.6.2 Interpretation of detached leaf assay results</b>	A reference to the fact that the controls should give expected results should be added	EPPO, European Union
79.	58	Substantive	The detached leaf assay is negative if no typical <i>X. fragariae</i> angular leaf spots (i.e. dark, water-soaked when viewed with reflected light; translucent yellow when viewed with transmitted light) and/or chlorotic halos appear at some of the inoculated sites after 21 days. No water-soaked spots that appear translucent yellow when viewed with transmitted light should appear within inoculation sites infiltrated with negative controls.	It is suggested that it would be most useful to add a figure here with the expected symptoms, both for the leaf spots and the halos.	New Zealand
80.	61	Editorial	Select one leaf per sample from those inoculated in the detached leaf assay 48 h after inoculation for isolation onto media <del>after in planta enrichment</del> . Excise 10–12 small discs 0.5 cm in diameter from each inoculated site per inoculated detached leaf and crush in 4.5 ml PBS. Prepare dilutions as for direct isolation (section 3.5) in PBS and streak 50 µl of each dilution onto the surface of Wilbrink-N medium in triplicate. Incubate plates at 25–27 °C and record results for <i>X. fragariae</i> -like colonies after 5–7 days.	in planta enrichment is the detached leaf assay mentioned just in the line above.	EPPO, European Union
81.	65	Editorial	The specificity of two ELISA <del>tests protocols</del> with commercially available polyclonal anti- <i>X. fragariae</i> sera has been validated (López <i>et al.</i> , 2005). Rowhani <i>et al.</i> (1994) showed that ELISA using polyclonal antibodies could specifically detect 34 strains of <i>X. fragariae</i> and the antibodies did not cross-react with other closely related pathogens or other bacteria isolated from strawberry plants. A test sensitivity of 10 <sup>5</sup> cfu/ml has been reported for ELISA detection of <i>X. fragariae</i> (Rowhani <i>et al.</i> , 1994;	Consistency of terminology	EPPO, European Union

Comm no.	Para no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country
			Civerolo <i>et al.</i> , 1997b).		
82.	66	Technical	Use cell suspensions prepared from pure cultures of <i>X. fragariae</i> and a non- <i>X. fragariae</i> strain as positive and negative controls in each microtiter plate. [Frequent cross-reactions among phytopathogenic or other bacteria can occur with polyclonal antibodies]clarify as it contradicts earlier statement. It is recommended that the appropriate working dilution of each polyclonal antiserum is determined.	further information needed for clarification.	Kenya
83.	68	Editorial	Mix 210 µl of each test sample, the positive <i>X. fragariae</i> cell suspension (approximately 10 <sup>9</sup> cfu/ml) and the negative non- <i>X. fragariae</i> cell suspension (approximately 10 <sup>9</sup> cfu/ml) and the negative control (suspension of healthy strawberry material, see below) with 210 µl coating buffer (Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> , NaHCO <sub>3</sub> , distilled water to 1 litre) and add 200 µl of the sample and buffer mixture to each of two wells of a microtiter plate (PolySorp (Nunc) <sup>2</sup> or equivalent). For the negative plant material control, crush about 0.1 g healthy strawberry leaf, petiole or crown tissue in 0.9 ml PBS and add 0.9 ml coating buffer.	better wording	EPPO, European Union
84.	68	Technical	Mix 210 µl of each test sample, the positive <i>X. fragariae</i> cell suspension (approximately 10 <sup>9</sup> cfu/ml) and the negative non- <i>X. fragariae</i> cell suspension (approximately 10 <sup>9</sup> cfu/ml) and the negative control (healthy strawberry material) with 210 µl coating buffer (1.59g Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> , 2.93g NaHCO <sub>3</sub> , distilled water to 1 litre) and add 200 µl of the sample and buffer mixture to each of two wells of a microtiter plate (PolySorp (Nunc) <sup>2</sup> or equivalent). For the negative plant material control, crush about 0.1 g healthy strawberry leaf, petiole or crown tissue in 0.9 ml PBS and add 0.9 ml coating buffer.	For more clarity of the ingredients in the buffer. This modification is consistent with (EPPO, 2006, pp.144)	Japan
85.	70	Editorial	Prepare the appropriate working dilution separate according to the manufacturer's instructions, of the anti- <i>X. fragariae</i> serum in PBS and add 200 µl to each test well. Incubate at 37 °C for 2 h and then wash the plate three times in PBS-T. Add 200 µl of the antibody–enzyme conjugate at the appropriate dilution in PBS containing 0.2% BSA to each well. Incubate at 37 °C for 1 h and wash the plate four times in PBS-T. Add 200 µl freshly	gramatical correction	Kenya

Comm no.	Para no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country
			prepared substrate (1 mg p-nitrophenylphosphate/ml substrate buffer, pH 9.8) to each test well. Incubate in the dark at room temperature for 15, 30 and 60 min, and read the absorbance at 405 nm.		
86.	72	Editorial	For double antibody sandwich (DAS)-ELISA, add 200 µl of an appropriate dilution of anti- <i>X. fragariae</i> serum in <del>the</del> coating buffer to each well of two microtiter plates (PolySorp (Nunc) <sup>3</sup> or equivalent). Incubate at 37 °C for 4 h and wash the wells three times with PBS-T. Add 200 µl of each tissue macerate sample, a positive and a negative control, as described for indirect ELISA, to each of two wells of each plate and incubate at 4 °C overnight. After washing the plates three times with PBS-T, add 200 µl of an appropriate dilution of the enzyme-antibody conjugate in PBS containing 0.2% BSA to each well. Incubate at 37 °C for 3 h. After washing the plates four times with PBS-T add 200 µl of freshly prepared substrate (1 mg p-nitrophenylphosphate/ml substrate buffer, pH 9.8) to each test well. Incubate in the dark at room temperature for 15, 30 and 60 min, and read the absorbance at 405 nm.	Better English	EPPO, European Union
87.	77	Substantive	Positive ELISA results for negative control wells indicate that cross-contamination or non-specific antibody binding has occurred. [The test should be repeated with fresh tissue or another test based on a different principle should be performed] <a href="#">join with paragraph 76.</a>	for consistency	Kenya
88.	79	Editorial	Immunofluorescence procedures for identifying phytopathogenic bacteria are given in De Boer (1990). Two commercially available polyclonal anti- <i>X. fragariae</i> sera (Table 1) have been validated using fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-conjugated anti-rabbit immunoglobulins (López <i>et al.</i> , 2005). This <del>test method allows the</del> <a href="#">will permit detection</a> of approximately 10 <sup>3</sup> -10 <sup>4</sup> cfu/ml <i>X. fragariae</i> in strawberry tissue (Calzolari and Mazzucchi, 1989).	Consistency of terminology Simplification of English	EPPO, European Union
89.	79	Substantive	Immunofluorescence procedures for identifying phytopathogenic bacteria are given in [De Boer (1990)] <a href="#">is this the only method used and adopted by all diagnostic labs.</a> Two commercially available polyclonal anti- <i>X. fragariae</i> sera (Table 1) have been validated using fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-conjugated anti-rabbit	more information needed	Kenya

Comm no.	Para no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country
			immunoglobulins (López <i>et al.</i> , 2005). This method will permit detection of approximately 10 <sup>3</sup> –10 <sup>4</sup> cfu/ml <i>X. fragariae</i> in strawberry tissue (Calzolari and Mazzucchi, 1989).		
90.	79	Technical	Immunofluorescence procedures for identifying phytopathogenic bacteria are given in De Boer (1990) <a href="#">and in EPPO (2009)</a> . Two commercially available polyclonal anti- <i>X. fragariae</i> sera (Table 1) have been validated using fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-conjugated anti-rabbit immunoglobulins (López <i>et al.</i> , 2005). This method will permit detection of approximately 10 <sup>3</sup> –10 <sup>4</sup> cfu/ml <i>X. fragariae</i> in strawberry tissue (Calzolari and Mazzucchi, 1989).	Suggest making a reference to the EPPO Standard on IF A standard describing how to perform an indirect immunofluorescence test (IF) for plant pathogenic bacteria was approved in 2009	EPPO, European Union
91.	81	Editorial	Aliquots (20 µl) of test samples and positive and negative control suspensions are added to separate wells of a multi-well microscope slide. Preparations are air-dried and fixed by flaming or by soaking slides in acetone for 10 min followed by air-drying. Slides can be stored at –20 °C until required. Primary <i>X. fragariae</i> antibody is diluted in PBS + 10% skim milk powder. Select the lowest antibody concentration that gives good staining when there is up to 100 positive cells per microscope field. It is <a href="#">advisable</a> <del>advised</del> that two dilutions of the antiserum <a href="#">are</a> <del>is</del> used to detect cross-reactions with other bacteria. Apply 20 µl of the primary antibody to each well and incubate the slides in a moist chamber at room temperature or at 37 °C for 30–60 min. The slides are then rinsed in PBS and washed by submerging in the same buffer for 10 min. The FITC-conjugated secondary antibody is diluted in PBS (optimum dilutions usually vary between 1:20 and 1:200). The wells of all slides are then covered with the secondary antibody and incubated in a moist chamber at room temperature or at 37 °C for 30–60 min. The washing step is repeated and air-dried. The coverslips are mounted with mounting fluid (90 ml glycerol, 10 ml PBS) containing 1 mg p-phenylenediamine/ml) and slides viewed under oil immersion at 500–1 000x magnification. Count the cells that fluoresce and have a similar size to the reference <i>X. fragariae</i> strain (López <i>et al.</i> , 2005).	gramatical corrections	Kenya

Comm no.	Para no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country
92.	88	Editorial	<sup>1</sup> Recommended for detection using immunofluorescence (validated in ring tests in a European Union-funded project (SMT-4-CT98-2252)) (López <i>et al.</i> , 2005).	consider replacing ring test by test performance study	EPPO, European Union
93.	89	Editorial	<sup>2</sup> Recommended for detection using double antibody sandwich-enzyme-linked immunosorbent <del>test</del> assay (validated in ring tests in a European Union-funded project (SMT-4-CT98-2252)) (López <i>et al.</i> , 2005).	consider replacing ring test by test performance study consistency of terminology	EPPO
94.	90	Substantive	<b>3.9 PCR</b>  <a href="#">Real-time PCR assay wasn't included.</a>	It is very important to develop a rapid, sensitive real-time PCR for detecting <i>X. fragariae</i>	China
95.	91	Editorial	The PCR methods described in this diagnostic protocol, with the exception of the nested PCR developed by Zimmerman <i>et al.</i> (2004), have been validated in a ring test funded by the European Union (SMT-4-CT98-2252) (López <i>et al.</i> , 2005). Nested PCR protocols were reported to increase sensitivity up to 100 times compared with conventional PCR protocols (Roberts <i>et al.</i> , 1996; Zimmerman <i>et al.</i> , 2004).	Consider replacing ring test by test performance study	EPPO, European Union
96.	91	Substantive	The PCR methods described in this diagnostic protocol, with the exception of the nested PCR developed by Zimmerman <i>et al.</i> (2004), have been validated in a ring test funded by the European Union (SMT-4-CT98-2252) [(López <i>et al.</i> , 2005)] <del>link not opening</del> . Nested PCR protocols were reported to increase sensitivity up to 100 times compared with conventional PCR protocols (Roberts <i>et al.</i> , 1996; Zimmerman <i>et al.</i> , 2004).	provide more information	Kenya
97.	92	Editorial	Protocols for DNA extraction from plant samples and PCR described in Pooler <i>et al.</i> (1996) and Hartung and Pooler (1997) have been validated (López <i>et al.</i> , 2005). A modified protocol using the REExtract-N-Amp Plant PCR Kit (Sigma) <sup>5</sup> has also been reported to be appropriate for DNA extraction before amplification for testing large numbers of samples of asymptomatic leaves (Stöger and Ruppitsch, 2004). Other commercial kits for extracting DNA and for nested PCR and other primers (Roberts <i>et al.</i> , 1996) are available; however, these <del>may not be as reliable (e.g. reduced sensitivity) and</del> have not been validated <del>yet for clinical applications</del> (López <i>et al.</i> , 2005).	Simplification	EPPO, European Union

Comm no.	Para no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country
98.	92	Technical	Protocols for DNA extraction from plant samples and PCR described in Pooler <i>et al.</i> (1996) and Hartung and Pooler (1997) have been validated (López <i>et al.</i> , 2005). A modified protocol using the REExtract-N-Amp Plant PCR Kit (Sigma) <sup>5</sup> has also been reported to be appropriate for DNA extraction before amplification for testing large numbers of samples of asymptomatic leaves (Stöger and Ruppitsch, 2004). Other commercial kits for extracting DNA and for nested PCR and other primers (Roberts <i>et al.</i> , 1996) are available; however, these may not be as reliable (e.g. reduced sensitivity) and have not been validated for [clinical applications] <a href="#">clarify</a> (López <i>et al.</i> , 2005).	clarify why clinical and we are dealing with plants	Kenya
99.	93	Editorial	Two sensitive real-time PCR <del>tests</del> <del>assays</del> have been described for detection of <i>X. fragariae</i> (Weller <i>et al.</i> , 2007; Vandroemme <i>et al.</i> , 2008) as well as differentiation of <i>X. fragariae</i> and <i>X. arboricola</i> pv. <i>fragariae</i> (Weller <i>et al.</i> , 2007) in strawberry tissue. The real-time PCR <del>test</del> <del>assay</del> described by Weller <i>et al.</i> (2007) is based on primers designed within regions of the <del>gyraseB</del> gene unique to <i>X. fragariae</i> and the <i>pep</i> gene unique to <i>X. arboricola</i> pv. <i>fragariae</i> . The real-time PCR <del>test</del> <del>assay</del> developed by Vandroemme <i>et al.</i> (2008) is based on primers yielding a 41 base pair (bp) amplicon, designed from the 550 bp fragment amplicon described by Pooler <i>et al.</i> (1996). To date (March, 2015), neither of these <del>tests</del> <del>methods</del> has been verified or validated (e.g. in a ring test). However, these <del>tests</del> <del>methods</del> are potentially useful for detecting low levels of <i>X. fragariae</i> in asymptomatic or latent infections.	Consistency of terminology Consider replacing ring-test by test performance study	EPPO, European Union
100.	93	Editorial	Two sensitive real-time PCR assays have been described for detection of <i>X. fragariae</i> (Weller <i>et al.</i> , 2007; Vandroemme <i>et al.</i> , 2008) as well as differentiation of <i>X. fragariae</i> and <i>X. arboricola</i> pv. <i>fragariae</i> (Weller <i>et al.</i> , 2007) in strawberry tissue. The real-time PCR assay described by Weller <i>et al.</i> (2007) is based on primers designed within regions of the <i>gyraseB</i> gene unique to <i>X. fragariae</i> and the <i>pep</i> gene unique to <i>X. arboricola</i> pv. <i>fragariae</i> . The real-time PCR assay developed by Vandroemme <i>et al.</i> (2008) is based on primers yielding a 41 base pair (bp) amplicon, designed from the 550 bp	gramatical correction	Kenya



Comm no.	Para no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country
			fragment amplicon described by Pooler <i>et al.</i> (1996). To date (March, 2015), neither of these methods <del>have</del> <del>has</del> been verified or validated (e.g. in a ring test). However, these methods are potentially useful for detecting low levels of <i>X. fragariae</i> in asymptomatic or latent infections.		
101.	93	Substantive	Two sensitive real-time PCR assays have been described for detection of <i>X. fragariae</i> (Weller <i>et al.</i> , 2007; Vandroemme <i>et al.</i> , 2008) as well as differentiation of <i>X. fragariae</i> and <i>X. arboricola</i> pv. <i>fragariae</i> (Weller <i>et al.</i> , 2007) in strawberry tissue. The real-time PCR assay described by Weller <i>et al.</i> (2007) is based on primers designed within regions of the <i>gyraseB</i> gene unique to <i>X. fragariae</i> and the <i>pep</i> gene unique to <i>X. arboricola</i> pv. <i>fragariae</i> . The real-time PCR assay developed by Vandroemme <i>et al.</i> (2008) is based on primers yielding a 41 base pair (bp) amplicon, designed from the 550 bp fragment amplicon described by Pooler <i>et al.</i> (1996). To date (March, 2015), neither of these methods has been verified or validated (e.g. in a ring test). However, these methods are potentially useful for detecting low levels of <i>X. fragariae</i> in asymptomatic or latent infections.	Sentence To date (March, 2015), neither of these tests methods has been verified or validated (e.g. in a ring test ). This is not correct, the real time PCR from Weller et al has now been validated in a Dutch laboratory and the validation has been uploaded on the EPPO database on diagnostic expertise <a href="http://dc.eppo.int/validationlist.php">http://dc.eppo.int/validationlist.php</a> Search for Xanthomonas fragariae This test should be added to the protocol	EPPO, European Union
102.	98	Technical	<b>3.9.2 Multiplex PCR</b>  <u><a href="#">Conventional PCR by the primers 245A / 245B of three pairs of multiplex PCR primers are used instead of multiplex PCR.</a></u>	The 300 bp band by the primers 245A / 245B is usually present when the extracts are from plants infected with <i>X. fragariae</i> but the other bands (550 and 615 bp) may appear occasionally(Pooler <i>et al.</i> , 1996; Hartung and Pooler, 1997).	China
103.	108	Editorial	PCR cycling parameters are an initial activation step of 95 °C for 15 min; 35 cycles of 95 °C for 1 min, 57 °C for 1 min and 72 °C for 1 min; and finally 72 °C for 7 min. PCR products are analysed by 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis in 0.5x Tris-acetate-EDTA (TAE) buffer ( <a href="#">EPPO,2006, pp.142</a> ).	This condition is described in (EPPO, 2006, pp.142)	Japan
104.	111	Editorial	The nested PCR protocol described by Moltmann and Zimmerman (2005) using primers developed by Pooler <i>et al.</i> (1996) and Zimmerman <i>et al.</i> (2004) is recommended for diagnosing <i>X. fragariae</i> in symptomatic strawberry plants <del>as well as</del> <del>.-This protocol is also useful</del> for testing asymptomatic strawberry plants (frigo and green plants) ( <del>Moltmann and Zimmerman, 2005</del> ). The	1 simplification 2 consistency of terminology	EPPO, European Union



Comm no.	Para no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country
			nested PCR <del>test protocol</del> described by Roberts <i>et al.</i> (1996) also offers an alternative method for confirmation.		
105.	113	Editorial	Specificity for this protocol was confirmed in a study with 14 isolates of <i>X. fragariae</i> , 30 isolates of <i>X. campestris</i> (representing 14 pathovars) and 17 isolates of unidentified bacteria associated with strawberry leaves. In addition, the specificity of the external primer set was verified by Pooler <i>et al.</i> (1996) (see previous section 3.9.2). <u>NO cross reaction was observed with the isolated tested. Only <i>X. fragariae</i> was detected (in all isolates).</u> This <del>test method</del> has been successfully applied to testing of samples collected during a survey of strawberry plants and imported plants (Moltmann and Zimmerman, 2005) <u>and</u> <del>This nested PCR protocol</del> enabled detection to 200 fg DNA per reaction and was 100 times more sensitive than conventional PCR (Zimmerman <i>et al.</i> , 2004).	1 clarification 2 consistency of terminology 3 simplification	EPPO, European Union
106.	114	Technical	Incubate leaf, petiole and crown tissue (30–70 g) in 10–20 ml <del>0.01</del> 0.1 M sodium phosphate <del>buffer</del> <del>buffer</del> (pH 7.2) per gram of tissue at room temperature overnight. Extract DNA and analyse by single and nested PCR as described by Zimmerman <i>et al.</i> (2004). The primers are:	This modification is consistent with (Moltmann and Zimmermann, 2005, pp.53)	Japan
107.	119	Editorial	PCR is carried out in 25 µl reaction mixtures containing PCR buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 50 mM KCl, 0.08% Nonidet P-40, 2.5 mM MgCl <sub>2</sub> ), 0.2 mM each dNTP, 0.2 µM each primer and 0.5 µl Taq DNA polymerase. The reaction conditions are an initial denaturation step of 94 °C for 4 min; 35 cycles of 94 °C for 1 min, 68 °C for 1 min and 72 °C for 1 min; and a final extension step of 72 °C for 7 min. For nested PCR, after amplification of DNA with the first round of primers (245A and 245B), 1 µl of the reaction mixture is used as template in a second PCR with the internal primers 245.5 and 245.267. The same PCR cycling conditions are used except the annealing temperature is 62 °C for the internal primers 245.5 and 245.267. PCR products are analysed by 1.2% agarose gel electrophoresis in 0.5x TAE buffer.	Re PCR in the first line - it is suggested that the same presentation be used throughout the file. The previous reaction description state the amount of buffer as well as the volume of added DNA template. It would be good if this system could be followed for all the tests.	New Zealand
108.	119	Technical	PCR is carried out in 25 µl reaction mixtures containing PCR buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 50 mM KCl, 0.08% Nonidet	To clarify	COSAVE, Argentina, Peru,

Comm no.	Para no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country
			P-40, 2.5 mM MgCl <sub>2</sub> , 0.2 mM each dNTP, 0.2 µM each primer and 0.5 µl Taq DNA polymerase. The reaction conditions are an initial denaturation step of 94 °C for 4 min; 35 cycles of 94 °C for 1 min, 68 °C for 1 min and 72 °C for 1 min; and a final extension step of 72 °C for 7 min. For nested PCR, after amplification of DNA with the first round of primers (245A and 245B), 1 µl of the <del>reaction mixture</del> <u>first PCR product</u> is used as template in a second PCR with the internal primers 245.5 and 245.267. The same PCR cycling conditions are used except the annealing temperature is 62 °C for the internal primers 245.5 and 245.267. PCR products are analysed by 1.2% agarose gel electrophoresis in 0.5x TAE buffer.		Brazil, Uruguay, Chile, Paraguay
109.	122	Editorial	Specificity for this protocol was confirmed in a study with 30 isolates of <i>X. fragariae</i> , 17 isolates of <i>X. campestris</i> (representing 16 pathovars) and 9 isolates of non-pathogenic xanthomonads isolated from strawberry. <u>No cross reaction was observed with the isolates tested</u> <del>only <i>X. fragariae</i> was detected (in all isolates)</del> . This nested PCR technique enabled detection to approximately 18 <i>X. fragariae</i> cells in plant tissue (Roberts <i>et al.</i> , 1996).	Clarification	EPPO, European Union
110.	124	Technical	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>XF9: 5'-TGGGCCATGCCGGTGGAACTGTGTG<del>TGG</del>-3'</li> </ul>	This modification is consistent with (Roberts et al., 1996, pp.1284)	Japan
111.	127	Editorial	PCR is carried out in 25 µl reaction mixtures containing PCR buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 50 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl <sub>2</sub> ), 0.2 mM each dNTP, 0.2 µM each primer and 0.5 µl Taq DNA polymerase. The reaction conditions are an initial denaturation step of 95 °C for 2 min; 40 cycles of 95 °C for 30 s, 60 °C for 30 s and 72 °C for 45 s; and a final extension step of 72 °C for 5 min. For the nested PCR, after amplification of DNA with the first round of primers (XF9 and XF11), 3 µl of the reaction mixture is used as template in a second PCR with the primers XF9 and XF12. The same PCR conditions as described for the first round are performed. PCR products are analysed by 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis in 0.5x TAE buffer.	typing mistake	EPPO, European Union
112.	127	Editorial	PCR is carried out in 25 µl reaction mixtures containing PCR buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 50 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl <sub>2</sub> ),	spelling correction	Kenya

Comm no.	Para no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country
			0.2 mM each dNTP, 0.2 µM each primer and 0.5 µl Taq DNA polymerase. The reaction conditions are an initial denaturation step of 95 °C for 2 min; 40 cycles of 95 °C for 30 s, 60 °C for 30 s and 72 °C for 45 s; and a final extension step of 72 °C for 5 min. For the nested PCR, after amplification of DNA with the first round of primers (XF9 and XF11), 3 µl of the reaction mixture is used as template in a second PCR with the primers XF9 and XF12. The same PCR <del>conditions</del> as described for the first round are performed. PCR products are analysed by 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis in 0.5x TAE buffer.		
113.	127	Technical	PCR is carried out in 25 µl reaction mixtures containing PCR buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 50 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl <sub>2</sub> ), 0.2 mM each dNTP, 0.2 µM each primer and 0.5 µl Taq DNA polymerase. The reaction conditions are an initial denaturation step of 95 °C for 2 min; <del>30</del> <sup>40</sup> cycles of 95 °C for 30 s, <del>65</del> <sup>60</sup> °C for 30 s and 72 °C for 45 s; and a final extension step of 72 °C for 5 min. For the nested PCR, after amplification of DNA with the first round of primers (XF9 and XF11), 3 µl of the reaction mixture is used as template in a second PCR with the primers XF9 and XF12. The same PCR conditions as described for the first round are performed <u>except that the annealing temperature is 58 °C</u> . PCR products are analysed by 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis in 0.5x TAE buffer.	This modification is consistent with (Roberts et al., 1996, pp.1284)	Japan
114.	127	Technical	PCR is carried out in 25 µl reaction mixtures containing PCR buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 50 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl <sub>2</sub> ), 0.2 mM each dNTP, 0.2 µM each primer and 0.5 µl Taq DNA polymerase. The reaction conditions are an initial denaturation step of 95 °C for 2 min; 40 cycles of 95 °C for 30 s, 60 °C for 30 s and 72 °C for 45 s; and a final extension step of 72 °C for 5 min. For the nested PCR, after amplification of DNA with the first round of primers (XF9 and XF11), 3 µl of the <del>reaction mixture</del> <u>first PCR product</u> is used as template in a second PCR with the primers XF9 and XF12. The same PCR conditions as described for the first round are performed. PCR products are analysed by 1.5% agarose gel	To clarify	COSAVE, Argentina, Peru, Brazil, Uruguay, Chile, Paraguay

Comm no.	Para no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country
			electrophoresis in 0.5x TAE buffer.		
115.	128	Substantive	Specific PCR amplicons for <i>X. fragariae</i> are 537 bp in the first round PCR using the XF9 and XF11 primers, and 458 bp in the semi-nested PCR using the primers XF9 and XF12.  <a href="#">It is advised that the real-time PCR methods are introduced into PCR method section.</a>	Two real-time PCR assays have been described for detection of <i>X. fragariae</i> in strawberry tissue(Weller et al., 2007; Vandroemme et al., 2008).	China
116.	136	Technical	<b>Positive nucleic acid control.</b> This control is used to monitor the efficiency of PCR amplification. Pre-prepared (stored) nucleic acid, whole genome DNA or a synthetic control (e.g. a cloned PCR product) may be used. For this protocol, a suspension of pure culture <i>X fragariae</i> cells ( $10^4$ - $10^6$ cfu/ml) is recommended as a positive nucleic acid control.	It is better to recommend a range, for cfu/ml	EPPO, European Union
117.	139	Technical	<b>Positive extraction control.</b> This control is used to ensure that nucleic acid from the target is of sufficient quality for PCR amplification. Nucleic acid is extracted from infected host tissue or healthy plant tissue that has been spiked with the target <del>near</del> at the concentration considered the detection limit of the protocol. The positive control should be approximately one-tenth of the amount of leaf tissue used per plant for the DNA extraction. For this protocol, <i>X. fragariae</i> tissue macerates spiked with <del><math>10^4</math>-and</del> $10^6$ cfu/ml of a reference <i>X. fragariae</i> strain are recommended as positive extraction controls. For PCR, care needs to be taken to avoid cross-contamination due to aerosols from the positive control or from positive samples <a href="#">(in particular for Nested PCR)</a> . If required, the positive control used in the laboratory should be sequenced so that the sequence can be readily compared with sequences obtained from PCR amplicons of the correct size. Alternatively, synthetic positive controls can be made with a known sequence that, again, can be compared with PCR amplicons of the correct size.	1 It is more correct to refer to near the limit of detection 2 It is better to have the higher concentration for DNA extraction from spiked samples 3 additional warning for nested PCR	EPPO, European Union
118.	145	Editorial	<i>X. fragariae</i> has the common characteristics of all xanthomonads. <del>Cells</del> <del>They</del> are Gram-negative, aerobic rods, with a single polar flagellum. <del>They do not reduce</del> <del>n</del> Nitrates <a href="#">are not reduced</a> , <del>they are</del> catalase <del>test</del> positive,	Simplification normal wording of biochemical characteristics	EPPO, European Union

Comm . no.	Para . no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country				
			and asparagine is not used by them as a sole source of carbon and nitrogen (Bradbury, 1977; Bradbury, 1984; Schaad et al., 2001). They are weak producers of acids from carbohydrates. Colonies are mucoid, convex and shiny on YPGA and Wilbrink-N media (Dye, 1962; van den Mooter et al., 1990; Swings et al., 1993; Schaad et al., 2001). Xanthomonas species are easily differentiated from the other genera of aerobic, Gram-negative rod-shaped and other yellow-pigmented bacteria by the characteristics shown in Table 3 as described by Schaad et al. (2001).						
119.	149	Technical	<b>Table 3.</b> Phenotypic characteristics for differentiating <i>Xanthomonas</i> from <i>Pseudomonas</i> and other yellow-pigmented bacteria ( <i>Flavobacterium</i> and <i>Pantoea</i> )	The following reference should be added Schaad NW, Jones JB and Chun W. 2001. Laboratory guide for the identification of plant pathogenic bacteria. APS press, St Paul, Minnesota. p. 177.	EPPO, European Union				
120.	151	Editorial	The most relevant or useful characteristics for distinguishing <i>X. fragariae</i> from other <i>Xanthomonas</i> (EPPO, 2006; Schaad et al., 2001; Janse et al., 2004) are shown in Table 4.	Table 4. is described in (EPPO, 2006).	Japan				
121.	152	Editorial	<b>Table 4.</b> Diagnostic tests to distinguish <i>Xanthomonas fragariae</i> from the “ <i>X. campestris</i> group” and <i>X. arboricola</i> pv. <i>fragariae</i> (EPPO, 2006; Janse et al., 2004)	Table 4. is described in (EPPO, 2006).	Japan				
122.	157	Substantive	<b>Table 5.</b> Reactions of <i>Xanthomonas fragariae</i> in API 20 NE tests	Need to add reaction for Phenyl-acetate - at bottom of table	New Zealand				
123.	158	Technical	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">Test</th> <th style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">Reaction (48 or 96 h)<sup>1</sup></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="height: 150px;"></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Test	Reaction (48 or 96 h) <sup>1</sup>			In order to compare with other species of <i>X. fragariae</i> .	China
Test	Reaction (48 or 96 h) <sup>1</sup>								

Comm no.	Para no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country
			Glucose fermentation		
			Arginine	-	
			Urease	-	
			Esculin	-	
			Gelatin	+	
			Para-NitroPhenyl- $\beta$ DGalactopyranosidase (PNPG)	+ (weakly)	
			Assimilation of:		
			Glucose	+	
			Arabinose	-	
			Mannose	-	
			Mannitol	+	
			N-acetyl-glucosamine	-	
			Maltose	-	
			Gluconate	-	
			Caprate	-	
			Adipate	+	

Comm no.	Para no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country
			<p>Malate</p> <p>Citrate</p> <p>Phenyl-acetate</p>		
			<p><a href="#">It should be added the API 20 and API 50 results of other species of X. fragariae.</a></p>		
124.	160	Editorial	<p>For the API 50 CH strips<sup>10</sup>, prepare bacterial cell suspensions of OD = 1.0 in PBS. Add 1 ml suspension to 20 ml modified medium C (0.5 g NH<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 0.5 g K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 0.2 g MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 5 g NaCl, 1 g yeast extract, 70 ml Bromothymol blue (0.2%), distilled water to 1 litre; pH 6.8) (Dye, 1962). Follow the manufacturer's instructions for inoculation of the strips. Incubate at 25 °C under aerobic conditions and read after 2, 3 and 6 days. Utilization of the different carbohydrates is indicated by a yellow colour in the wells after the incubation period</p>	No capital needed	EPPO, European Union

Comm no.	Para no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country																								
			(Table 6).																										
125.	162	Technical	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Test<sup>1</sup></th> <th>Reaction (six days)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>d-arabinose</td> <td>Variable</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Galactose</td> <td>+</td> </tr> <tr> <td>d-glucose</td> <td>+</td> </tr> <tr> <td>d-fructose</td> <td>+</td> </tr> <tr> <td>d-mannose</td> <td>+</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N-acetyl-glucosamine</td> <td>+</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Esculin</td> <td>+</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Sucrose</td> <td>+</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Trehalose</td> <td>+</td> </tr> <tr> <td>d-lyxosa</td> <td>+</td> </tr> <tr> <td>l-fucose</td> <td>+</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p><u>It should be added the API 20 and API 50 results of other species of X. fragariae.</u></p>	Test <sup>1</sup>	Reaction (six days)	d-arabinose	Variable	Galactose	+	d-glucose	+	d-fructose	+	d-mannose	+	N-acetyl-glucosamine	+	Esculin	+	Sucrose	+	Trehalose	+	d-lyxosa	+	l-fucose	+	In order to compare with other species of X. fragariae.	China
Test <sup>1</sup>	Reaction (six days)																												
d-arabinose	Variable																												
Galactose	+																												
d-glucose	+																												
d-fructose	+																												
d-mannose	+																												
N-acetyl-glucosamine	+																												
Esculin	+																												
Sucrose	+																												
Trehalose	+																												
d-lyxosa	+																												
l-fucose	+																												
126.	165	Editorial	Fatty acid methyl esters (FAMES) associated with the cytoplasmic and outer membranes of Gram-negative bacteria are useful for bacterial identification (Sasser, 1990). Specific fatty acids that may be used to predict the genus of Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria are	Consistency of terminology	EPPO																								



Comm no.	Para no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country
			given by Dickstein <i>et al.</i> (2001). Identification is based on comparing the types and relative amounts of the fatty acids in a profile of an unknown strain with profiles from a wide variety of strains in a library database (e.g. TSBA40 library). It is critical that bacteria be grown under uniform conditions of time, temperature and nutrient media in order to obtain reproducible results. <i>X. fragariae</i> strains contain three major fatty acids (16:1 $\omega$ -7 <i>cis</i> , 15:0 <i>anteiso</i> and 15:0 <i>iso</i> ); while some strains give a good match to the library profile, other strains have differing fatty acid profiles that do not correspond well. Studies have shown that strains of <i>X. fragariae</i> show considerable diversity and fall into at least four distinct fatty acid groups (Roberts <i>et al.</i> , 1998). The method described by Roberts <i>et al.</i> (1998) is recommended for FAME profiling of <i>X. fragariae</i> . Test strains are grown on trypticase soy agar at 24 °C for 48 h, a fatty acid extraction procedure is applied and the extract is analysed using the Sherlock Microbial Identification System (MIDI).		
127.	173	Substantive	<b>4.3 Molecular tests</b>  <u>Real-time PCR assay wasn't included.</u>	It is very important to develop a rapid, sensitive real-time PCR for detecting <i>X. fragariae</i>	China
128.	179	Technical	Bacterial strains to be analysed are taken from streaks or individual colonies on Pierce's disease modified medium (5.0 g sucrose, 2.5 g Phytone (BBL Microbiology Systems, Baltimore, MD) <sup>11</sup> , 10 g Phytigel (BBL Microbiology Systems) <sup>12</sup> ; adjust pH to 7.5 with 2 N HCl before autoclaving; distilled water to 1 litre) (Opgenorth <i>et al.</i> , 1996).	REP PCR can be standardized in the lab using different growth medium This should be presented as an option	EPPO, European Union
129.	185	Technical	The reaction buffer contains 16.6 mM (NH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> , 67 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.8), 6.7 $\mu$ M EDTA, 30 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, 0.17 mg BSA/ml, 10% (v/v) dimethyl sulfoxide, 1.2 mM of each dNTP, 62 pmol each primer and 2 U Taq DNA polymerase. Bacteria from a representative colony of the test strain are transferred, using a sterile 10 $\mu$ l pipette tip (or other suitable implement), to a PCR reaction tube containing 25 $\mu$ l of the reaction mixture. Cycling parameters are 95 °C for 6 min followed by 35 cycles at 94 °C for 1 min, 44 °C (REP primers) or 52 °C	Proposed deletion for consistency with other parts of the protocol where only agarose gels are mentioned.	EPPO, European Union

Comm no.	Para no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country
			(ERIC primers) for 1 min and 65 °C for 8 min. The amplification cycles are followed by a final extension cycle of 68 °C for 16 min. The amplification products (5–10 µl) are electrophoresed in a 1.5% (w/v) agarose gel <del>at room temperature for 4 h at 5 V/cm in TAE buffer (0.04 M Tris-acetate, 1 mM EDTA)</del> . Amplified DNA fragments are visualized after staining with ethidium bromide by ultraviolet transillumination.		
130.	187	Substantive	Test bacterial strains are identified as <i>X. fragariae</i> if the same genomic fingerprints are obtained as those of the REP and ERIC genotypes of the reference strains (Pooler <i>et al.</i> , 1996) amplified in the same test and run in the same gel. <a href="#">A small number of polymorphic bands may be obtained from different <i>X. fragariae</i> isolates due to low levels of genomic variability</a>	Useful additional information	EPPO
131.	187	Substantive	Test bacterial strains are identified as <i>X. fragariae</i> if the same genomic fingerprints are obtained as those of the REP and ERIC genotypes of the reference strains (Pooler <i>et al.</i> , 1996) amplified in the same test and run in the same gel. <a href="#">A small number of polymorphic bands may be obtained from different <i>X. fragariae</i> isolates due to low levels of genomic variability</a>	Useful additional information	European Union
132.	188	Technical	<b>4.3.3 Multilocus sequence analysis</b>  <a href="#">Enumerate the housekeeping genes for identifying <i>X. fragariae</i>.</a>	In order to standardize the process of identification.	China
133.	189	Editorial	A multilocus sequence analysis (MLSA) approach has been widely used for the specific identification of xanthomonads (Almeida <i>et al.</i> , 2010; Hamza <i>et al.</i> , 2012; Parkinson <i>et al.</i> , 2007) and could be used for identification of <i>X. fragariae</i> especially now that a draft genome sequence is now available (Vandroemee <i>et al.</i> , 2013). However, it should be noted this methodology has not yet been validated for identification of <i>X. fragariae</i> . Housekeeping genes are amplified using primers and PCR conditions as described by Almeida <i>et al.</i> (2010) and Hamza <i>et al.</i> , (2012). MLSA consists of sequencing	Hamza <i>et al.</i> , 2012 not included in references section.	Singapore

Comm no.	Para no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country
			multiple loci (typically four to eight housekeeping genes) and comparing these sequences with reference sequences of <i>Xanthomonas</i> species deposited in nucleotide databases; for example, the Plant Associated Microbes Database (PAMDB) ( <a href="http://genome.ppws.vt.edu/cgi-bin/MLST/home.pl">http://genome.ppws.vt.edu/cgi-bin/MLST/home.pl</a> ) (Almeida <i>et al.</i> , 2010) and the MLVAbank for microbe genotyping ( <a href="https://bioinfo-prod.mpl.ird.fr/MLVA_bank/Genotyping/">https://bioinfo-prod.mpl.ird.fr/MLVA_bank/Genotyping/</a> ).		
134.	189	Editorial	A multilocus sequence analysis (MLSA) approach has been widely used for the specific identification of xanthomonads (Almeida <i>et al.</i> , 2010; Hamza <i>et al.</i> , 2012; Parkinson <i>et al.</i> , 2007) and could be used for identification of <i>X. fragariae</i> especially now that a draft genome sequence is <del>now</del> -available (Vandroemee <i>et al.</i> , 2013). However, it should be noted <del>that</del> this methodology has not yet been validated for identification of <i>X. fragariae</i> . Housekeeping genes are amplified using primers and PCR conditions as described by Almeida <i>et al.</i> (2010) and Hamza <i>et al.</i> , (2012). MLSA consists of sequencing multiple loci (typically four to eight housekeeping genes) and comparing these sequences with reference sequences of <i>Xanthomonas</i> species deposited in nucleotide databases; for example, the Plant Associated Microbes Database (PAMDB) ( <a href="http://genome.ppws.vt.edu/cgi-bin/MLST/home.pl">http://genome.ppws.vt.edu/cgi-bin/MLST/home.pl</a> ) (Almeida <i>et al.</i> , 2010) and the MLVAbank for microbe genotyping ( <a href="https://bioinfo-prod.mpl.ird.fr/MLVA_bank/Genotyping/">https://bioinfo-prod.mpl.ird.fr/MLVA_bank/Genotyping/</a> ).	1 unnecessary word 2 word missing	EPPO
135.	189	Editorial	A multilocus sequence analysis (MLSA) approach has been widely used for the specific identification of xanthomonads (Almeida <i>et al.</i> , 2010; Hamza <i>et al.</i> , 2012; Parkinson <i>et al.</i> , 2007) and could be used for identification of <i>X. fragariae</i> especially now that a draft genome sequence is <del>now</del> -available (Vandroemee <i>et al.</i> , 2013). However, it should be noted <del>that</del> this methodology has not yet been validated for identification of <i>X. fragariae</i> . Housekeeping genes are amplified using primers and PCR conditions as described by Almeida <i>et al.</i> (2010) and	1. unnecessary word 2. word missing	European Union

Comm . no.	Para . no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country
			Hamza <i>et al.</i> , (2012). MLSA consists of sequencing multiple loci (typically four to eight housekeeping genes) and comparing these sequences with reference sequences of <i>Xanthomonas</i> species deposited in nucleotide databases; for example, the Plant Associated Microbes Database (PAMDB) ( <a href="http://genome.ppws.vt.edu/cgi-bin/MLST/home.pl">http://genome.ppws.vt.edu/cgi-bin/MLST/home.pl</a> ) (Almeida <i>et al.</i> , 2010) and the MLVAbank for microbe genotyping ( <a href="https://bioinfo-prod.mpl.ird.fr/MLVA_bank/Genotyping/">https://bioinfo-prod.mpl.ird.fr/MLVA_bank/Genotyping/</a> ).		
136.	189	Editorial	A multilocus sequence analysis (MLSA) approach has been widely used for the specific identification of xanthomonads (Almeida <i>et al.</i> , 2010; Hamza <i>et al.</i> , 2012; Parkinson <i>et al.</i> , 2007) and could be used for identification of <i>X. fragariae</i> especially now that a draft genome sequence is now available (Vandroemee <i>et al.</i> , 2013). However, it should be noted this methodology has not yet been validated for identification of <i>X. fragariae</i> . Housekeeping genes are amplified using primers and PCR conditions as described by Almeida <i>et al.</i> (2010) and Hamza <i>et al.</i> , (2012). MLSA consists of sequencing multiple loci (typically four to eight housekeeping genes) and comparing these sequences with reference sequences of <i>Xanthomonas</i> species deposited in nucleotide databases; for example, the Plant Associated Microbes Database (PAMDB) ( <a href="http://genome.ppws.vt.edu/cgi-bin/MLST/home.pl">http://genome.ppws.vt.edu/cgi-bin/MLST/home.pl</a> ) (Almeida <i>et al.</i> , 2010) and the MLVAbank for microbe genotyping ( <a href="https://bioinfo-prod.mpl.ird.fr/MLVA_bank/Genotyping/">https://bioinfo-prod.mpl.ird.fr/MLVA_bank/Genotyping/</a> ).	This quotation is not included in the reference section. For this reason it should be deleted from the text or otherwise included in the reference section.	COSAVE, Argentina, Peru, Brazil, Uruguay, Chile, Paraguay
137.	194	Technical	Prepare bacterial cell suspensions <u>approximately</u> ( <del>10<sup>8</sup>-10<sup>9</sup></del> cfu/ml) in sterile distilled water or 10 mM PBS. Apply inoculum for each strain to the abaxial surfaces of three trifoliolate leaves on each of two or three plants with a low pressure spray gun, airbrush or similar device (e.g. DeVilbiss) so as not to induce water-soaking. Infection may be facilitated by wounding leaves (e.g. puncturing the abaxial surface with a needle) before applying inoculum, although it is not necessary to do this. After inoculation, incubate plants in a chamber maintained at 20–25 °C with	The concentrations given is high for a pathogenicity test, It should be approximately 10 <sup>6</sup> cfu/ml	EPPO

Comm. no.	Para. no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country
			high humidity (>90%) and a 12–14 h photoperiod. Suspensions of cells of a reference <i>X. fragariae</i> strain (prepared in the same manner as the test strain) and sterile distilled water or 10 mM PBS serve as positive and negative controls, respectively, and should be inoculated in different trays. Evaluate lesion development weekly for three weeks (21 days) post-inoculation. Re-isolate the pathogen from such lesions, as described in section 3.5, and identify by ELISA, immunofluorescence or PCR.		
138.	194	Technical	Prepare bacterial cell suspensions <del>approximately</del> <sup>(10<sup>8</sup>–10<sup>9</sup> cfu/ml)</sup> in sterile distilled water or 10 mM PBS. Apply inoculum for each strain to the abaxial surfaces of three trifoliolate leaves on each of two or three plants with a low pressure spray gun, airbrush or similar device (e.g. DeVilbiss) so as not to induce water-soaking. Infection may be facilitated by wounding leaves (e.g. puncturing the abaxial surface with a needle) before applying inoculum, although it is not necessary to do this. After inoculation, incubate plants in a chamber maintained at 20–25 °C with high humidity (>90%) and a 12–14 h photoperiod. Suspensions of cells of a reference <i>X. fragariae</i> strain (prepared in the same manner as the test strain) and sterile distilled water or 10 mM PBS serve as positive and negative controls, respectively, and should be inoculated in different trays. Evaluate lesion development weekly for three weeks (21 days) post-inoculation. Re-isolate the pathogen from such lesions, as described in section 3.5, and identify by ELISA, immunofluorescence or PCR.	The concentration given is high for a pathogenicity test, It should be approximately 10 <sup>6</sup> cfu/ml	European Union
139.	196	Technical	If the <del>colonies are</del> <del>sample tissue extract inoculum contains</del> <i>X. fragariae</i> , initial symptoms will be dark, water-soaked (when viewed with reflected light) lesions on the lower leaf surfaces. These lesions appear translucent yellow when viewed with transmitted light. Later these lesions develop into necrotic spots surrounded by a yellow halo or marginal necrosis. The same symptoms should appear on plants inoculated with a reference <i>X. fragariae</i> strain (positive control).	The pathogenicity test is based on pure cultures	EPPO, European Union
140.	201	Technical	Complete collapse and necrosis of the infiltrated tissue within 24–48 h post-inoculation is recorded as a positive test result. Most <i>X. fragariae</i> strains are HR positive.	According to Janse et al 2001 <i>X. arboricola</i> pv. <i>fragariae</i> has a positive HR reaction on tobacco and is differentiated from <i>X. fragariae</i> by this reaction. Therefore the reference on which	COSAVE, Argentina, Peru, Brazil, Uruguay, Chile, Paraguay

Comm no.	Para no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country
			However, some may be HR negative, especially after being stored for some time. Similar reactions should not appear on leaves mock-inoculated with sterile distilled water or 10 mM PBS as a negative control.	information in this paragraph is based should be provided.	
141.	225	Technical	<b>EPPO</b> (European and Mediterranean Plant Protection Organization). 1992. Data sheet on <i>Xanthomonas fragariae</i> . In I.M. Smith, D.G. McNamara, P.R. Scott & K.M. Harris, eds. <i>Quarantine pests for Europe</i> , pp. 829–833. Data sheets on European Communities and for the European and Mediterranean Plant Protection Organization. Wallingford, UK, CABI. XX pp.	replace by EPPO. 1997. Data sheet on <i>Xanthomonas fragariae</i> . In EPPO/CABI (1997) <i>Quarantine Pests for Europe</i> . 2nd edition. Edited by Smith IM, McNamara DG, Scott PR, Holderness M. CABI, Wallingford, UK, 1425 pp pp 1124-1128. add EPPO (2009), PM 7/97 (1): Indirect immunofluorescence test for plant pathogenic bacteria. EPPO Bulletin, 39: 413–416.	EPPO, European Union
142.	233	Technical	<b>Janse, J.D., Ross, M.P., Gorkink, R.F.J., Derks, J.H.J., Swings, J. Janssens, D. &amp; Scortichini, M.</b> 2001. Bacterial leaf blight of strawberry ( <i>Fragaria (x) ananassa</i> ) caused by a pathovar of <i>Xanthomonas arboricola</i> , not similar to <i>Xanthomonas fragariae</i> Kennedy & King. Description of the causal organism as <i>Xanthomonas arboricola</i> pv. <i>fragariae</i> (pv. nov., comb. nov.). <i>Plant Pathology</i> , 50: 653–665.	add Janse, J.D. 2005. <i>Phytobacteriology: Principles and Practice</i> . CABI Publishing, Wallingford, UK. PP. 224-226.	EPPO, European Union
143.	235	Editorial	<b>Kennedy, B.W. &amp; King, T.H.</b> 1962a. Angular leaf spot of strawberry caused by <i>Xanthomonas fragariae</i> sp. nov. <i>Phytopathology</i> , 52: 873–875.	It is proposed to delete the reference "Kennedy and King, 1962b" from the references section, as it was not cited in the draft annex. Hence, "1962a" should be changed to "1962" instead.	Singapore
144.	236	Editorial	<del><b>Kennedy, B.W. &amp; King, T.H.</b> 1962b. <i>Studies on epidemiology of bacterial angular leafspot of strawberry. Plant Disease Reporter</i>, 46: 360–363.</del>	It is proposed to delete this reference as it was not cited in the draft annex.	Singapore
145.	239	Editorial	<b>López, M.M., Dominguez, F., Morente, C., Salcedo, C.I., Olmos, A. &amp; Civerolo, E.</b> 2005. <i>Diagnostic protocols for organisms harmful to plants: Diagnosis Xanthomonas fragariae</i> . SMT-4-CT98-2252.	For clarity.	Singapore
			<b>Maas, J.L.</b> , ed. 1998. <i>Compendium of strawberry diseases</i> , 2nd edn. St Paul, MN, APS Press. XX pp.		
146.	245	Editorial	<b>Moltmann, E. &amp; Zimmermann, C.</b> 2005. Detection of <i>Xanthomonas fragariae</i> in symptomless strawberry plants	Addition of this reference that was added in paragraph: 3.2	South Africa

Comm no.	Para no.	Comment type	Comment	Explanation	Country
			by nested PCR. <i>EPPO Bulletin</i> , 35: 53–54.  <a href="http://www.moadwto.gov.np/downloadfile/NSPM-17pestreporting_1390904481.pdf">National Standards for Phytosanitary Measures (NSPM) approved by the NPPO and National Quarantine Committee of Nepal. 2014. Standard Technical protocols for collection and Handling of Disease samples.[Online] Available: http://www.moadwto.gov.np/downloadfile/NSPM-17pestreporting_1390904481.pdf</a> -Nov 2015 pp5-6		
147.	263	Editorial	<a href="#">University of Hawaii college of tropical agriculture and Human resources (UH-CTAHR), 2006. Collecting plant disease and Insects Pest Samples for problem diagnosis. Scot. C. Nelson and Brian C. Bushe. Cooperative Extension Service, Soil and Crop management. SCM-14. University of Hawaii. pp 2-3.</a>  <b>Van den Mooter, M. &amp; Swings, J.</b> 1990. Numerical analyses of 295 phenotypic features of 266 <i>Xanthomonas</i> strains and related strains and an improved taxonomy of the genus. <i>International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology</i> , 40: 348–369.	Addition of this reference that was added in paragraph number: 3.2.	South Africa
148.	270	Technical	<b>Footnote 1:</b> <del>In this diagnostic protocol, methods (including reference to brand names) are described as published, as these defined the original level of sensitivity, specificity and/or reproducibility achieved. The Use of names of reagents chemicals or equipment in these</del> <u>this diagnostic protocols implies no approval of them to the exclusion of others that may also be suitable. Laboratory procedures presented in the protocols may be adjusted to the standards of individual laboratories, provided that they are adequately validated. This information is given for the convenience of users of this protocol and does not constitute an endorsement by the CPM of the chemical, reagent and/or equipment named. Equivalent products may be used if they can be shown to lead to the same results.</u>	1. Text deleted because it is already included in the text of DP (paragraph 22). 2. Text added according to the previously agreed footnote.	COSAVE, Argentina, Peru, Brazil, Uruguay, Chile, Paraguay