



DRAFT
SYSTEMS APPROACHES FOR
PEST RISK MANAGEMENT OF
FRUIT FLIES (TEPHRITIDAE)

Steward: Magda Gonzalez





OUTLINE OF PRESENTATION

- **Background**
- **Outline of Requirements.**
- **Main Issues associated with drafting process**
 - Merging of 2 drafts
 - Use of phytosanitary treatment vs post harvest treatment
 - Host susceptibility vs host status
 - The use of term phytosanitary condition
 - Deletion of appendixes



Background

- Two draft ISPMs related to fruit fly systems approaches and pest free places and sites of production were developed as part of the standard setting programme
- In September 2008, the Technical Panel of Fruit Flies (TPFF) decided that the draft on Fruit Fly Free-Places of Production (FFF-POP) and Fruit Fly Free Production Sites (FFF-PS) were a component of a systems approach
- The TPFF decided to revise the FF-SA to include the draft on FFF-POP and FFF-PS
- The new single draft was presented to SC for review and approval in May 2009.





Outline of requirements

- **SCOPE:**

- the standard provides guidelines on how to establish and use a FF-SA as an option for fruit fly risk management

- **GENERAL REQUIREMENTS:**

- Depending on PRA, the integration of measures in a systems approach can be used for fruit fly risk management
- It is important to have a low pest population within the area of production of the host commodity

- **Other general requirements:**

- documentation and record keeping and supervision



Outline of requirements cont..

- **Specific requirements contain:**
 - **Establishment** of FF-SA, considering three stages when measures can be applied
 - Pre-harvest and harvest
 - Postharvest and shipping
 - Entry and distribution
 - **Maintenance** of FF-SA
 - operational procedures such as: surveillance and control, training, identification capacity and traceability, should be put in place
 - Also during this phase other elements should be considered: delimitation of area, agreement with stakeholders, responsibilities of participants, products and target pests, etc





Outline of requirements cont..

- **Annex 1** specifies the procedures to be followed when implementing a corrective action plan for FF-SA



Drafting Considerations

- Merging of drafts was considered necessary in order to provide NPPO 's with a practical standard consistent with ongoing programmes in various countries throughout the world.
- The resulting draft takes into consideration elements that have been demonstrated to be feasible and alternative ways of complying with requirements set out by countries with high concern about fruit fly introduction with host commodities
- Additionally, the specification on FFF-POP and FFF-PS, included an option for the expert drafting working group to consider the incorporation of this draft as an annex to another standard



Drafting Considerations

- During revision of draft it was considered necessary to distinguish between phytosanitary treatment and postharvest treatment
- Global changes were made throughout the text:
 - “*Host susceptibility*” replaced by “*host status*”
 - “*Corrective action*” replaced by “*corrective action plan*”, where appropriate
 - *Phytosanitary condition* was amended to “relationship between **host-fruit fly and specified area**”. This modification was considered necessary to avoid problems with definitions in future ISPM’s
- Appendix 1, related to guidelines on compliance agreements, was deleted because enough information on this matter was included within the main body of standard.
- Appendix 2, which included examples of existing systems approach, **was also deleted because the SC did not agree that this information should be included because it might become outdated.**





THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

