**The Establishment of an IPPC Partnership Programme**

1. The IPPC works with many different organizations and institutions in many different ways, in order to deliver the CPM work programme. Over time these partnerships and collaborations are currently expanding rapidly again due the IPPC Capacity Development, Communications and Resource Mobilization programmes.
2. The IPPC Secretariat considers there is a need to have greater differentiation between types of partners that would allow specific collaborative agreements to formalize relationships, work programmes, the establishment of a clear scope, the managements of expectations and collaboration, and a bi-directional feedback process to engage all IPPC stakeholders.
3. To facilitate liaison and coordination, the Secretariat considers clear partnership categories (see Appendix 1) will provide a number of benefits, including:
	1. Improved transparency,
	2. Create specific types of working relationships,
	3. Provide the framework for pragmatic working relationships,
	4. Provide more knowledge and recognition of the IPPC,
	5. Provide a framework for formal interaction and feedback both ways,
	6. Improve support for the IPPC objectives,
	7. When appropriate, provide possible additional funding sources for the IPPC work programme and
	8. Will facilitate greater targeted communication and cooperation with all stakeholders.
4. Appendix 1 is essentially a concept paper at this stage so that the SPTA can consider the concept/principles and provide appropriate early guidance. Should this be acceptable, the Secretariat will then need to develop the appropriate guidance for each category / sub-category e.g. an Industry Advisory Body as this is also referred to in the IPPC Resource Mobilization Strategy.
5. In discussions with the Bureau (June 2011) the Bureau agreed with the concept and requested the Secretariat to put this paper forward to the SPTA for guidance.
6. Points to be taken into consideration:
* Many of these activities are already ongoing directly or individually instead of in a coordinated and consistent manner.
* Specific detailed objectives, structure and RoP/ToR will need to be developed once agreement has been reached on the principles.
* Funding – this refers to possible costs to the current budget. All envisaged partnerships will be able to generate extra-budgetary funds and/or in-kind contributions. Any funding or in-kind provisions for the CPM work programme will be according to public rules and CPM supervision i.e. only in support of agreed CPM work programme activities.
* In-kind contributions – although a generic grouping, specific partnerships will probably have to be dealt with on an individual basis.
* Membership – the organizations listed are essentially for guidance and not meant to be an exclusive list of options. Due to the nature of our work, this will be dynamic and on-going.
1. For every partnership it will also be necessary to develop an agreed IPPC policy so that all interactions with a particular partner are consistent with regards IPPC objectives, message/s and *modus operandi*.
2. If we create these partnership categories, it would be an advantage to both parties to promote membership of these categories as a means of recognition and promotion of the commitment / support to the IPPC work e.g. develop “logos” for use and display.
3. The SPTA is requested to consider the proposal and make recommendations for possible forwarding and consideration by the CPM.

**Appendix 1: Categories of the IPPC Partnership Programme**

| Category | Sub-Category | Sub-Sub-Category | Objectives | Governance | Funding | Structure/Frequency | Membership |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1 Key Strategic Partnerships |  |  | Strategically important to the work programme of the IPPC as determined by the text of the IPPC. | CPM | RP and extra-budgetary | TC for RPPOs and ad hoc | 9 recognised RPPOs |
|  |  |  | Strategically important to the work programme of the IPPC. Active and formal cooperation after the agreement of a memorandum of understanding between the IPPC/FAO and the institution. | MoU, MoC and/or LoA | Extra-budgetary, possible RP for basics if part of the CPM work programme. | Ongoing and determined by the Agreement | 1. WTO (including Codex and OIE)
2. CBD
3. IICA
4. STDF
 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Active work programmes |  |  | Active work programmes and joint projects | Informal | Extra-budgetary, perhaps Secretariat support from RP | Ongoing and determined by the arrangements |  |
|  | **2.1 Informal Forestry Quarantine Research Group (IFQRG)** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | **2.2 IAEA** |  | Secretariat support for the TPFF | ??CPM | IAEA, with *some* core Standard setting funding for oversight |  |  |
|  | **2.3 Inter-American Development Bank (IDB)** |  | Cooperation on field projects and meetings e.g. e-learning, training |  |  |  |  |
| 3 Resource partners |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | **3.1 Staffing partners** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3.1.1 Staff Secondment Programme | Full time secondments to the IPPC Secretariat based in Rome for at least 2 years e.g. Korea programme with Codex. |  |  |  | France (2 years)Japan (6 month rotations)USA (APOs) |
|  |  | 3.1.2 Staff Affiliate Programme | Part-time allocation of national staff members’ time but not based in the Secretariat e.g. Fedchock |  |  |  | USACanadaNew Zealand |
|  | **3.2 Funding Partners** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3.2.1 IPPC National Financial Partnership | Contracting parties formally commit annual long term contributions to the IPPC Trust Fund – minimum of 5 years. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3.2.2 IPPC Institute Financial Partnership | Institutions formally commit to annual long term contributions to the IPPC Trust Fund – minimum of 3 years. |  |  |  |  |
| 4 Advisory Bodies | Informal bodies that allow maximum flexibility to develop mutually beneficial working relationships with stakeholders in areas important to the IPPC work programme. All activities would be done within the framework of the CPM work programme and the relevant governing bodies. |
|  | **4.1 Industry** |  | Scope:* Communication and advocacy
* Advice
* Involvement according to set rules
* Transparency
* Funding sources according to public rules and CPM supervision
* Annual meeting
* Membership fee?
 | * ToR
* RoP
* Statement of commitment and principles
* Reports tabled in CPM
 | * Extra-budgetary / Secretariat time from RP of meetings
* Annual membership fee to off-set RP costs??
* Industry funding provision to the CPM work programme according to public rules and CPM supervision – only in support of agreed CPM work programme activities.
 | Ad hoc and outside the formal CPM bodies | Governed by FAO principles and guidelines for private sector. |
|  | **4.2 Research and Teaching** |  | Scope:* Communication and advocacy
* Advice
* Involvement according to set rules
* Transparency
* Improving science base
* Ad hoc or every 2 years??
 | * ToR
* RoP
* Statement of commitment and principles
* Reports tabled in CPM
 | Extra-budgetary / Secretariat time from RP of meetings | * Ad hoc and outside the formal CPM bodies
* Expected to be annual
 | RoP to include membership criteria. |
|  | **4.3 Advocacy and communication** |  | * Formalize current loose structure into an ad hoc advisory body
* Provide oversight and advice on the IPPC Communication and advocacy programme
 | * ToR
* RoP
* Statement of commitment and principles
* Reports tabled in CPM
 | Extra-budgetary / Secretariat time from RP of meetings | * Ad hoc and outside the formal CPM bodies
* Annual or biennial meetings
 | Currently have a core group of nominated experts that could be expanded after an expanded call for nominations. Not more than 15 experts plus Secretariat i.e. at least 1 expert from each FAO region. |
|  | **4.4 Resource mobilization** |  | Ad hoc advisory group, including fund raising experts outside the IPPC | * ToR
* RoP
* Statement of commitment and principles
* Reports tabled in CPM
 | Extra-budgetary / Secretariat time from RP for organization of meetings | * Ad hoc and outside the formal CPM bodies
* Expected to be annual
 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 Affiliate programme |  |  | Organizations or groups more loosely affiliated but supporting the IPPC work programme |  | Extra-budgetary / Secretariat time from RP for liaison | Ad hoc and outside the formal CPM bodies | * IMO
* WCO
* RECs
 |