



Para	Text	Comment
G	(General Comment)	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (185) Venezuela (1 Oct 2016 2:59 AM) El grupo de Venezuela no tiene comentarios por ahora
G	(General Comment)	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (184) Guyana (30 Sep 2016 6:42 PM) We accept the contents of the document.
G	(General Comment)	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (167) Canada (30 Sep 2016 4:11 PM) Canada supports the draft Annex to ISPM 20. Some minor editorial comments are provided.
G	(General Comment)	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (146) Kenya (29 Sep 2016 4:35 PM) No Comment. Document accepted as is
G	(General Comment)	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (116) Burundi (28 Sep 2016 8:12 PM) Pas de commentaire (surtout que le document est en anglais)
G	(General Comment)	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (106) Iraq (28 Sep 2016 11:19 AM) No comments
G	(General Comment)	<i>Category : EDITORIAL</i> (85) Viet Nam (27 Sep 2016 11:03 AM) Vietnam agree with comments of APPPC regional workshop on review of draft ISPMs (25-29 July 2016, Suwon, Korea)
G	(General Comment)	<i>Category : EDITORIAL</i> (84) PPPO (26 Sep 2016 12:26 AM) PPPO Has no comments on the draft ISPM
G	(General Comment)	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (73) Samoa (22 Sep 2016 4:49 AM) Support the draft annex so far however just curious with the use of the word arrangement vs agreement by way of binding it seriously. If by chance some countries change of government administration, would this arrangement be considered changed too? or would it be better to agreed and for a new administration government elected continue with what is in place?
G	(General Comment)	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (50) Thailand (21 Sep 2016 10:50 AM) agree with the Draft Annex to ISPM 20
G	(General Comment)	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (9) Japan (18 Jul 2016 3:51 AM) The relationship between the text of ISPM20 and this draft annex should be clarified, i.e. which part of the texts of ISPM can be linked to this draft annex.

G	(General Comment)	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (43) Zambia (8 Sep 2016 6:47 AM) The Draft Annex is sufficiently covered and well presented.
G	(General Comment)	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (40) Tajikistan (29 Aug 2016 1:49 PM) I support the document as it is and I have no comments
G	(General Comment)	<i>Category : EDITORIAL</i> (1) Nepal (11 Jul 2016 5:26 PM) It is well prepared and I have no comments on the draft.
18	2013-05 SC postponed consideration of the draft until concepts related to pre-clearance have been clarified	<i>Category : EDITORIAL</i> (103) Nepal (28 Sep 2016 4:01 AM) 1. When the issues are being tackled bilaterally do we need to annex it to ISPM 20. 2. The Implementation issues especially related to national legislation and policies may not support it fully and may take longer time than agreed in the Arrangement. 3. Regional and national Security reasons may hinder the Arrangements 4. Logistic and other facilities may not be adequately available in the exporting country. 5. Mechanism of Arrangement may be against principle of the objective of a Phytosanitary import regulatory system which is to prevent the introduction of quarantine pests or limit the entry of regulated non-quarantine pests with imported commodities and till they are in the exporting country it is not imported. 6. This arrangement may lead the ISPM 20 towards bilateral agreement.
49	ANNEX-APPENDIX 1: Arrangements for the verification of compliance of consignments by the importing country in the exporting country	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (175) Chile (30 Sep 2016 6:21 PM) This should be an appendix as originally proposed, it is an informative document on how to develop bilateral agreements. SC in May 2015 had stated that "due to the voluntary nature of the arrangements, the draft should be considered an appendix to ISPM 20". The draft does not harmonize phytosanitary measures but focuses on trade logistics, which means it provides additional practical guidance and therefore should be an appendix. Review change of "Annex" to "Appendix" in all the draft.
49	ANNEX-APPENDIX 1: Arrangements for the verification of compliance of consignments by the importing country in the exporting country	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (157) Bolivia (30 Sep 2016 2:18 PM) This should be an appendix as originally proposed, it is an informative document on how to develop bilateral agreements. SC in May 2015 had stated that "due to the voluntary nature of the arrangements, the draft should be considered an appendix to ISPM 20". The draft does not harmonize phytosanitary measures but focuses on trade logistics, which means it provides additional practical guidance and therefore should be an appendix. Review change of "Annex" to "Appendix" in all the draft.
49	ANNEX-APPENDIX 1: Arrangements for the verification of compliance of consignments by the importing country in the exporting country	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (148) Peru (29 Sep 2016 10:19 PM)

		<p>This should be an appendix as originally proposed, it is an informative document on how to develop bilateral agreements.</p> <p>SC in May 2015 had stated that “due to the voluntary nature of the arrangements, the draft should be considered an appendix to ISPM 20”. The draft does not harmonize phytosanitary measures but focuses on trade logistics, which means it provides additional practical guidance and therefore should be an appendix.</p> <p>Review change of "Annex" to "Appendix" in all the draft.</p>
49	ANNEX APPENDIX 1: Arrangements for the verification of compliance of consignments by the importing country in the exporting country	<p><i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (121) Argentina (29 Sep 2016 12:32 PM)</p> <p>This should be an appendix as originally proposed, it is an informative document on how to develop bilateral agreements.</p> <p>SC in May 2015 had stated that “due to the voluntary nature of the arrangements, the draft should be considered an appendix to ISPM 20”. The draft does not harmonize phytosanitary measures but focuses on trade logistics, which means it provides additional practical guidance and therefore should be an appendix.</p> <p>Review change of "Annex" to "Appendix" in all the draft.</p>
49	ANNEX APPENDIX 1: Arrangements for the verification of compliance of consignments by the importing country in the exporting country	<p><i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (107) Brazil (28 Sep 2016 2:14 PM)</p> <p>This should be an appendix as originally proposed, it is an informative document on how to develop bilateral agreements.</p> <p>SC in May 2015 had stated that “due to the voluntary nature of the arrangements, the draft should be considered an appendix to ISPM 20”. The draft does not harmonize phytosanitary measures but focuses on trade logistics, which means it provides additional practical guidance and therefore should be an appendix.</p> <p>Review change of "Annex" to "Appendix" in all the draft.</p>
49	ANNEX APPENDIX 1: Arrangements for the verification of compliance of consignments by the importing country in the exporting country	<p><i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (74) Uruguay (22 Sep 2016 9:33 PM)</p> <p>The document should be an Appendix as originally proposed. It is an informative document on how to develop bilateral agreements. SC May 2015 stated that "due to the voluntary nature of the arrangements, the draft should be considered an appendix to ISPM 20". The draft does not harmonize phytosanitary measures but focuses on trade logistics, which means that it provides additional practical guidance and therefore should be an appendix.</p> <p>Review to change "Annex" to "Appendix" throughout the text</p>
49	ANNEX APPENDIX 1: Arrangements for the verification of compliance of consignments by the importing country in the exporting country	<p><i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (22) COSAVE (9 Aug 2016 5:15 PM)</p> <p>This should be an appendix as originally proposed, it is an informative document on how to develop bilateral agreements.</p> <p>SC in May 2015 had stated that “due to the voluntary nature of the arrangements, the draft should be considered an appendix to ISPM 20”. The draft does not harmonize phytosanitary measures but focuses on trade logistics, which means it provides additional practical guidance and therefore should be an appendix.</p>

		Review change of "Annex" to "Appendix" in all the draft.
50	The national plant protection organization (NPPO) of the importing country usually verifies compliance of consignments with phytosanitary import requirements on entry into the importing country. However, to facilitate trade logistics, contracting parties may in some cases bilaterally or multilaterally negotiate an arrangement that allows verification procedures to be performed by the NPPO of the importing country in the exporting country. Such arrangements are distinct from audits of procedures in exporting countries referred to in this standard under in 5.1.5.1. Arrangements described in this annex should not be established as part of pest risk management.	<p><i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (147) Barbados (29 Sep 2016 7:03 PM) This guidance document is well written and offers important information that is essential when entering into such arrangements.</p> <p>One of the major areas of interest would be the use of such an arrangement by a third party who does not have the resources to send personnel to the exporting country but would depend on the information and protocol developed by a country who already has a presence there.</p>
50	The national plant protection organization (NPPO) of the importing country usually verifies compliance of consignments with phytosanitary import requirements on entry into the importing country. However, to facilitate trade logistics, contracting parties may in some cases bilaterally or multilaterally negotiate an arrangement that allows verification procedures to be performed by the NPPO of the importing country in the exporting country. Such arrangements are distinct from audits of procedures in exporting countries referred to in this standard under in 5.1.5.1. Arrangements described in this annex should not be established as part of pest risk management.	<p><i>Category : EDITORIAL</i> (129) Eppo (29 Sep 2016 12:49 PM) Superfluous word.</p>
50	The national plant protection organization (NPPO) of the importing country usually verifies compliance of consignments with phytosanitary import requirements on entry into the importing country. However, to facilitate trade logistics, contracting parties may in some cases bilaterally or multilaterally negotiate an arrangement that allows verification procedures to be performed by the NPPO of the importing country in the exporting country. Such arrangements are distinct from audits of procedures in exporting countries referred to in this standard under in section 5.1.5.1. Arrangements described in this annex should not be established as part of pest risk management.	<p><i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (14) Japan (24 Jul 2016 4:12 PM)</p> <p>This sentence possibly causes misunderstanding that these arrangements are not for pest risk management. In addition, it is explained in the first part of this para that the arrangement described in this annex is made for not only prevention of pest spread but also trade facilitation, so this sentence is excessive.</p>
50	The national plant protection organization (NPPO) of the importing country usually verifies compliance of consignments with phytosanitary import requirements on entry into the importing country. However, to facilitate trade logistics, contracting parties may in some cases bilaterally or multilaterally negotiate an arrangement that allows verification procedures to be performed by the NPPO of the importing country in the exporting country. Such arrangements are distinct from audits of procedures in exporting countries referred to in this standard under in 5.1.5.1. Arrangements described in this annex should not be established as part of pest risk management.	<p><i>Category : EDITORIAL</i> (86) European Union (27 Sep 2016 4:47 PM) Superfluous word</p>
50	The national plant protection organization (NPPO) of the importing country usually verifies compliance of consignments with phytosanitary import requirements on entry into the importing country. However, to facilitate trade logistics, contracting parties may in some cases bilaterally or multilaterally negotiate an arrangement that allows verification procedures to be performed by the NPPO of the importing country in the exporting country. Such arrangements are distinct from audits of procedures in exporting countries referred to in this standard under in 5.1.5.1. Arrangements described in this annex should not be established as part of pest risk management.	<p><i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (52) United States of America (21 Sep 2016 10:19 PM) Inconsistent with paragraph 58 – According to paragraph 50, the arrangement should not be established as part of PRM, then why should import requirements be based on PRA? This is a general scope paragraph. This statement doesn't belong here and should not include all aspects discussed below in the text.</p>
50	The national plant protection organization (NPPO) of the importing country usually verifies compliance of consignments with phytosanitary import requirements on entry into the importing country. However, to facilitate trade logistics, contracting parties may in some	<p><i>Category : EDITORIAL</i> (51) United States of America (21 Sep 2016 10:18 PM) For clarity, this refers to main text of ISPM 20?</p>

	cases bilaterally or multilaterally negotiate an arrangement that allows verification procedures to be performed by the NPPO of the importing country in the exporting country. Such arrangements are distinct from audits of procedures in exporting countries referred to in this standard under in 5.1.5.41 in this standard (ISPM 20). Arrangements described in this annex should not be established as part of pest risk management.	
51	NPPOs of the importing country and the exporting country should only establish and use a bilateral or multilateral arrangement (hereinafter referred to as an “arrangement”) for verification procedures to be performed on consignments of specified commodities in the exporting country on a voluntary and case-by-case basis and for a time period agreed on by both parties.	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (169) Canada (30 Sep 2016 4:31 PM) If the arrangement is to be set up on specified commodities, then what does case-by-case entail. Need clarification and elaboration on case-by-case basis.
51	NPPOs of the importing country and the exporting country should only establish and use a bilateral or multilateral arrangement (hereinafter referred to as an “arrangement”) for verification procedures to be performed on consignments of specified commodities in the exporting country on a voluntary and case-by-case basis and <u>they may do so</u> for a time period agreed on by both parties.	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (130) Eppo (29 Sep 2016 12:49 PM) For consistency with par 72 (where it says "... may have a limited time frame.") Both options of a limited or not-limited or specified time frame should be possible and should in any case be agreed on by both parties.
51	NPPOs of the importing country and the exporting country should only establish and use a bilateral or multilateral arrangement (hereinafter referred to as an “arrangement”) for verification procedures to be performed on consignments of specified commodities in the exporting country on a voluntary and case-by-case basis and <u>they may do so</u> for a time period agreed on by both parties.	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (87) European Union (27 Sep 2016 4:47 PM) For consistency with par 72 (where it says "... may have a limited time frame.") Both options of a limited or not-limited or specified time frame should be possible and should in any case be agreed on by both parties.
51	NPPOs of the importing country and the exporting country should only establish and use a bilateral or multilateral arrangement (hereinafter referred to as an “arrangement”) for verification procedures to be performed on consignments of specified commodities in the exporting country on a voluntary and case-by-case basis and for a time period agreed on by both parties.	<i>Category : EDITORIAL</i> (53) United States of America (21 Sep 2016 10:19 PM) Grammar fix
51	NPPOs of the importing country and the exporting country should only establish and use a bilateral or multilateral arrangement (hereinafter referred to as an “arrangement”) for verification procedures to be performed on consignments of specified commodities in the exporting country on a voluntary and case-by-case basis and <u>may be</u> for a time period agreed on by both parties.	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (5) Netherlands (15 Jul 2016 2:15 PM) Reference to paragraph 72
52	The establishment of an arrangement may be an option to facilitate trade logistics in <u>such as</u> the following situations:	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (131) Eppo (29 Sep 2016 12:49 PM) To make the list of categories more open.
52	The establishment of an arrangement may be an option to facilitate trade logistics <u>such as</u> in the following situations:	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (88) European Union (27 Sep 2016 4:47 PM) To make the list of categories more open.
56	when the importing country does not have the infrastructure to address <u>instances of</u> non-compliance regarding regulated articles.	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (132) Eppo (29 Sep 2016 12:49 PM) In general the infrastructure for dealing with non compliances should be in place but that in cases where importing countries are not able to deal with non compliances there could be a reason to establish an arrangement.
56	when the importing country does not have the infrastructure to address <u>instances of</u> non-compliance regarding regulated articles.	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (89) European Union (27 Sep 2016 4:47 PM) In general the infrastructure for dealing with non compliances should be in place but in cases where importing countries are not able to deal with non compliances there

		could be a reason to establish an arrangement.
56	when the importing country does not have the additional infrastructure is necessary to address non-compliance regarding regulated articles non-compliance.	Category : SUBSTANTIVE (54) United States of America (21 Sep 2016 10:20 PM) Needs to be broad and to avoid implications that one side is not adequate.
56	when the importing country does not have the infrastructure to address non-compliance or <u>conduct inspection</u> regarding regulated articles.	Category : SUBSTANTIVE (45) Australia (20 Sep 2016 3:15 PM) also about being able to conduct inspection
56	when the importing country does not have the infrastructure to address non-compliance regarding regulated articles. <u>An arrangement should not be established as a condition to allow trade, except in circumstances where the importing country does not have the infrastructure to conduct inspection or address non-compliance regarding regulated articles.</u>	Category : SUBSTANTIVE (44) Australia (20 Sep 2016 3:14 PM) In the previous version of this standard it stated "An arrangement should not be established as a condition to allow trade." A contracting party commented that "A standard should not dictate what NPPOs may agree upon to allow trade. If preclearance is the only way a product may be imported, it should be considered a voluntary bilateral agreement for the safety and convenience of both parties." Following this comment the sentence was deleted by the steward. This sentence is an important one in supporting offshore verification of compliance as a voluntary bilaterally agreed arrangement and should be reinstated. However the contracting party made a valid point that there is an exception when offshore verification of compliance is required. Therefore the proposal is to add the necessary clarification offshore verification of compliance may be required as a condition to allow trade when the importing country does not have the infrastructure for inspection or to address non-compliance regarding regulated articles.
57	The arrangement should only include procedures to verify compliance of consignments with established and published phytosanitary import requirements for the relevant commodities consignments with established and published phytosanitary import requirements for the relevant commodities in line with this standard and where appropriate with ISPM 23 (<i>Guidelines for inspection</i>). Consignments verified under the arrangement should not be subject to the same verification procedures again at the point of entry. The NPPO of the importing country may, however, perform other verification procedures, such as document and identity checks, at the point of entry.	Category : EDITORIAL (168) Canada (30 Sep 2016 4:22 PM) Remove the underline from the text
57	The arrangement should only include procedures to verify compliance of consignments with established and published phytosanitary import requirements for the relevant commodities consignments with established and published phytosanitary import requirements for the relevant commodities in line with this standard and where appropriate with ISPM 23 (<i>Guidelines for inspection</i>). Consignments verified under the arrangement should not be subject to the same verification procedures again at the point of entry. The NPPO of the importing country may, however, perform other verification procedures, such as document and identity checks, at the point of entry.	Category : EDITORIAL (133) EPPO (29 Sep 2016 12:49 PM) delete underscore
57	The <u>terms of the arrangement for a particular regulated article should be developed once the phytosanitary import requirements have been set based on a pest risk analysis.</u> <u>The arrangement should</u> only include procedures to verify compliance of consignments with established and published phytosanitary import requirements for the relevant commodities	Category : EDITORIAL (90) European Union (27 Sep 2016 4:47 PM) 1. Para [58] moved before para [57] and addition of "phytosanitary" in the text of [58] to use the terminology that is used in the Glossary (ISPM 5). 2. In para [57]: delete the underscored text.

	<u>consignments</u> with established and published phytosanitary import requirements for the relevant commodities in line with this standard and where appropriate with ISPM 23 (<i>Guidelines for inspection</i>). Consignments verified under the arrangement should not be subject to the same verification procedures again at the point of entry. The NPPO of the importing country may, however, perform other verification procedures, such as document and identity checks, at the point of entry.	
57	The arrangement should only include procedures to verify compliance of <u>consignments with established and published phytosanitary import requirements for the relevant commodities in line with this standard and where appropriate with ISPM 23 (<i>Guidelines for inspection</i>)</u> . Consignments verified under the arrangement should not be subject to the same verification procedures again at the point of entry. The NPPO of the importing country may, however, perform other verification procedures, such as document and identity checks, at the point of entry, <u>or for other purposes, and specified in Section 1, below</u> .	Category : SUBSTANTIVE (55) United States of America (21 Sep 2016 10:21 PM) See US comments on paragraphs 63 through 70
58	The terms of the arrangement for a particular regulated article should be developed once the <u>phytosanitary</u> import requirements have been set based on a pest risk analysis.	Category : EDITORIAL (135) Eppo (29 Sep 2016 12:49 PM) To use the terminology that is used in the Glossary (ISPM 5).
58	The terms of the arrangement for a particular regulated article should be developed once the import requirements have been set based on a pest risk analysis.	Category : EDITORIAL (134) Eppo (29 Sep 2016 12:49 PM) It is more logical to move paragraph 58 before paragraph 57.
58	The terms of the arrangement for a particular regulated article should be developed once the import requirements have been set based on a pest risk analysis.	Category : EDITORIAL (91) European Union (27 Sep 2016 4:47 PM) It is more logical to move paragraph 58 before paragraph 57.
59	Irrespective of any arrangement between the NPPOs of the importing country and the exporting country, issuance of phytosanitary certificates remains the exclusive responsibility of the NPPO of the exporting country. The core principles country as stated in Articles I.2, IV.2(a), IV.2(b), IV.2(c), IV.2(d), IV.2(e), IV.2(g) and V.1 of the IPPC assign this responsibility to the NPPO of the exporting country. Any actions undertaken by the NPPO of the importing country in the exporting country under an arrangement are subject to and must comply with the legislation of the exporting country.	Category : EDITORIAL (136) Eppo (29 Sep 2016 12:49 PM) Clearer wording
59	Irrespective of any arrangement between the NPPOs of the importing country and the exporting country, issuance of phytosanitary certificates remains the exclusive responsibility of the NPPO of the exporting country. The core principles country as stated in Articles I.2, IV.2(a), IV.2(b), IV.2(c), IV.2(d), IV.2(e), IV.2(g) and V.1 of the IPPC assign this responsibility to the NPPO of the exporting country IPPC. Any actions undertaken by the NPPO of the importing country in the exporting country under an arrangement are subject to and must comply with the legislation of the exporting country.	Category : EDITORIAL (92) European Union (27 Sep 2016 4:47 PM) More clearer wording.
59	Irrespective of any arrangement between the NPPOs of the importing country and the exporting country, issuance of phytosanitary certificates remains the exclusive responsibility of the NPPO of the exporting country. The core principles stated in Articles I.2, IV.2(a), IV.2(b), IV.2(c), IV.2(d), IV.2(e), IV.2(g) and V.1 of the IPPC assign this responsibility to the NPPO of the exporting country. Any actions undertaken by the NPPO of the importing country in the exporting country under an arrangement are subject to and must comply with the legislation of the exporting country. <u>Actions of offshore officers in exporting country may also be subject to and have to comply with legislation of the importing country.</u>	Category : SUBSTANTIVE (48) Australia (20 Sep 2016 3:23 PM) clarification
59	Irrespective of any arrangement between the NPPOs of the importing country and the exporting country, issuance of phytosanitary certificates remains the exclusive responsibility	Category : EDITORIAL (2) Timor-Leste (14 Jul 2016 10:00 AM)

	of the NPPO of the exporting country. The country as outlined in the core principles stated in Articles I.2, IV.2(a), IV.2(b), IV.2(c), IV.2(d), IV.2(e), IV.2(g) and V.1 of the IPPC assign this responsibility to the NPPO of the exporting country IPPC. Any actions undertaken by the NPPO of the importing country in the exporting country under an arrangement are subject to and must comply with the legislation of the exporting country.	
60	The following guidance provides options to be considered by NPPOs in relation to arrangements for the verification of compliance of consignments by the NPPO of the importing country in the exporting country. <u>An arrangement should not be establish as a condition to allow trade.</u>	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (158) Bolivia (30 Sep 2016 2:20 PM) It is important to keep this concept in order to avoid trade barriers with this kind of arrangement.
60	The following guidance provides options to be considered by NPPOs in relation to arrangements for the verification of compliance of consignments by the NPPO of the importing country in the exporting country.	<i>Category : EDITORIAL</i> (46) Australia (20 Sep 2016 3:17 PM) The next section is called requirements for an arrangement.
61	1. General <u>Requirements</u> <u>requirements</u> for an <u>Arrangement</u> <u>arrangement</u>	<i>Category : EDITORIAL</i> (170) Canada (30 Sep 2016 4:32 PM) Editorial
62	Arrangements should be developed jointly by the NPPOs of the importing country and the exporting country, in consultation with relevant stakeholders. <u>An arrangement should not be establish as a condition to allow trade.</u>	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (176) Chile (30 Sep 2016 6:22 PM) It is important to keep this concept in order to avoid trade barriers with this kind of arrangement.
62	Arrangements should be developed jointly by the NPPOs of the importing country and the exporting country, in consultation with relevant stakeholders. <u>An arrangement should not be establish as a condition to allow trade.</u>	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (149) Peru (29 Sep 2016 10:21 PM) It is important to keep this concept in order to avoid trade barriers with this kind of arrangement.
62	Arrangements should be developed jointly by the NPPOs of the importing country and the exporting country, in consultation with relevant stakeholders. <u>An arrangement should not be establish as a condition to allow trade.</u>	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (122) Argentina (29 Sep 2016 12:33 PM) It is important to keep this concept in order to avoid trade barriers with this kind of arrangement.
62	Arrangements should be developed jointly by the NPPOs of the importing country and the exporting country, in consultation with relevant stakeholders. <u>An arrangement should not be establish as a condition to allow trade.</u>	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (108) Brazil (28 Sep 2016 2:15 PM) It is important to keep this concept in order to avoid trade barriers with this kind of arrangement.
62	Arrangements should be developed jointly by the NPPOs of the importing country and the exporting country, in consultation with relevant stakeholders. <u>Arrangements should not be established as a condition to allow trade</u>	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (75) Uruguay (22 Sep 2016 9:36 PM) It is important to keep this concept in order to avoid trade barriers with this kind of arrangements.
62	Arrangements should be developed jointly by the NPPOs of the importing country and the exporting country, in consultation <u>discussing</u> with relevant stakeholders, <u>when appropriate</u> .	<i>Category : EDITORIAL</i> (56) United States of America (21 Sep 2016 10:21 PM) More appropriate language
62	Arrangements should be developed jointly by the NPPOs of the importing country and the exporting country, in consultation with relevant stakeholders. <u>An arrangement should not be establish as a condition to allow trade.</u>	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (31) COSAVE (9 Aug 2016 8:19 PM) It is important to keep this concept in order to avoid trade barriers with this kind of arrangement.
63	When an arrangement is in place, with verification of compliance being undertaken in the exporting country, repeat verification upon import should not be necessary. However, reasons for additional checks or others procedures undertaken in the importing country may be:	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (177) Chile (30 Sep 2016 6:23 PM) For consistency with p. 57

Arrangements for verification of compliance of consignments by the importing country in the exporting country

63	When an arrangement is in place, with verification of compliance being undertaken in the exporting country, repeat verification upon import should not be necessary. However, reasons for additional checks or others procedures undertaken in the importing country may be:	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (159) Bolivia (30 Sep 2016 2:22 PM) For consistency with p. 57
63	When an arrangement is in place, with verification of compliance being undertaken in the exporting country, repeat verification upon import should not be necessary. However, reasons for additional checks or others procedures undertaken in the importing country may be:	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (150) Peru (29 Sep 2016 10:24 PM) For consistency with p. 57
63	When an arrangement is in place, with verification of compliance being undertaken in the exporting country, repeat the same verification upon import should not be necessary required. However, reasons for additional checks or procedures undertaken in the importing country may be:	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (137) EPPO (29 Sep 2016 12:49 PM) Better wording of what the intention is. "Repeat" verification is not clear.
63	When an arrangement is in place, with verification of compliance being undertaken in the exporting country, repeat verification upon import should not be necessary. However, reasons for additional checks or others procedures undertaken in the importing country may be:	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (123) Argentina (29 Sep 2016 12:34 PM) For consistency with para 57
63	When an arrangement is in place, with verification of compliance being undertaken in the exporting country, repeat verification upon import should not be necessary repeat verification upon import should not be necessary. However, reasons for additional checks or procedures undertaken in the importing country may be: <u>Lorsqu'il s'agit de la quarantaine à l'importation, la marchandise entrante doit être référéfiée afin d'éviter l'introduction des pathogènes et ravageurs qui n'existent pas dans le pays importateur</u>	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (117) Algeria (29 Sep 2016 12:09 PM)
63	When an arrangement is in place, with verification of compliance being undertaken in the exporting country, repeat verification upon import should not be necessary. However, reasons for additional checks or others procedures undertaken in the importing country may be:	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (109) Brazil (28 Sep 2016 2:16 PM) For consistency with p. 57
63	When an arrangement is in place, with verification of compliance being undertaken in the exporting country, repeat verification upon import should not be necessary. However, reasons for additional checks or procedures undertaken in the importing country may be:	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (102) New Zealand (27 Sep 2016 10:58 PM) We would suggest that the section para 63-70 concerning repeat verification not be the first of the general requirements of an arrangement. We do not think this is the most important factor of the general requirements. We propose that this part be placed within section 3. Implementation of an arrangement as a new para 118 ...etc.
63	When an arrangement is in place, with verification of compliance being undertaken in the exporting country, repeat the same verification upon import should not be necessary required. However, reasons for additional checks or procedures undertaken in the importing country may be:	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (93) European Union (27 Sep 2016 4:47 PM) Better wording of what the intention is. "Repeat" verification is not clear.
63	When an arrangement is in place, with verification of compliance being undertaken in the exporting country, repeat verification upon import should not be necessary. However, reasons for additional checks or other procedures undertaken in the importing country may be:	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (76) Uruguay (22 Sep 2016 9:38 PM) For consistency with paragraph 57
63	When an arrangement is in place, with verification of compliance being undertaken in the exporting country, repeat verification upon import should not be necessary necessary except for programme monitoring purposes. However, reasons for additional checks or procedures undertaken in the importing country may be:	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (57) United States of America (21 Sep 2016 10:22 PM) Part of risk management or performance measurement of any program.
63	When an arrangement is in place, with verification of compliance being undertaken in the	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i>

	exporting country, repeat verification upon import should not be necessary. However, reasons for additional checks or others procedures undertaken in the importing country may be:	(23) COSAVE (9 Aug 2016 5:36 PM) For consistency with p. 57
64	checks of consignment documentation and identity	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (138) EPPO (29 Sep 2016 12:49 PM) This can be deleted, it is already mentioned in paragraph four.
64	checks of consignment documentation and identity	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (94) European Union (27 Sep 2016 4:47 PM) This can be deleted, it is already mentioned in paragraph four.
66	inspection of consignments for regulated pests that are not subject to the verification procedure in the arrangement	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (178) Chile (30 Sep 2016 6:24 PM) If this are arrangements for verification of compliance of consignments, verification procedures should involve all regulated pests.
66	inspection of consignments for regulated pests that are not subject to the verification procedure in the arrangement	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (160) Bolivia (30 Sep 2016 2:29 PM) If this are arrangements for verification of compliance of consignments, verification procedures should involve all regulated pests.
66	inspection of consignments for regulated pests that are not subject to the verification procedure in the arrangement	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (151) Peru (29 Sep 2016 10:25 PM) If this are arrangements for verification of compliance of consignments, verification procedures should involve all regulated pests.
66	inspection of consignments for regulated pests that are not subject to the verification procedure in the arrangement	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (139) EPPO (29 Sep 2016 12:49 PM) It is strange to have a verification arrangement for some regulated pests and not for others for a specific commodity. The objective of the arrangement is to have one verification process for consignments and to avoid double inspections.
66	inspection of consignments for regulated pests that are not subject to the verification procedure in the arrangement	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (124) Argentina (29 Sep 2016 12:35 PM) If this are arrangements for verification of compliance of consignments, verification procedures should involve all regulated pests
66	inspection of consignments for regulated pests that are not subject to the verification procedure in the arrangement	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (110) Brazil (28 Sep 2016 2:17 PM) If this are arrangements for verification of compliance of consignments, verification procedures should involve all regulated pests.
66	inspection of consignments for <u>official</u> regulated pests <u>or as specified in the bilateral and multilateral arrangements</u> that are not subject to the verification procedure in the arrangement <u>procedure</u>	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (104) Philippines (28 Sep 2016 7:59 AM)
66	inspection of consignments for regulated pests that are not subject to the verification procedure in the arrangement	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (95) European Union (27 Sep 2016 4:47 PM) It is strange to have a verification arrangement for some regulated pests and not for others for a specific commodity. The objective of the arrangement is to have one verification process for consignments and to avoid double inspections.
66	inspection of consignments for regulated pests that are not subject to the verification procedure in the arrangement	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (77) Uruguay (22 Sep 2016 9:41 PM) If the arrangement is for verification of compliance of consignments, verification procedures should involve all regulated pests
66	inspection of consignments for regulated pests that are not subject to the verification procedure in the arrangement	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i>

	procedure in the arrangement	(58) United States of America (21 Sep 2016 10:23 PM) Deletions of paragraphs 66 and 67 covered under US proposed change in paragraph 63
66	inspection of consignments for regulated pests that are not subject to the verification procedure in the arrangement	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (24) COSAVE (9 Aug 2016 5:54 PM) If this are arrangements for verification of compliance of consignments, verification procedures should involve all regulated pests.
67	inspection of consignments for contaminating pests (i.e. hitch-hikers) in containers	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (179) Chile (30 Sep 2016 6:25 PM) Delete the example beacuse was deleted from ISPM 5, is confusing and do not have translation to others languages
67	inspection of consignments for contaminating pests (i.e. hitch-hikers) in containers	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (161) Bolivia (30 Sep 2016 2:30 PM) Delete the example beacuse was deleted from ISPM 5, is confusing and do not have translation to others languages.
67	inspection of consignments for contaminating pests (i.e. hitch-hikers) in containers	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (152) Peru (29 Sep 2016 10:26 PM) Delete the example beacuse was deleted from ISPM 5, is confusing and do not have translation to others languages.
67	inspection of consignments for contaminating pests (i.e. hitch-hikers) in containers	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (125) Argentina (29 Sep 2016 12:36 PM) Delete the example beacuse was deleted from ISPM 5, is confusing and do not have translation to others languages.
67	inspection of consignments for contaminating pests (i.e. hitch-hikers) in containers	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (111) Brazil (28 Sep 2016 2:18 PM) Delete the example beacuse was deleted from ISPM 5, is confusing and do not have translation to others languages.
67	inspection of consignments for contaminating pests (i.e. hitch-hikers) in containers	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (78) Uruguay (22 Sep 2016 9:44 PM) Delete the example, because the term was deleted from ISPM 5, it is confusing and difficult to translate to other languages
67	inspection of consignments for contaminating pests (i.e. hitch-hikers) in containers	<i>Category : EDITORIAL</i> (83) Myanmar (25 Sep 2016 12:57 PM)
67	inspection of consignments for contaminating pests (i.e. hitch-hikers) in containers	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (59) United States of America (21 Sep 2016 10:23 PM) Deletions of paragraphs 66 and 67 covered under US proposed change in paragraph 63
67	inspection of consignments for contaminating pests (i.e. hitch-hikers) in containers	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (25) COSAVE (9 Aug 2016 5:55 PM) Delete the example beacuse was deleted from ISPM 5, is confusing and do not have translation to others languages.
69	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> inspection of consignments where the arrangement allows for a phytosanitary measure after inspection in the exporting country (e.g. cold treatment for fruit flies during transport). 	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (17) Indonesia (25 Jul 2016 3:59 AM) to ensure that export facilities exporting country (from production to pre shipment has been meets with phytosanitary requirements of importing county according to the agreement

69	inspection of consignments where the arrangement allows for a phytosanitary measure after inspection in the exporting country (e.g. cold treatment for fruit flies during transport). <u>* inspection of export facility for phytosanitary requirements</u>	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (16) Indonesia (25 Jul 2016 3:53 AM)
70	Consignments may be checked for other purposes, such as food safety.	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (180) Chile (30 Sep 2016 6:26 PM) Because this is not a phytosanitary reason, must be not included.
70	Consignments may be checked for other purposes, such as food safety.	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (162) Bolivia (30 Sep 2016 2:31 PM) Because this is not a phytosanitary reason, must be not included.
70	Consignments may be checked for other purposes, such as food safety.	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (153) Peru (29 Sep 2016 10:26 PM) Because this is not a phytosanitary reason, must be not included
70	Consignments may be checked for other purposes, such as food safety.	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (140) EPPO (29 Sep 2016 12:49 PM) Food safety is not a consideration of phytosanitary inspection and should therefore not be a part of this standard
70	Consignments may be checked for other purposes, such as food safety.	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (126) Argentina (29 Sep 2016 12:36 PM) Because this is not a phytosanitary reason, must be not included
70	Consignments may be checked for other purposes, such as food safety.	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (112) Brazil (28 Sep 2016 2:19 PM) Because this is not a phytosanitary reason, must be not included.
70	Consignments may be checked for other purposes, such as food safety.	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (96) European Union (27 Sep 2016 4:47 PM) Food safety is not a consideration of phytosanitary inspection and should therefore not be a part of this standard.
70	Consignments may be checked for other purposes, such as food safety.	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (79) Uruguay (22 Sep 2016 9:45 PM) Deleted because this is not a phytosanitary reason
70	Consignments may be checked for other purposes, such as food safety.	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (60) United States of America (21 Sep 2016 10:24 PM) Suggest deleting because outside the scope of the IPPC.
70	Consignments may be checked for other purposes, such as food safety.	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (26) COSAVE (9 Aug 2016 5:57 PM) Because this is not a phytosanitary reason, must be not included.
71	The financial aspects of the arrangement should be agreed on by the NPPOs of the importing country and the exporting country, in consultation with relevant stakeholders, <u>taking into account principles of non-discrimination.</u>	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (141) EPPO (29 Sep 2016 12:49 PM) It is important to clarify that financial aspects of an arrangement should take into account who benefits from the arrangement and therefore are non-discriminatory for different partners involved.
71	The financial aspects of the arrangement should be agreed on by the NPPOs of the importing country and the exporting country, in consultation with relevant stakeholders, <u>taking into account principles of non-discrimination.</u>	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (97) European Union (27 Sep 2016 4:47 PM) It is important to clarify that financial aspects of an arrangement should take into account who benefits from the arrangement and therefore are non-discriminatory for

		different partners involved.
71	The financial aspects of the arrangement should be are usually agreed on by the NPPOs of the importing country and the exporting country, in consultation with <u>all</u> relevant stakeholders.	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (61) United States of America (21 Sep 2016 10:25 PM) This is a more accurate statement.
72	The arrangement may have a limited time frame, in which case this should be specified.	<i>Category : EDITORIAL</i> (62) United States of America (21 Sep 2016 10:25 PM) Stated below in other parts of the draft. Redundant.
72	The arrangement may should have a limited time frame, in which case this frame that should be specified.	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (32) Latvia (25 Aug 2016 6:15 PM) It will be limited in any case as it is not possible eternally do such job in other country. Aim to be reached should be set and when fulfilled, arrangement should stop.
73	The arrangement may should be subject to regular review and a mechanism may be put in place to handle any changes that may arise. The conditions for reducing compliance verification activities and suspending or terminating the arrangement should be specified.	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (142) Eppo (29 Sep 2016 12:49 PM) For consistency with par 119 and to clarify that such arrangements should be reviewed periodically.
73	The arrangement may should be subject to regular review and a mechanism may be put in place to handle any changes that may arise. The conditions for reducing compliance verification activities and suspending or terminating the arrangement should be specified.	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (98) European Union (27 Sep 2016 4:47 PM) For consistency with par 119 and to clarify that such arrangements should be reviewed periodically.
73	The arrangement may be subject to regular periodic review and a mechanism may be put in place to handle any changes that may arise. The contracting parties could agree to specify conditions for reducing compliance verification activities and suspending changing or terminating the arrangement should be specified before entering the arrangement.	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (63) United States of America (21 Sep 2016 10:26 PM) Too prescriptive. In reality, countries base many decisions on a case-by-case basis. Also dealing with dynamic pests situations. This is done bilaterally.
73	The arrangement may should be subject to regular review and a mechanism may be put in place to handle any changes that may arise. The conditions for reducing compliance verification activities and suspending or terminating the arrangement should be specified.	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (6) Netherlands (15 Jul 2016 2:18 PM) Reference to paragraph 119
74	2. Process for Establishing establishing an Arrangementarrangement	<i>Category : EDITORIAL</i> (171) Canada (30 Sep 2016 4:32 PM) Editorial
75	The steps that may be followed to establish an arrangement are outlined below.	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (181) Chile (30 Sep 2016 6:27 PM) Deleted in other to align wording to a guidance.
75	The steps that may be followed to establish an arrangement are outlined below.	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (163) Bolivia (30 Sep 2016 2:32 PM) Deleted in other to align wording to a guidance.
75	The steps that may be followed to establish an arrangement are outlined below.	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (154) Peru (29 Sep 2016 10:27 PM) to include all relevant stakeholders (shippers, tretment suppliers, etc.)
75	The steps that may be followed to establish an arrangement are outlined below.	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (113) Brazil (28 Sep 2016 2:20 PM) Deleted in other to align wording to a guidance.
75	The steps that may be followed to establish an arrangement are outlined below.	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (80) Uruguay (22 Sep 2016 9:47 PM) Text deleted to align wording to a guidance

75	The steps that may be followed to establish an arrangement are outlined below.	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (27) COSAVE (9 Aug 2016 7:29 PM) Deleted in other to align wording to a guidance.
77	The NPPOs of the importing country or the NPPO of the exporting country may initiate the request for an arrangement. In either case, the proposal should specify the scope and objectives of and reasons for the arrangement and be agreed on by both NPPOs. The proposal may be a response to a need identified by the initiating NPPO or by relevant stakeholders.	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (143) Eppo (29 Sep 2016 12:49 PM) It is important that the proposal for the arrangement specifies the objectives of the arrangement that should be achieved with it.
77	The NPPOs of the importing country or the NPPO of the exporting country may initiate the request for an arrangement. In either case, the proposal should specify the scope and objectives of and reasons for the arrangement and be agreed on by both NPPOs. The proposal may be a response to a need identified by the initiating NPPO or by relevant stakeholders.	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (99) European Union (27 Sep 2016 4:47 PM) It is important that the proposal for the arrangement specifies the objectives of the arrangement that should be achieved with it.
77	The NPPOs of the importing country or the NPPO of the exporting country may initiate the request for an arrangement. In either case, the proposal should specify the scope of and reasons for the arrangement and be agreed on by both NPPOs. The proposal may be a response to a need identified by Before entering the initiating NPPO or by relevant stakeholders arrangement, availability of resources and feasibility of programme implementation should be evaluated.	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (64) United States of America (21 Sep 2016 10:29 PM) Deletion in first sentence: To reflect the reality of trade. Addition of last sentence: To include important reason of feasibility of performing such programmes. Deletion of last sentence: Not needed in the standard. Extraneous.
77	The NPPOs-NPPO of the importing country or the NPPO of the exporting country may initiate the request for an arrangement. In either case, the proposal should specify the scope of and reasons for the arrangement and be agreed on by both NPPOs. The proposal may be a response to a need identified by the initiating NPPO or by relevant stakeholders.	<i>Category : EDITORIAL</i> (3) Timor-Leste (14 Jul 2016 10:03 AM)
79	timing and duration of the arrangement - aim that should be reached throughout arrangement	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (33) Latvia (25 Aug 2016 6:18 PM) Aim should be considered as it is the most important reason why arrangement has been initiated. This should create also goal that should be reached throughout arrangement.
84	The NPPO receiving the proposal for an arrangement should undertake a timely review a <u>timely review</u> of the proposal and prepare a response. Evaluation of the proposal should encompass any effects of the arrangement on pest risk concerns, operational and economic feasibility, and regulatory aspects.	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (105) Philippines (28 Sep 2016 8:03 AM) should be specific at this part it should also include who will initiate the review
86	The NPPO proposing an arrangement has the primary responsibility for its development. However, on request of the proposing NPPO the other NPPO may-is encouraged to assist in its development.	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (65) United States of America (21 Sep 2016 10:30 PM) Reflects the reality of setting up such arrangements.
86	The NPPO proposing an arrangement has the primary responsibility for its development. However, on request of the proposing NPPO-NPPO , the other NPPO may assist in its development.	<i>Category : EDITORIAL</i> (4) Timor-Leste (14 Jul 2016 10:08 AM)
87	Elements of the arrangement that may need to be agreed between the NPPOs of the importing country and the exporting country before an agreement is formalized and implemented may include:	<i>Category : EDITORIAL</i> (144) Eppo (29 Sep 2016 12:49 PM) Sentence is not logic and can be made clearer
87	Elements of the arrangement that may need to be agreed between the NPPOs of the importing country and the exporting country before an agreement is formalized and implemented may include:	<i>Category : EDITORIAL</i> (100) European Union (27 Sep 2016 4:47 PM) Sentence is not logic and can be made more clear.

Arrangements for verification of compliance of consignments by the importing country in the exporting country

87	Elements of the arrangement that may need to be agreed between the NPPOs of the importing country and the exporting country before an agreement is formalized and implemented <u>implemented</u> . They may include:	<i>Category : EDITORIAL</i> (35) Latvia (25 Aug 2016 6:25 PM) Not logic sentence. It should be made understandable.
88	sampling and inspection of consignments <u>Different steps of verification of compliance will be identified by the NPPOs entering into the arrangement</u>	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (66) United States of America (21 Sep 2016 10:30 PM) To add the concept of the workplan and to clarify deleted 93
88	sampling and inspection <u>and sampling</u> of consignments	<i>Category : EDITORIAL</i> (34) Latvia (25 Aug 2016 6:23 PM) Inspection happens before and sampling according to the need. It is more logic order.
93	the time of and location for the different steps of the verification of compliance of consignments	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (67) United States of America (21 Sep 2016 10:30 PM) This is confusing and doesn't always happen
94	notification to a certificate may accompany the point of entry of the arrival of consignments <u>exporting NPPO phytosanitary certificate</u>	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (68) United States of America (21 Sep 2016 10:32 PM) Preclearance inspector communication with the border on a regular basis has the potential for confusion. The shipment will be held at the border if communication fails. The onus is better placed upon the shippers through use of a preclearance type document or reference of the preclearance inspection (number) on the phytosanitary certificate.
95	availability of qualified staff to implement provisions under the arrangement <u>arrangement</u>	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (118) Algeria (29 Sep 2016 12:13 PM) Prévoir la formation d'un staff qualifié et habilité à émettre un avis dans les pays où il n'en existe pas, (par le NEPPO)
96	timing of the activities for the verification of compliance; for example, before or after issuance of the phytosanitary certificate by the NPPO of the exporting country	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (145) Eppo (29 Sep 2016 12:49 PM) As the verification process carried out by the importing country's NPPO should be considered a 'geographically displaced' import inspection, phytosanitary certification by the exporting country's NPPO should have been performed prior to that.
96	timing of the activities for the verification of compliance; for example, before or after issuance of the phytosanitary certificate by the NPPO of the exporting country	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (101) European Union (27 Sep 2016 4:47 PM) As the verification process carried out by the importing country's NPPO should be considered a 'geographically displaced' import inspection, phytosanitary certification by the exporting country's NPPO should have been performed prior to that.
96	timing of the activities for the verification of compliance; for example, before or after issuance of the phytosanitary certificate by the NPPO of the exporting country	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (69) United States of America (21 Sep 2016 10:32 PM) In reality, all verification occurs before the issuance of the PC
96	timing of the activities for the verification of compliance; for example, before or after issuance of the phytosanitary certificate by the NPPO of the exporting country	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (21) Denmark (2 Aug 2016 8:42 AM) As the verification procedures carried out by the importing country's NPPO should be considered a 'geographically displaced' import inspection, phytosanitary certification by the exporting country's NPPO should have been performed prior to that.
97	approval procedures <u>procedures, and expense or estimated expense</u> for growers and exporters participating in the arrangement.	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (70) United States of America (21 Sep 2016 10:32 PM) Edited to be consistent with paragraph 71
97	approval procedures for growers and exporters participating in the arrangement. ;	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (49) Australia (20 Sep 2016 3:27 PM) new dot point

	accommodation, transport, work health and safety, security and other logistical aspects for the deployed officers	
97	approval procedures for growers and exporters participating in the arrangement- * post administration of approval	Category : <i>SUBSTANTIVE</i> (20) Indonesia (25 Jul 2016 4:07 AM)
97	approval procedures for growers and exporters participating in the arrangement- * Post administration of approval	Category : <i>SUBSTANTIVE</i> (19) Indonesia (25 Jul 2016 4:05 AM)
97	approval procedures for growers and exporters participating in the arrangement- * Post administration of approval	Category : <i>SUBSTANTIVE</i> (18) Indonesia (25 Jul 2016 4:04 AM) standard operational procedure that contents steps for technical implementation
103	roles and responsibilities (including those of NPPOs, exporters-exporters, growers and growers)-others relevant skateholders.	Category : <i>TECHNICAL</i> (182) Chile (30 Sep 2016 6:30 PM) to include all relevant stakeholders (shippers, tretment suppliers, etc.)
103	roles and responsibilities (including those of NPPOs, exporters-exporters, growers and growers)-others relevant stakelholders)	Category : <i>TECHNICAL</i> (164) Bolivia (30 Sep 2016 2:35 PM) to include all relevant stakeholders (shippers, tretment suppliers, etc.)
103	roles and responsibilities (including those of NPPOs, exporters-exporters, growers and growers)-other relevant stakeholders)	Category : <i>TECHNICAL</i> (127) Argentina (29 Sep 2016 12:38 PM) to include all relevant stakeholders (shippers, tretment suppliers, etc.)
103	roles and responsibilities (including those of NPPOs, exporters-exporters, growers and growers)-others relevant stakeholders)	Category : <i>TECHNICAL</i> (114) Brazil (28 Sep 2016 2:22 PM) to include all relevant stakeholders (shippers, tretment suppliers, etc.)
103	roles and responsibilities (including those of NPPOs, exporters-exporters, growers and growers)-other relevant stakeholders)	Category : <i>TECHNICAL</i> (81) Uruguay (22 Sep 2016 9:49 PM) Text added to include all relevant stakeholders (shippers, treatment suppliers, etc)
103	roles and responsibilities (including those of NPPOs, exporters-exporters, growers and growers)-others relevant stakeholders)	Category : <i>TECHNICAL</i> (28) COSAVE (9 Aug 2016 7:36 PM) to include all relevant stakeholders (shippers, tretment suppliers, etc.)
106	all regulated pests and the relevant phytosanitary measures for these pests required by the NPPO of the importing country	Category : <i>TECHNICAL</i> (71) United States of America (21 Sep 2016 10:33 PM) For consistency with the concept of addressing contaminating pests that are regulated pests by the importing country
108	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> infrastructure and equipment used for the verification of compliance of consignments 	Category : <i>SUBSTANTIVE</i> (119) Algeria (29 Sep 2016 12:16 PM) Et s'il y a manque d'infrastructures et d'équipements ?
109	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> documentation to be maintained and provided by the NPPO of the exporting country to the NPPO of the importing country 	Category : <i>TECHNICAL</i> (37) Latvia (25 Aug 2016 6:29 PM) It is not clear about what documentation we speak here. Examples would be valuable. If examples cannot be found, deletion of sentence is welcomed.
114	criteria that could result in review, evaluation, suspension or termination of the arrangement- - Mechanism for consignments not to be fully double checked on the border.	Category : <i>SUBSTANTIVE</i> (38) Latvia (25 Aug 2016 6:31 PM) Arrangements how consignments will be recognized not to check them twice fully

		should be put in arrangement.
115	3. Implementation of an <u>Arrangement</u>	<i>Category : EDITORIAL</i> (172) Canada (30 Sep 2016 4:33 PM) Editorial
116	The verification of compliance described in an arrangement may be subject to implementation conditions; for example, the arrangement may be for all exported consignments of a particular <u>commodity</u> or only for a percentage thereof, categories of regulated commodities, or a defined time period during the shipping season.	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (165) Bolivia (30 Sep 2016 2:38 PM) There are examples of arrangement for a percentage of exported consignments.
116	The verification of compliance described in an arrangement may be subject to implementation conditions; for example, the arrangement may be for all exported consignments of a particular <u>commodity</u> or only for a percentage thereof, categories of regulated commodities, or a defined time period during the shipping season.	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (155) Peru (29 Sep 2016 10:29 PM) There are examples of arrangement for a percentage of exported consignments.
118	4. Review of an <u>Arrangement</u>	<i>Category : EDITORIAL</i> (173) Canada (30 Sep 2016 4:33 PM) Editorial
119	The effectiveness of an arrangement should be reviewed regularly to identify problems and allow their discussion and resolution in order to improve the arrangement or to determine if it could be <u>downscaled</u> or terminated. The frequency and timing of reviews should be described in the arrangement. Some elements of the arrangement may need to be reviewed more frequently than others.	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (183) Chile (30 Sep 2016 6:31 PM) it is important to keep the idea of downscaling the arrangement progressively
119	The effectiveness of an arrangement should be reviewed regularly to identify problems and allow their discussion and resolution in order to improve the arrangement or to determine if it could be <u>downscaled</u> or terminated. The frequency and timing of reviews should be described in the arrangement. Some elements of the arrangement may need to be reviewed more frequently than others.	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (166) Bolivia (30 Sep 2016 2:40 PM) it is important to keep the idea of downscaling the arrangement progressively.
119	The effectiveness of an arrangement should be reviewed regularly to identify problems and allow their discussion and resolution in order to improve the arrangement or to determine if it could be <u>downscaled</u> or terminated. The frequency and timing of reviews should be described in the arrangement. Some elements of the arrangement may need to be reviewed more frequently than others.	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (156) Peru (29 Sep 2016 10:30 PM) it is important to keep the idea of downscaling the arrangement progressively.
119	The effectiveness of an arrangement should be reviewed regularly to identify problems and allow their discussion and resolution in order to improve the arrangement or to determine if it could be <u>downscaled</u> or terminated. The frequency and timing of reviews should be described in the arrangement. Some elements of the arrangement may need to be reviewed more frequently than others.	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (128) Argentina (29 Sep 2016 12:39 PM) it is important to keep the idea of downscaling the arrangement progressively
119	The effectiveness of an arrangement should be reviewed regularly to identify problems and allow their discussion and resolution in order to improve the arrangement or to determine if it could be <u>downscaled</u> or terminated. The frequency and timing of reviews should be described in the arrangement. Some elements of the arrangement may need to be reviewed more frequently than others.	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (115) Brazil (28 Sep 2016 2:23 PM) it is important to keep the idea of downscaling the arrangement progressively.
119	The effectiveness of an arrangement should be reviewed regularly to identify problems and allow their discussion and resolution in order to improve the arrangement or to determine if it could be <u>downscaled</u> or terminated. The frequency and timing of reviews should be described in the arrangement. Some elements of the arrangement may need to be reviewed more frequently than others.	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (82) Uruguay (22 Sep 2016 9:51 PM) It is important to keep the idea of downscaling the arrangement progressively

119	The effectiveness of an arrangement should be reviewed regularly to identify problems and allow their discussion and resolution in order to improve the arrangement or to determine if it could be <u>downscaled</u> or terminated. The frequency and timing of reviews should be described in the arrangement. Some elements of the arrangement may need to be reviewed more frequently than others.	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (30) COSAVE (9 Aug 2016 8:15 PM) it is important to keep the idea of downscaling the arrangement progressively.
121	5. Termination of an <u>Arrangement-arrangement</u>	<i>Category : EDITORIAL</i> (174) Canada (30 Sep 2016 4:33 PM) Editorial
121	5. Termination of an Arrangement	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (72) United States of America (21 Sep 2016 10:33 PM) Suggest using phase-out to show this is not an abrupt process. Termination implies this is abrupt. NPPO of the exporting country assumes responsibility so suggest to incorporate into the title.
125	This section is not part of the standard. The Standards Committee in May 2016 requested the secretariat to gather information on any potential implementation issues related to this draft, please provide details and proposals on how to address these potential implementation issues.	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (120) Algeria (29 Sep 2016 12:18 PM) L'aspect financier est intimement lié à ce point donc tout dépend de la possibilité de disponibilité de ressources financières.
125	This section is not part of the standard. The Standards Committee in May 2016 requested the secretariat to gather information on any potential implementation issues related to this draft, please provide details and proposals on how to address these potential implementation issues.	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (47) Australia (20 Sep 2016 3:20 PM) The importing country NPPO may have policies or legislation which preclude/limit it from conducting verification of compliance of consignments in the exporting country
125	This section is not part of the standard. The Standards Committee in May 2016 requested the secretariat to gather information on any potential implementation issues related to this draft, please provide details and proposals on how to address these potential implementation issues.	<i>Category : TECHNICAL</i> (39) Latvia (25 Aug 2016 6:32 PM) Examples from experience by contracting parties would be very valuable. Practical experience section could be created in IPPC homepage.