



REPORT

Rome, Italy
5-9 December 2016

**Ninth Meeting of
the IPPC Capacity
Development
Committee
5-9 December, 2016**



Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations

CONTENTS

1.	Opening of the Meeting.....	4
1.1	Welcome by the IPPC Secretariat.....	4
1.2	Introductions	4
1.3	Election of the Rapporteur.....	4
2.	Adoption of the Agenda	4
3.	Updates from the IPPC Secretariat, CPM Bureau, CDC members and Observers	4
3.1	Update by the IPPC Secretariat.....	4
3.2	Update by the CPM Bureau	5
3.3	Update by the CDC members	5
3.4	Update by the Observers.....	6
3.5	Inputs from IPPC Subsidiary bodies and committees.....	6
3.6	Strategic Planning Group.....	7
4.	Phytosanitary Resources page.....	8
4.1	Update on the technical resources.....	8
4.2	Update on the Phytosanitary Resources page (status report, reorganization of the page)	8
5.	Phytosanitary Capacity Evaluation	9
5.1	STDF Project 401 “Training of Phytosanitary Capacity Evaluation (PCE) Facilitators	9
5.2	IPPC projects and PCE application.....	9
5.3	Update on the PCE-online tool	9
6.	Implementation Review and Support System (IRSS)	10
6.1	Update on the Implementation Review and Support System activities and future plans	10
6.2	2016 IPPC general survey and future studies	10
6.3	Biosecurity study	10
7.	Capacity Development activities.....	10
7.2	Pilot Programme on Surveillance	10
7.2	IPPC Regional Workshops 2016	11
7.3	Implementation related tools and publications	11
7.4.	CPM 12 (2017) side sessions.....	12
8.	Strategic Issues.....	13
8.1	IC (Outcomes of Focus Group and SPG discussion).....	13
8.2	Framework for Standards and Implementation (FFSI)	13
8.3	Sea containers	13
8.4	Implementation of CPM recommendations	14
8.5	IPPC theme 2017 - Plant Health and Trade facilitation: Possible actions and activities	15
8.6	UPDATE ON IYPH.....	15
8.7	International Research collaboration	15
9.	Future Capacity Development Activities	16
9.1	RPPOs role in Capacity Development.....	16

9.2	FAO Regional Officers role in Capacity Development	16
9.3	ePhyto project – report on advances	16
9.4	South-South cooperation with China	16
9.5	EU projects	16
10.	Other Business	17
10.1	STDF/PG/502-COSAVE: Regional strengthening for the implementation of phytosanitary measures and market access	17
10.2	IPPC Phytosanitary treatment online search tool.....	17
10.3	Beyond Compliance Tool	17
11.	Review and adoption of Report.....	18
12.	Next CDC Meeting.....	18

APPENDICES

Appendix 01 – Action items arising from the meeting	19
Appendix 02 – Agenda	22
Appendix 03 – List of participants.....	27
Appendix 04 – Results of the Group Exercise on the Establishment of the Task Force on Sea Containers	30

1. Opening of the Meeting

1.1 Welcome by the IPPC Secretariat

- [1] The Secretariat welcomed all participants and observers to the 9th CDC meeting, indicating that the next meeting will be held in Tokyo, Japan. The IPPC Secretary welcomed all participants and observers. He recalled the IPPC themes approved by CPM-11 and the processes being followed for the declaration of the year 2020 as the International Year of Plant Health (IYPH). He indicated that there is a new strategic framework 2020-2030 in preparation. He highlighted several milestones in 2016 including a record of 16 standards going for adoption at CPM12, the successful training workshops for the project STDF 401, the IPPC workshop for National Reporting Obligations was first organized this year for Asia and the seven IPPC Regional Workshops that were organized. He emphasized how RPPOs were engaged with IPPC through the Technical Consultation. As for communication and advocacy, a new IPPC website was launched, as well as a new Online Comment System. Three IPPC seminars and two side events were also organized, and more than 70 highlight news were published on the IPP. International cooperation is also enhanced with the World Custom Organization through the ePhyto project and with the IAEA through new initiatives. He acknowledged all the achievements of the CDC and the plan to have a new committee next year. He reminded that CPM12 would be held for the first time in the Republic of Korea, and that 2017 would be the 65th anniversary of the IPPC, for which a celebration will be organized. He wished a productive meeting to the CDC.

1.2 Introductions

- [2] The participants list is in Appendix 3. The CDC member representing North America was absent with regrets.

1.3 Election of the Rapporteur

- [3] Stella Oraka, the Representative for Africa, was elected rapporteur of the meeting.

2. Adoption of the Agenda

- [4] The agenda was adopted without amendments (see Appendix 2).

3. Updates from the IPPC Secretariat, CPM Bureau, CDC members and Observers

3.1 Update by the IPPC Secretariat

- [5] The IPPC Secretariat briefed the CDC on the Standard Setting activities. The Secretariat highlighted that the Consultation period for draft standards had changed and that CPs can now indicate potential implementation issues during the consultation period. Five draft ISPMs were recommended for adoption to the CPM12 this year:
- International movement of seeds (2009-003).
 - International movement of used vehicles, machinery and equipment (2006-004).
 - Draft Annex 1 to ISPM 20: Arrangements for verification of compliance of consignments by the importing country in the exporting country (2005-003).
 - International movement of wood (2006-029).
 - International movement of growing media in association with plants for planting (2005-004).
- [6] Additionally, 11 phytosanitary treatments were recommended for adoption by CPM-12. As for diagnostic protocols, the forecast is about five DPs to be recommended for adoption in December 2016 and about five DPs, including for *Xylella fastidiosa* and *Bactrocera dorsalis* complex, for July 2017 consultation period. The tentative draft ISPMs for the first consultation period 2017 would include standards on the international movement of grain and cut flowers, pending SC's discussion and approval.
- [7] Regarding NROs, it was reported that after the advisory group met in 2014 to analyze why countries are underreporting, efforts were made to create advocacy and awareness materials and to send automatic

reminders to contracting parties. NRO procedures were adopted at CPM-11. These procedures as well as material for awareness and advocacy are available on the IPP. Efforts are being made to have them available in all FAO languages. Training modules on NROs have been developed and an e-Learning tool will be prepared. The e-learning tool should be available in autumn, 2017.

[8] As for the Implementation Facilitation unit, 2016 was challenging due to limited resources. The secretariat reported that additional staff will be contributed by Japan and China. All activities of the IFU were described.

[9] The CDC:

- (1) *Agreed* to provide feedback between May - September 2017 on the NRO e-Learning tool as it is being prepared.
- (2) *Noted* the report.

3.2 Update by the CPM Bureau

[10] The Bureau representative briefed the CDC on the two Bureau meetings and the SPG meeting held in the year since the last meeting of the CDC. He highlighted key decisions made by the Bureau concerning complementary actions on sea containers, the preparations for CPM12 in Korea, the draft IPPC Strategic Framework 2020-2030, the proposal for the new implementation subsidiary body and the cost saving efforts being made.

[11] The CDC *acknowledged* the work performed by the Bureau.

3.3 Update by the CDC members

[12] Six CDC members presented a summary of the range of capacity development activities in their regions including key pests of concern and initiatives that could be of further interest for IPPC and its implementation programme. The representative for Latin America raised an issue of potential compatibility/incompatibility of national electronic certification systems with the Hub to be developed and the role of the IPPC to assist countries with this issue. The Secretariat suggested that lessons learnt from different bilateral ePhyto initiatives should be shared with the Lead of the IPPC ePhyto initiative in order to ensure that potential issues could be addressed early in the development of the Hub. The Secretariat highlighted that RPPOs are compiling a repository of research activities for emerging pests and the CDC members were invited to share data on ongoing research with the relevant RPPOs. The CDC noted that the past CDC members updates had value in this regard and suggested that the information contained there should be analyzed. The manuals on Biosecurity, fruit flies and on handicrafts, as well as market access and negotiations training being developed in Pacific raised the interest of the CDC members.

[13] The presentations of the CDC members are available on the [IPP in the dedicated area](#). The CDC member representing North America was absent with regrets. The report was not provided.

[14] The CDC *agreed*:

- To provide the Secretariat with information concerning e-Phyto initiatives that are occurring in their regions to ensure that the Secretariat can engage with them while developing the Global Hub.
- That the information provided in presentations during past CDC meetings should be analysed for trends and such information could be useful for the new implementation body and for implementation programmes.
- To provide RPPOs with information on research performed for emerging pests.
- To encourage countries to post information on capacity development events on the events calendar of the phytosanitary resources page.

- To communicate to the IPPC Secretariat information available to the CDC members on regulatory aspects of fruit flies.
- That the CDC chair will provide further information on biosecurity, fruit flies and handicrafts manuals, and a market access and negotiations training being developed in Pacific.
- That technical resources identified in their regions should be proposed for inclusion on the Phytosanitary Resources page.

3.4 Update by the Observers

[15] Three observers to the CDC presented a summary of the range of capacity development activities and initiatives that could be of further interest for IPPC and its implementation programme. The CDC meeting hosted a representative of RPPOs for the first time. The CDC fielded a number of questions and noted several of the initiatives including projects on various phytosanitary related themes, support to CPs for IPPC activities, new tools, and communications materials. The update from observers is available on the IPP in the dedicated area.

[16] The CDC *agreed*:

- IICA will share information on the model phytosanitary legislation and updated PVS tool with the Secretariat.
- The IPPC Secretariat will closely investigate a possibility to present ISPM14. The use of integrated measures in a systems approach for pest risk management and the beyond compliance tool during the IPPC regional workshops 2017.

3.5 Inputs from IPPC Subsidiary bodies and committees

3.5.1 Standards Committee

[17] The Secretariat updated the CDC on the November 2016 SC meeting. The meeting discussed draft ISPMs coming from the first consultation period. The Secretariat reported on the record number of standards being presented to the CPM-12 for adoption as well as future drafts being processed in the pipeline. The Secretariat informed that feedback on potential implementation issues provided by CPs and ISPMs was available for the first consultation (cut flowers and grain). The SC highlighted implementation issues concerning the proposal for a certificate of compliance for wood handicrafts. It was noted that the issue would be raised at CPM-12 as well as other implementation issues. The SC will provide a paper for the discussions. The SC meeting report will be available shortly.

The CDC:

- *Noted* the report.

3.5.2 NRO Advisory Group

[18] The Secretariat provided the CDC with the latest update. It was reported that the NRO advisory group met in 2014 to analyze why CPs underreport and suggest solutions. Recommendations were to create advocacy and communication materials and reminders to report. The NRO procedures were prepared and adopted by CPM11. At this point all recommendations of the NRO advisory group are collected on IPP. Advocacy materials in English are available on IPP, soon will be available in languages. The NRO guide is also available.

[19] NRO automatic reminder has been operational since July 2016. One reminder per month is sent to all OCPs about a priority reporting obligation. NRO five learning modules consisting of theory and interactive exercises were elaborated. NRO updates are sent regularly. An NRO workshop was held in China, 4 others are planned over the next four years.

[20] The CDC:

- *Acknowledged* improvement in their meeting with NROs.

3.6 Strategic Planning Group

3.6.1 IPPC Strategic Framework 2020 - 2030

[21] The Secretariat shared the information discussed at the SPG on the IPPC Strategic Framework 2020-2030. This framework is expected to be adopted in 2020. The authors were requested to prepare a revised draft based on SPG inputs and make it available to CPM-12. The authors proposed a different approach in this new strategy compared with previous frameworks, which is the addition of a section that focuses on priority areas. These priority areas will link closely with resourcing of the framework. The CDC was invited to react to the document and provide its comments when it is made available to CPM-12 in January 2017. The representative from Latin America suggested that the topic could also be presented during IPPC Regional Workshops as the strategic framework advances. The Secretariat informed that its M&E framework will be aligned with the new strategy when this becomes finalized.

[22] The CDC *agreed*:

- To review the document IPPC Strategic Framework 2020-2030 and provide comments at the next meeting of the CDC.
- To encourage countries to be aware of the new framework proposal and provide constructive comments to CPM.

3.6.2 Work plans on ‘plant health and trade facilitation 2017’ and on ‘plant health and environment protection (2018)’

[23] The CDC was updated on the thematic years for the IPPC approved by the CPM11. The theme for 2016 was Plant Health and Food Security. While the concept was adopted a little late for full effectiveness, the IPPC spared no effort to ensure it capitalized on every possible opportunity to promote the theme. Three IPPC seminars were held in FAO. The IPPC Secretary video message with the emphasis on the Plant Health and Food Security was delivered to all workshops. A number of press articles were published and a side event was held during the meeting of the Committee on Food Security, held 17-21 October, 2016. As for the thematic years 2017 and 2018, the SPG was requested to take a proactive approach to build on this year’s efforts and to pursue even more successful thematic years in 2017 (Plant Health and Trade Facilitation) and 2018 (Plant Health and Environmental Protection). The SPG discussed potential plans for the thematic years of 2017 and 2018. The CDC was invited to provide feedback on the work plans and contribute additional ideas. The CDC members appreciated the plans. Suggestions were made to include some specific topics from the plans to the IPPC workshops agenda. NPPOs should be encouraged to have National level thematic activities. RPPOs should be actively involved in the promotion and implementation of plans for the thematic years.

[24] The CDC *agreed*:

- To provide inputs to the work plans on ‘plant health and trade facilitation 2017’ and on ‘plant health and environment protection (2018)’ by 31 December 2016.

3.6.3 Review of the global situation of plant health

[25] The IPPC Secretariat informed the CDC on past efforts by the IPPC to address the review of the state of plant protection in the world. At the October 2016 SPG meeting, Kenya made a proposal to hold international phytosanitary conference and use the proceeding to publish the review. The STDF indicated that it had participated in the International phytosanitary conference and provided feedback on the conference. The SPG agreed that such a conference and publishing the proceedings was a viable approach but recognized that the details need to be worked out. Kenya was asked to refine the proposal for review at the next SPG meeting.

[26] The CDC *agreed* that:

- The STDF would summarize information on experience at the first phytosanitary conference held in Kenya.
- The Secretariat will provide information on NPPO profiling practice performed by APPPC.

- The CDC member representing Europe will coordinate the CDC inputs to the updated Kenya proposal.
- The CDC members will provide feedback by the end of January 2017.

4. Phytosanitary Resources page

4.1 Update on the technical resources

[27] The Secretariat updated the CDC on the use of the IPPC technical resources, a status of review of contributed technical resources and on a new call to collect information to support implementation of the CPM11 recommendation on the importance of pest diagnostics. The CDC acknowledged the work performed and discussed further steps for advocating the use and translation of these resources. The CDC reviewed the process of posting submissions on the Rosters of Consultants at the Phytosanitary Resources page and suggested a number of enhancements.

[28] The CDC *agreed*:

- To disseminate QR card library in their regions. The Secretariat will provide an electronic version of cards.
- That STDF will produce a results story for the STDF 350 project. The Secretariat will provide the STDF with an electronic version of the new flier on the IPPC phytosanitary resources and Phytosanitary Resources page to facilitate work on the results story.
- That the Secretariat will provide a message with links to the IPPC resources to be used for awareness raising and provide it to the CDC members for dissemination.
- To encourage translation of the IPPC guides through existing STDF projects, RPPOs and seek for other translation opportunities.
- That the Secretariat will update the Roster of Consultants with the amendments suggested.
- To post on the Phytosanitary Resources page Exotic fruit fly strategic plan and Review of fruit Fly surveillance programs in the United States. Not to post on the Phytosanitary Resources page Action plan Oriental fruit fly and Identification of areas susceptible to the establishment of fifty-three *Bactrocera* spp. (Diptera: Tephritidae: Dacinae) in the United States.
- That the IPPC Secretariat to contact authors of Managing the impacts of fire ant for submitting the final version of the document.

4.2 Update on the Phytosanitary Resources page (status report, reorganization of the page)

[29] The IPPC Secretariat reported on the Phytosanitary Resources page reorganization plan and work plan for upgrade and maintenance provided by a service provider. It was highlighted that the Secretariat is committed to find resources for updating of the page. However, the goal at this stage is to define clearly how the page should be redesigned. The Secretariat advised that specific topics covering pest specific information, topics from the SC (e.g. sea containers) should be considered in the redesign. The CDC suggested that for pest-specific information, information on experts working with certain pests should be collected as well. EPPO suggested that it would attempt to obtain feedback on the page from the EPPO CPM panel.

[30] The CDC *agreed*:

- To provide comments on, ideas and concepts for the work and maintenance plans by the end February 2017.
- That the Secretariat will send out reminders to comment to the CDC members and EPPO.

5. Phytosanitary Capacity Evaluation

5.1 STDF Project 401 “Training of Phytosanitary Capacity Evaluation (PCE) Facilitators

[31] The Secretariat updated the CDC on the status of the STDF Project 401. The representative from Latin America, who had been involved as a trainer in two workshops, indicated that participants were extremely motivated and satisfied. The Secretariat reported that the feedback received on the content of the training, the training methods employed and the overall caliber of the training was very positive. The Secretariat informed that the final selection of PCE facilitators will take place during the second phase of the project. The representative for Europe suggested using materials developed within 401 for different projects. The CDC discussed ways that the products of the project could be further utilized and promoted. The CDC brainstormed on PCE trainees’ engagement to keep the group cohesive.

[32] The CDC *agreed*:

- A factsheet and a video should be produced to communicate results of the STDF 401. The video will be displayed at the CPM12.
- That the Secretariat should contribute to the upcoming STDF briefing note on SPS evaluation tools. IPPC Secretariat to identify and develop case stories that identify the positive impact of the PCE tool in countries.
- That the Secretariat investigates possibilities to keep PCE trainees linked through: Facebook secret group, Google file, email exchange, E portfolio, incorporating the PCE platform into the page, a forum for dialogue to be created on the PCE page of the Phytosanitary Resources page. The Secretariat will contact trainees to contribute ideas.

5.2 IPPC projects and PCE application

[33] The Secretariat briefed the CDC on the IPPC projects and PCE application. The IFU operates national, regional and global projects. The Secretariat reported on several projects which are at different stages of implementation. The challenges for resourcing activities of the IFU were highlighted and in particular the budgetary constraints to maintain human resources and level of activities.

[34] The CDC:

- *Acknowledged* all the projects and PCE applications implemented by the IFU.

5.3 Update on the PCE-online tool

[35] The CDC was informed on the status of the PCE-online tool. It was noted that at this stage updates cover only IT, functionality and translation issues. The IPPC Secretariat thanked the CDC member for Latin America for her kind assistance in updating the Spanish version of the PCE. There was a discussion that concluded that a revision of the PCE tool was needed and that the PCE Facilitators trained under project 401 would be an invaluable resource in this regard. The STDF representative informed that a new STDF briefing note on SPS capacity evaluation tools is planned for publication in March/April 2017. The objective of the briefing note is to provide counties with brief and practical information on key SPS capacity evaluation tools. Furthermore, the briefing note will include short case stories on the positive impact of the application of capacity evaluation tools, include the IPPC’s PCE tool.

[36] The CDC *acknowledged* the work of the IPPC Secretariat in updating the PCE online tool and *agreed* that:

- The Secretariat will collaborate with the STDF on a new briefing note on SPS capacity evaluation tools.
- The Secretariat will explore possibilities to upgrade the technical content of the PCE tool in the near term and as resources allow.

6. Implementation Review and Support System (IRSS)

6.1 Update on the Implementation Review and Support System activities and future plans

[37] The Secretariat introduced the paper and described the activities and future plans of the IRSS. There was considerable discussion on the topic of global emerging issues. The Secretariat described the various considerations given to the topic by the Bureau, RPPOs and FAO. The Secretariat informed that it may be possible to obtain financing for the third cycle of the IRSS.

The CDC:

- *Noted* the developments under the IRSS.
- *Agreed* to provide feedback to the Secretariat on the third IRSS cycle proposal by 15 January 2017

6.2 2016 IPPC general survey and future studies

[38] The Secretariat informed the CDC that the IPPC general survey 2016 was concluded. Results will be analyzed and compared with outcomes of the previous general survey. The surveys provide useful baseline information for IPPC subsidiary bodies and the Secretariat work programme. The results of the survey are anticipated by CPM12. The Secretariat requested the CDC to propose topics for future IRSS studies.

[39] The CDC *agreed*:

- To provide ideas for future studies during the May meeting of the CDC.
- That the Secretariat will communicate results of the IPPC survey to the CDC members to enable the CDC to think about probable future studies.

6.3 Biosecurity study

[40] The Secretariat introduced a study on the Biosecurity approach: a review and evaluation of its application by FAO, internationally and in various countries. The Secretariat indicated that there is a lack of clarity concerning the concept of “Biosecurity”. FAO undertook to conduct the study to understand how the concept of biosecurity is used globally and by different entities at various levels. The Secretariat informed that the study is available in the IPP. The CDC was invited to review the study and consider whether further follow-up may be needed.

[41] The CDC *agreed*:

- To defer the task consider follow up actions to the new IC.

7. Capacity Development activities

7.2 Pilot Programme on Surveillance

[42] The Secretariat reported on the recent activities for implementation of the IPPC Implementation pilot on Surveillance. During discussions, the CDC members were asked to inform the secretariat on organizations, institutions and countries able to provide technical resources and share experiences on example pests of the Implementation Pilot. The STDF reported on a meta evaluation of STDF funded projects relating to fruit flies.

[43] The CDC *agreed* that:

- The CDC members will contact organizations and institutions in their regions to facilitate contribution of technical resources on the example pests.
- The IPPC Secretariat will share with the CDC members the Surveillance framework to facilitate collection of materials.

- A new page dedicated to the technical resources collected through Pilot surveillance for the example pests will be created on the Phytosanitary Resources page.
- The STDF will share web links to the meta evaluation on STDF projects related to fruit flies projects.

7.2 IPPC Regional Workshops 2016

[44] The IPPC Secretariat informed the CDC on the IPPC Regional Workshops 2016, as well as on the discussions held on the Regional Workshops during the TC-RPPOs. The Secretariat reported that establishment of a regional organizational committee was proposed for each regional workshop to improve efficiency in 2017. The committees would include representatives of RPPOs, FAO regional office and other stakeholders involved in the development and delivery of the regional workshops. The CDC member for Pacific indicated that this region faces a problem of nominating relevant participants for the Regional Workshop. The CDC members provided the overall positive feedback on OCS. However it was noted the Regional Workshop for Latin America faced problems with OCS. The CDC representative for Africa acknowledged huge improvement in preparation and delivery of regional workshops. She suggested disseminating information on dates of regional workshops well in advance. Tentative dates of regional workshops will be entered in the IPP Calendar. The representative of RPPOs welcomed cooperation of the IPPC Secretariat with RPPOs. EPPO's feedback was that the cooperation went well. The EPPO will investigate whether it is appropriate to hold its Panel meeting on CPM affairs, on a regular basis, back-to back to the Regional Workshop. The observer from IICA informed the CDC on the coordinating committee for the Latin American Regional Workshop (COSAVE, OIRSA, IICA, the hosting country and the IPPC Secretariat representatives). The same approach is applied to the Caribbean workshop, however the work is complicated due to the lack of an RPPO. The Secretariat informed the CDC that CAHFSA is being considered as the future RPPO for the region. IICA is anticipating difficulties in co-funding regional workshops 2017 as their funding resource comes to an end and mentioned the importance of collaboration to identify financial resources to support workshops. The request of the Latin America to translate e-Phyto page in Spanish was communicated to the Secretariat.

[45] The CDC brainstormed on the possible topics for the regional workshops. Suggested agenda items for the regional workshops are:

- PCE and benefits of its application.
- Trainees of the STDF 401 can present their experience on the PCE.
- Follow up on the emerging issues information provided by CPs during the Regional Workshops 2016.
- *Xylella fastidiosa*.
- Success stories of pests control from CPs.
- Surveillance Pilot.
- ISPM 14 and Beyond Compliance tool.

[46] The CDC *agreed* that:

- The CDC representative for Latin America will provide information on difficulties experienced with OCS to the Secretariat.
- The CDC members will share additional ideas on the RWs with the IPPC Secretariat.
- The IPPC Secretariat will contact CPs to identify their topics of interest for RWs.

7.3 Implementation related tools and publications

7.3.1 Plant health and soil paper

The Secretariat updated the CDC on the status of the Plant health and soil paper. It was mentioned that to find interdisciplinary expertise for finalizing the paper is a challenge. However the paper will be finalized soon and shared with the CDC members to review before publication.

[47] The CDC:

- *Noted* the information.

7.3.2 Risk communication guideline

[48] The Secretariat presented an update on its work to develop a Risk communication guideline. The work is based on an FAO food safety manual on Risk communication. In developing the work further, the Secretariat seeks case studies from different regions. The CDC member for the Latin America suggested that the IPPC guide on Managing relationships with stakeholders can be a good source for engaging stakeholders in risk communication. Related to this activity the Secretariat informed the CDC on a proposed International PRA symposium in 2019.

[49] The CDC *agreed*:

- To provide case studies for risk communication by 30 January 2017.

7.4. CPM 12 (2017) side sessions

7.4.1 Analysing the benefits of implementing the IPPC

[50] The Secretariat reported on the preparation of the side session of the CPM12 Analysing the benefits of implementing the IPPC. The CDC was informed that the side session will include three components:

- IRSS study: Analyzing the benefits of implementing the IPPC
- Mexico avocado industry: success in exporting to the United States of America
- Implementation of ISPM 15 in a group of African countries within STDF funded project (www.standardsfacility.org/PG-460).

[51] The STDF representative appreciated the platform given to the study on ISPM15 but suggested that the presentation during CPM focus more on conclusions and policy recommendations on how to improve the implementation of ISPM-15 rather than methodologies used in the study.

[52] The CDC *agreed* to:

- Provide case studies on benefits of implementing the IPPC by 13 January 2017.

7.4.2 E-commerce

[53] The Secretariat briefed the CDC on the CPM 12 special topic session on E-commerce. The Bureau representative highlighted that the special topic session on sea containers at CPM11 allowed to understand the range of problems and operational specificities. The same approach should be adopted for the E-commerce.

[54] The CDC provided ideas on the content of the session:

- Experience of New Zealand: X Ray, dogs, border control and TV Show. The TV programme could be projected.
- Experience of Africa: a video of the actual process of delivering of the package to the International Phytosanitary Conference in Kenya.
- Practical exercise: Order a package for the CPM and trace delivery.

[55] The STDF representative indicated that there is a lot of momentum in WTO on E-commerce. A stock taking informal seminar of stakeholders involved in E-commerce was organized in November 2016. WTO Friends of e-commerce was led by several developing countries (https://www.wto.org/english/news_e/spra_e/spra151_e.htm). Detailed information is available on the WTO website (https://www.wto.org/english/tratop_e/ecom_e/ecom_e.htm).

[56] The RPPOs representative indicated relevant articles published on the EPPO bulletin.

[57] The CDC *agreed*:

- To provide the Secretariat additional ideas on E-commerce by 15 January 2017.
- That the STDF will provide information on the related activities.

8. Strategic Issues

8.1 IC (Outcomes of Focus Group and SPG discussion)

[58] The Secretariat reported on the outcomes of the Focus group meeting and discussions at the SPG October 2016 meeting. The main discussion at the SPG was on integration of existing Subsidiary Bodies into the new structure. The CDC was informed that once a decision on establishment of the IC is adopted by the CPM, the CDC will have to assist in setting up of the new body. The task for the CDC may be to receive and screen proposals for the IC membership at its May meeting and a shortlist of candidates sent to the Bureau. The Secretariat will develop an agenda for the first IC meeting.

[59] The CDC:

- *Noted* the information.

8.2 Framework for Standards and Implementation (FFSI)

The IPPC Secretariat informed the CDC that an updated version of the Framework for Standards and Implementation was presented to the SPG October 2016 meeting. The SPG had no comments on the Framework and forwarded the document to the CPM12. The next update of the Framework should be done at the May 2017 CDC meeting Tokyo.

The CDC:

- *Noted* the information.

8.3 Sea containers

[60] The Secretariat presented the paper on the Sea containers. Group exercises were performed to:

- Discuss the establishment of the task force and the mechanism for complementing and supervising the actions recommended by the Bureau.
- Determine an action plan and prioritize actions in terms of feasibility and costs.
- Discuss and propose the membership of the task force and propose the actions necessary to accomplish the tasks outlined.
- Explore options and recommend how this task force and its activities could be financed.
- Recommend how this task force would function within the framework of the proposed implementation committee.

[61] The ideas of two working groups are presented in Appendix 4.

[62] As for the exploring options and recommending how the TF and its activities could be financed, the CDC suggested mobilizing financial resources from:

- STDF - a PPG could be prepared with a champion country.
- Voluntary contributions from CPs
- CBD
- WCO
- Other international organization

[63] The CDC stressed that establishment of the TF without sufficient financial resources provided is not feasible. The Bureau representative acknowledged the group work and indicated its usefulness to prepare the Bureau CPM report on the topic and as a good example on how the future IC would function.

[64] The CDC *agreed* that:

- The IPPC Secretariat will prepare a paper outlining the conclusions and recommendations for the Bureau based on the ideas from the group exercise.
- The paper will be shared with the CDC members for commenting by the January 15.
- The final version of the paper will be submitted to the Bureau Chair.

8.4 Implementation of CPM recommendations

8.4.1 Recommendation on the importance of pest diagnosis

[65] The Secretariat informed the CDC about activities performed for the implementation of the CPM-11 recommendation on the importance of pest diagnosis. An EU paper on Strategic issues associated with pest diagnosis submitted to the SPG October 2016 meeting was presented. The CDC members noted that considerable resources are needed for the development of DPs. There was a discussion on the need to identify whether the IPPC should be working on DPs, determination of CPs need for more DPs to be developed and the role of RPPOs and other institutions on DPs. The CDC recognized, however, that DPs provide a basis for national protocols and for communication of pest diagnostic issues among countries. At this stage, it is important to define needs of CPs through possibly a questionnaire. Other crucial issues for the development of pest diagnostic services identified in the discussion include (i) to identify and promote technologies that enhance countries ability to perform pest diagnostics or their access to it and (ii) how countries can collaborate on or address gaps in capacities to perform diagnostics (lack of taxonomic specialists globally).

[66] The CDC agreed:

- That the Secretariat should investigate further the coverage and use of IPPC DPs.
- That the Secretariat makes accessible a global list of diagnosticians and pest diagnostic laboratories.
- To request RPPOs to collect and communicate, to the IPPC Secretariat, lists of diagnosticians and pest diagnostic laboratories.
- That the EPPO database on diagnostic expertise should be linked to the Phytosanitary Resources page.
- To encourage establishment of centers of excellence for diagnostics.

8.4.2 Past CPM recommendations

[67] The CDC was informed that actions identified by the CDC at its 8th meeting for implementation of the past CPM recommendations are mostly pending. However the IFU is working on the action items.

[68] The CDC noted the update and decided to reiterate actions from the 8th meeting with the slight changes.

[69] The CDC agreed:

- That the CDC members will provide the Secretariat with information detailing projects and initiatives on e-commerce and on aquatic plants trade information.
- That the IPPC and the CBD cooperation work plan will be discussed and formalized.
- To reconsider the possible collaboration with the National Geographic and/or other similar journals on the communication of the risk of aquatic plants based on the outcomes of the IPPC/CBD discussions and the IRSS survey results.
- To promote the IRSS studies on e-commerce and aquatic plants, periodically publishing related information on the IPPC Facebook page.
- To develop a plan for collaboration with NPPOs and RPPOs to implement recommendations based on outcomes of the IPPC/CBD discussions and the IRSS survey results.
- To contact e-Commerce companies such as Amazon and eBay and postal agencies (including international couriers) to gather information about their organizational policies for trading in plants, plant products and regulated articles that pose a phytosanitary risk.

- As requested by the Bureau, to organize a CPM-12 side session during on e-commerce, including a panel discussion with participants from CITES, WCO, e-traders, Korea, NPPO and background by the Secretariat drawing on the IPPC recommendation.
- That the CDC will contact Sam Bishop, former CDC member, to provide information on resources for implementation of the past CPM recommendation.
- That EPPO will provide information on aquatic plant issues.

8.5 IPPC theme 2017 - Plant Health and Trade facilitation: Possible actions and activities

[70] The CDC brainstormed on possible actions and activities within the framework of the IPPC theme 2017 Plant health and trade facilitation. National, regional and global level activities were identified:

[71] National Level:

- Promote creation of a national committee for the IPPC theme 2017 with the view of promote the TFA committee (requirement of the TFA).
- Use already existing committees.
- Videos on PRA available on Phytosanitary Resources page to be promoted and used by NPPOs.

[72] Regional level:

- Market access and negotiations during the RW.
- Benefits of IPPC – reported to RW.
- Benefits of implementation of the PCE for the RW– through presentation Export-import modules.
- Integrate presentation on plant health and TF during the RW and at meetings of regional economic communities.
- Promote IPPC materials on single windows at RW and REC (Video from CPM and emphasize phytosanitary element which should be followed up).

[73] Global Level:

- STDF video Safe trade solutions (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Eww1MsewAOk>) to be shown at the RW 2017.
- Create a briefing note how the ePhyto will facilitate trade in collaboration with the STDF.
- A presentation delivered by STDF at the CPM on the linkages between SPS and trade facilitation.
- IPPC to attend business events to demonstrate benefits of the IPPC.
- NRO advisory group – Align NRO yearly topics to TF and announce the Year of import requirements.

8.6 UPDATE ON IYPH

[74] The CDC was updated on the status of IYPH by the Secretary to the IPPC. In addition, the Secretariat informed the CDC that it is anticipated that the FAO Council will approve the proposal for the YIPH.

[75] The CDC:

- *Noted* the information.

8.7 International Research collaboration

[76] The CDC was informed on the proposal made during the SPG October 2016 meeting on International research collaboration. While the CDC thought that the collaboration is important to prevent and improve the control on introduction and spread of pests, the topic needs further development and clarity.

[77] The CDC *agreed*:

- To defer the topic to the new IC

9. Future Capacity Development Activities

9.1 RPPOs role in Capacity Development

[78] The Secretariat informed the CDC on the last TC-RPPO meeting held in Rabat, Morocco in November 2016. The RPPOs role and functions was approved and to be presented at CPM 12. The CDC highlighted improved collaboration with key partners that in turn contribute to the capacity development of CPs. The work plan of the TC-RPPOs was reviewed. The specific action of the RPPOs concerning the collation of research information activities around the Fusarium Wilt of bananas TR4 was discussed and the importance of this work to CPs was highlighted. The CDC was asked to suggest where those resources can be posted on the Phytosanitary Resources page.

[79] The CDC:

- Recognized importance of posting of information on Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. cubense Tropical race 4 (TR4) research on Phytosanitary Resources page.
- Suggested involving an IT consultant to propose an appropriate web hosting arrangement on the current phytosanitary resources page.

9.2 FAO Regional Officers role in Capacity Development

[80] The Secretariat informed the CDC that there is a good collaboration with FAO Regional Plant Protection and Production officers in delivering RW and capacity development projects. Recently the management of the FAO Agricultural Department has been changed. The IPPC Secretariat will approach the new management to enhance linkages and secure the essential support for the RWs and other capacity development initiatives. The IPPC Secretariat needs to ensure that its support in terms of capacity development activities is provided to all regions.

[81] The CDC:

- *Noted* the information.

9.3 ePhyto project – report on advances

[82] The IPPC Secretariat informed the CDC that the STDF ePhyto project has been signed and the project is now operational. The World Bank expressed interest to collaborate with the IPPC on ePhyto. The CDC and later the IC will be constantly updated on the development of the project as it will function as its steering committee.

[83] The CDC:

- *Noted* the information.

9.4 South-South cooperation with China

[84] The Secretariat updated the CDC on the project “Strengthening the capacity of developing contracting parties to implement the International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC)” under the China “One Belt, One Road” initiative. The project will invest 2 million dollars in capacity development activities.

[85] The CDC:

- *Noted* the information.

9.5 EU projects

9.5.1 New EU/IPPC project – IFU/SS proposal

[86] The project, “European Commission support for implementation of the International Plant Protection Convention to facilitate safe trade and dispute avoidance - 2016-2019” was signed recently. The project

employs a new approach demonstrating collaboration of the IFU and SSU for the better development and implementation of the ISPM.

[87] The CDC:

- *Noted* the information.

9.5.2 Suggestions for the third IRSS cycle

[88] The CDC was informed on the development of a proposal for the 3rd IRSS cycle. The Secretariat provided an overview of challenges faced in setting IRSS priorities in the past but indicated that the new IC could provide a more stable long-term platform for ensuring the IRSS could remain focused in terms of scope and direction. The CDC was asked to review the proposal for the IRSS 3rd cycle.

[89] The CDC *agreed*:

- To provide ideas and suggestion to the Secretariat by 15 January, 2017.

10. Other Business

10.1 STDF/PG/502-COSAVE: Regional strengthening for the implementation of phytosanitary measures and market access

[90] The IICA presented advances on the STDF/PG/502 (<http://www.standardsfacility.org/PG-502>). It was noted that the project elaborates resources valuable on the global level such as the module on Phytosanitary Inspection and tool for general surveillance. Suggestion was made to make resources available for regional and global use.

[91] The CDC:

- *Acknowledged* the extensive work performed and outputs delivered by the project.

10.2 IPPC Phytosanitary treatment online search tool

[92] The Secretariat presented a proposal to develop a Phytosanitary online search tool for phytosanitary treatments. It was proposed that the TPPT would evaluate treatments for inclusion in the ISPM 28, but that other treatments that do not meet requirements for inclusion and are useful, would be proposed for posting on the Phytosanitary Resources page. The database would reside on the IPP and linked to the Phyto page. Individual treatments will be posted on the Phyto page on the recommendation of the TPPT.

[93] The CDC welcomed the proposal and *agreed* that:

- The TPPT would evaluate treatments and recommend those to be posted on the page.
- The SSU will manage the database and its maintenance including removal of obsolete treatments.
- The SSU will manage a call for phytosanitary treatments.
- The treatments submitted outside the call will be forwarded to the TPPT for review.
- The Secretariat will update the CDC/IC on the treatments received and posted on the Phytosanitary Resources page at its meetings.
- The TPPT should provide a disclaimer for each treatment posted on the Phyto page.

10.3 Beyond Compliance Tool

[94] An STDF supported project in SE Asia, Beyond Compliance, that developed tools for better design, description and evaluation of pest risk management measures was completed last year, and a positive evaluation of the project and a descriptive book came out in 2016 (freely downloadable as an e-book from here: <http://www.standardsfacility.org/PG-328#tabs-5>). Other uptake of Beyond Compliance tools was presented by Imperial College London, including adaptations for IPM frameworks in three European FP 7 and Horizon 2020 projects - DROPSA, EUCLID and EMPHASIS. A case of private industry using the tools for passion fruit production through to pureeing was also shown. The tools are

relevant to CDC objectives for enhanced market negotiations and access and for the application of ISPM 14 on Systems Approach. A proposal was made to STDF to translate materials and train regional facilitators to further disseminate the tools, but the aim of 9 new cases and global coverage was too high in budget. The CDC was informed that the STDF Working Group suggested a less ambitious proposal of 4 or 5 cases, and that the IPPC implement the project. Imperial College London can provide some technical support until facilitators are fully trained. The Secretariat highlighted the value of the original Beyond Compliance project as it provided fit for purpose tools which might help CPs improve their phytosanitary systems, along the lines of the PCE but for different objectives. The CDC recognized the importance of further dissemination of these tools for trade facilitation and market access negotiation, including for communication among stakeholders and trade partners.

[95] The CDC:

- *Endorsed* the plan for a new proposal to go to the STDF with the IPPC as lead to implement the project
- *Agreed* to suggest candidate countries by 24 December to include in the new proposal for potential priority trade cases
- Submissions will be reviewed by the Secretariat and Imperial College due to the short time before the proposal is due
- The CDC members will then facilitate communication with the selected countries for submission of official letters of support to the STDF Working Group for the next round of funding

11. Review and adoption of Report

[96] The CDC reviewed and approved the report.

12. Next CDC Meeting

[97] The next CDC meeting will be in Tokyo Japan on 15-19 May 2017.

The first meeting of the IC will be on 11-15 December 2017 in Rome

Appendix 01 – Action items arising from the meeting

The IPPC Secretariat

- (1) To analyze the information provided in presentations during past CDC meetings for trends and provide that information to the new implementation body and for implementation programmes.
- (2) To investigate a possibility to present ISPM14 Use of integrated measures in a systems approach for pest risk management and the beyond compliance tool during the IPPC regional workshops 2017.
- (3) To provide an electronic version of QR card library to the CDC members.
- (4) To encourage translation of the IPPC guides through existing STDF projects, RPPOs and seek for other translation opportunities.
- (5) To update the Roster of Consultants with the amendments suggested by the CDC members.
- (6) To post on the Phytosanitary Resources page Exotic fruit fly strategic plan and Review of fruit Fly surveillance programs in the United States. Not to post on the Phytosanitary Resources page Action plan Oriental fruit fly and Identification of areas susceptible to the establishment of fifty-three *Bactrocera* spp. (Diptera: Tephritidae: Dacinae) in the United States. To contact authors of managing the impacts of fire ant for submitting the final version of the document.
- (7) To send out reminders to the CDC members and EPPO to provide comments on, ideas and concepts for the work and maintenance plans of the Phytosanitary Resources page by the end February 2017.
- (8) To provide the STDF with an electronic version of the new flier on the IPPC phytosanitary resources and Phytosanitary Resources page to facilitate work on the results story for the STDF 350 project.
- (9) To provide the CDC members with a message with links to the IPPC resources to be used for awareness raising and dissemination.
- (10) To produce a factsheet and a video to communicate results of the STDF 401. The video to be displayed at CPM12.
- (11) To contribute to the upcoming STDF briefing note on SPS evaluation tools. To identify and develop case stories that identify the positive impact of the PCE tool in countries.
- (12) To investigate possibilities to keep PCE trainees linked through Facebook secret group, Google file, email exchange, E portfolio, incorporating the PCE platform into the page, a forum for dialogue to be created on the PCE page of the Phytosanitary Resources page. To contact trainees to contribute ideas.
- (13) To explore possibilities to upgrade the technical content of the PCE tool in the near term and as resources allow.
- (14) To communicate results of the IPPC 2017 survey to the CDC members to enable the CDC to think about probable future studies.
- (15) To share with the CDC members the Surveillance framework to facilitate collection of relevant technical resources.
- (16) To create a new page on the Phytosanitary Resources page to be dedicated to the technical resources collected through the Pilot surveillance for the example pests.
- (17) To contact CPs to identify their topics of interest for RWs 2017.
- (18) To prepare a paper outlining the conclusions and recommendations for creating a sea containers task force for the Bureau based on the ideas from the group exercise. To share the paper with the CDC members for commenting by the January 15. To submit the final version of the paper to the Bureau Chair.
- (19) To investigate further the coverage and use of IPPC DPs.
- (20) To make accessible a global list of diagnosticians and pest diagnostic laboratories.

- (21) To request RPPOs to collect and communicate lists of diagnosticians and pest diagnostic laboratories.
- (22) To link the EPPO database on diagnostic expertise to the Phytosanitary Resources page.
- (23) To encourage establishment of centers of excellence for diagnostics.
- (24) To discuss and formalize the IPPC and the CBD cooperation work plan.
- (25) To reconsider the possible collaboration with the National Geographic and/or other similar journals on the communication of the risk of aquatic plants based on the outcomes of the IPPC/CBD discussions and the IRSS survey results.
- (26) To promote the IRSS studies on e-commerce and aquatic plants, periodically publishing related information on the IPPC Facebook page.
- (27) To develop a plan for collaboration with NPPOs and RPPOs to implement recommendations based on outcomes of the IPPC/CBD discussions and the IRSS survey results.
- (28) To contact e-Commerce companies such as Amazon, eBay, and postal agencies (including international couriers) to gather information about their organizational policies for trading in plants, plant products and regulated articles that pose a phytosanitary risk.
- (29) To organize a CPM-12 side session on e-commerce, including a panel discussion with participants from CITES, WCO, e-traders, Korea, NPPO and background by the Secretariat drawing on the IPPC recommendation.
- (30) To contact Sam Bishop, former CDC member, to provide information on resources for implementation of the past CPM recommendations.

All CDC members

- (1) To provide feedback between May - September 2017 on the NRO e-Learning tool as it is being prepared.
- (2) To provide the Secretariat with information concerning ePhyto initiatives that are occurring in their regions to ensure that the Secretariat can engage with them while developing the Global Hub.
- (3) To provide RPPOs with information on research performed for emerging pests in their regions.
- (4) To encourage countries to post information on capacity development events on the events calendar of the phytosanitary resources page.
- (5) To communicate to the IPPC Secretariat information available to the CDC members on regulatory aspects of fruit flies.
- (6) To propose for inclusion on the Phytosanitary Resources page technical resources identified in their regions.
- (7) To review the document IPPC Strategic Framework 2020-2030 and provide comments at the next meeting of the CDC.
- (8) To encourage countries to be aware of the new Framework proposal and provide constructive comments to CPM.
- (9) To provide inputs to the work plans on Plant health and trade facilitation 2017 and on Plant health and environment protection (2018) by 31 December 2016.
- (10) To provide feedback by the end of January 2017 to the updated Kenya's proposal on an international phytosanitary conference.
- (11) To disseminate QR card library in their regions.
- (12) To encourage translation of the IPPC guides through existing STDF projects, RPPOs and seek for other translation opportunities.
- (13) To provide comments on, ideas and concepts for the work and maintenance plans of the Phytosanitary Resources page by the end February 2017.
- (14) To provide feedback, ideas and suggestion to the Secretariat on the third IRSS cycle proposal by 15 January 2017.

- (15) To provide ideas for future IRSS studies during the May meeting of the CDC.
- (16) To contact organizations and institutions in their regions to facilitate contribution of technical resources on the example pests for the Surveillance pilot.
- (17) To share additional ideas on the RWs with the IPPC Secretariat.
- (18) To provide the Secretariat with case studies for risk communication by 30 January 2017.
- (19) To provide the Secretariat with case studies on benefits of implementing the IPPC by 13 January 2017.
- (20) To provide the Secretariat with additional ideas on E-commerce by 15 January 2017.
- (21) To encourage establishment of centers of excellence for diagnostics.
- (22) To provide the Secretariat with information detailing projects and initiatives on e-commerce and on aquatic plants trade information.
- (23) To suggest candidate countries by 24 December to include in the new proposal for STDF on Beyond Compliance Tool. To facilitate communication with the selected countries for submission of official letters of support to the STDF Working Group for the next round of funding.

CDC Chair

- (1) To provide further information on biosecurity, fruit flies and handicrafts manuals, and a market access and negotiations training being developed in Pacific.

CDC member representing Asia

- (1) To provide information on NPPO profiling practice performed by APPPC.

CDC member representing Europe

- (1) To coordinate the CDC inputs to the updated Kenya's proposal on an international phytosanitary conference.

CDC member representing Latin America

- (1) To provide information on difficulties experienced with OCS to the Secretariat.

EPPO

- (1) To provide information on aquatic plant issues.

IICA

- (1) To share information on the model phytosanitary legislation and updated PVS tool with the Secretariat.

STDF

- (1) To summarize information on experience at the first phytosanitary conference held in Kenya.
- (2) To produce a results story for the STDF 350 project.
- (3) To encourage translation of the IPPC guides through existing STDF projects.
- (4) To share web links to the meta evaluation on STDF projects related to fruit flies projects with the Secretariat.
- (5) To provide information on the e-commerce related activities.

Recommendations

- (1) To recognize importance of posting of information on *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *cubense* Tropical race 4 (TR4) research on Phytosanitary Resources page.
- (2) To involve an IT consultant to propose an appropriate web hosting arrangement on the current phytosanitary resources page.

Appendix 02 – Agenda

5-9 December 2016 CDC Meeting		
Agenda item	Document N	Presenter
1. Opening of the meeting		
1.1 Welcome by the IPPC Secretariat		Sosa
1.2 Introductions		Participants
1.3 Selection of a Rapporteur		Chair
2. Adoption of the agenda	01_CDC_2016_Dec Report of the 8 th Meeting of the IPPC Capacity Development Committee	Chair
3. Updates from the IPPC Secretariat, CPM Bureau, CDC members and Observers		
3.1 Update by the IPPC Secretariat	04_CDC_2016_Dec	Sosa
3.2 Update by the CPM Bureau	20_CDC_2016_Dec	Bureau members
3.3 Update by the CDC members		CDC members
3.4 Update by the Observers		Observers
3.5 Inputs from IPPC Subsidiary bodies and committees:		
3.5.1 Standards Committee	22_CDC_2016_Dec	Sosa
3.5.2 NRO Advisory Group		Sosa

<p>3.6 Strategic Planning group</p> <p>3.6.1 IPPC Strategic Framework 2020-2030</p> <p>3.6.2 Work plans on “plant health and trade facilitation (2017)” and on “plant health and environment protection (2018)”</p> <p>3.6.3 Review of the global situation of plant health</p>	<p>05_CDC_2016_Dec</p> <p>06_CDC_2016_Der</p> <p>07_CDC_2016_Dec</p> <p>Proposal to establish an IPPC format for a regular phytosanitary conference: the “International Phytosanitary Conference” - Prepared by KEPHIS</p>	<p>Sosa</p>
4. Phytosanitary Resources page		
<p>4.1 Update on the technical resources</p>	<p>11_CDC_2016_Dec</p> <p>The IPPC Phytosanitary Resources webpage and technical resources flier</p>	<p>Lomsadze</p>
<p>4.2 Update of the Phytosanitary Resources page (status report, reorganization of the page)</p>	<p>12_CDC_2016_Dec</p> <p>Phytosanitary Resources page - reorganization plan</p> <p>Phytosanitary resources page – work plan for upgrade and maintenance</p>	<p>Lomsadze</p>
5. Phytosanitary Capacity Evaluation		
<p>5.1 STDF project 401 “Training of Phytosanitary Capacity Evaluation (PCE) Facilitators</p>	<p>28_CDC_2016_Dec</p> <p>STDF401 Project Document</p>	<p>Brunel</p>
<p>5.2 IPPC implementation projects and PCE application</p>	<p>08_CDC_2016_Dec</p>	<p>Sosa</p>

5.3 Update of the PCE-online tool		Lomsadze
6. Implementation Review and Support System (IRSS)		
6.1 Update on the Implementation Review and Support System activities and future plans	14_CDC_2016_Dec	Stewart
6.2 2016 IPPC general survey and future studies	15_CDC_2016_Dec	Stewart
6.3 Biosecurity study	16_CDC_2016_Dec	Stewart
7. Capacity Development Activities		
7.1 Pilot programme on surveillance	26_CDC_2016_Dec Report of Informal Working Group Meeting on the IPPC Implementation Pilot on Surveillance	Brunel
7.2 IPPC Regional Workshops 2016	10_CDC_2016_Dec	Brunel

7.3 Implementation related tools and publications 7.3.1 Plant health and soil paper 7.3.2 Risk communication guideline	17_CDC_2016_Dec	Brunel Stewart
7.4 CPM-12 (2017) side sessions 7.4.1 Analysing the benefits of implementing the IPPC 7.4.2 E-commerce	18_CDC_2016_Dec 27_CDC_2016_Dec	Stewart Brunel
8. Strategic issues		
8.1 ICDC (outcomes of Focus group and SPG discussion)	09_CDC_2016_Dec Report of the Focus Group on establishment of a new subsidiary body for Implementation	Sosa
8.2 Framework for standards and implementation (outcomes of the CPM Bureau and SPG discussion)		Lomsadze
8.3 Sea containers	23_CDC_2016_Dec	Sosa
8.4 Implementation of CPM recommendations 8.4.1. Recommendation on the importance of pest diagnosis 8.4.2. Past CPM recommendations	24_CDC_2016_Dec 25_CDC_2016_Dec	Lomsadze
8.5 IPPC theme 2017 - Plant health and trade facilitation: Possible actions and activities		All participants
8.6 Update on IYPH		Xia
8.7 International research collaboration (SPG discussion)	13_CDC_2016_Dec	Lomsadze
9. Future Capacity Development Activities		
9.1 RPPOs role in capacity development	30_CDC_2016_Dec	Brunel
9.2 FAOR officers role in capacity development		Sosa

9.3 ePhyto project – report on advances	21_CDC_2016_Dec	Sosa
9.4 South-south cooperation with China	Project document Strengthening the capacity of developing contracting parties to implement the International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC)	Sosa
9.5 EU projects		
9.5.1 New EU/IPPC project – IFU/SS proposal	Project document European Commission support for implementation of the International Plant Protection Convention to facilitate safe trade and dispute avoidance - 2016- 2019	Sosa
9.5.2 Suggestions for the third IRSS cycle	19_CDC_2016_Dec	Stewart
10. Other business		
10.1 IICA presentation on STDF/PG/502 STDF/PG/502	Strengthening the implementation of phytosanitary measures and market access - Annual project progress report	IICA
10.2 IPPC Phytosanitary treatment online search tool	29_CDC_2016_Dec	Sosa
10.3 Beyond Compliance Tool		Quinlan
11. Review and adoption of report		Chair

Appendix 03 – List of participants

Role/Region	Name, Organization, Address, Telephone	E-mail address
Member Representing: Africa	Ms. Stella Nonyem ORAKA Zonal Coordinator Nigeria Agricultural Quarantine Service Room 517 5th Floor Federal Secretariat Aba Road Port Harcourt NIGERIA Tel : (+234) 8033323526 Tel: (+234) 8056698645	stellaoraka@yahoo.com
Member Representing: Asia	Mr. Haw Leng HO Deputy Director of Plant Biosecurity Division Department of Agriculture 2nd Floor, Wisma Tani Jalan Sultan Salahuddin 50632 Kuala Lumpur MALAYSIA Tel: (+60) 3 20301417 Fax : (+60) 3 26977164	hawlengho@doa.gov.my hawlengho@yahoo.com
Member Representing: Europe	Ms. Olga LAVRENTJEVA Ministry of Rural Affairs of the Republic of Estonia Plant Health Department Lai 39/41 Tallinn 15056, Estonia Tel: (+372) 625 6535	olga.lavrentjeva@gmail.com olga.lavrentjeva@agri.ee
Member Representing: Latin America and Caribbean	Ms. Magda GONZALEZ ARROYO Head of the Department of Standards and Regulations Plant Protection Service Ministry of Agriculture San Jose COSTA RICA Tel: (+506) 22605024 Mobile: (+506) 83993527	mgonzalez@sfe.go.cr
Member Representing: Near East	Ms. Nagat Mubarak EL TAYEB Director Plant Quarantine Plant Protection General Directorate Ministry of Agriculture and Irrigation PO Box 285 Khartoum SUDAN Tel: (+249) 83 774786 Fax: (+249) 912181812	neltayb@yahoo.com

Role/Region	Name, Organization, Address, Telephone	E-mail address
Chair, Member Representing: Southwest Pacific	Ms. Sally JENNINGS Senior Advisor International Standard Organizations International Policy Ministry for Primary Industries Pastoral House, 25 The Terrace PO Box 2526 Wellington NEW ZEALAND Tel: (+64) 4 894 0431 Fax: (+64) 4 894 0733 Mobile: (+64) 29 894 0431	Sally.Jennings@mpi.govt.nz
CPM Bureau	Mr. Corné VAN ALPHEN Coordinating Policy Officer Phytosanitary Affairs Plant Supply Chain and Food Quality Department Ministry of Economic Affairs P.O. Box 20401 2500 EK - The Hague NETHERLANDS Tel: (+31) (0) 703785552 Mobile: (+31) (0) 618596867	c.a.m.vanalphen@mineleni.nl
Observer, WTO	Ms. Roshan KHAN Economic Affairs Officer Standards and Trade Development Facility (STDF) World Trade Organization Rue de Lausanne 154 CH-1211 Geneva 21 SWITZERLAND Tel: (+41) 22 739 6153	roshan.khan@wto.org www.standardsfacility.org
Observer, IICA	Ms. Ana Marisa CORDERO Agricultural Health and Food Safety Specialist, Inter-American Institute for Cooperation on Agriculture (IICA) P.O. Box 55-2200 San Isidro de Coronado San José COSTA RICA Tel: (+50) 622160403	Ana.Cordero@iica.int
Observer, EPPO	Mr. Valerio LUCCHESI Scientific Officer / Adjoint Scientifique European and Mediterranean Plant Protection Organization (EPPO/OEPP) 21 boulevard Richard Lenoir 75011 Paris FRANCE Tel: + 33 (0) 1 45 20 77 94 / + 33 (0) 1 84 79 07 53 (direct)	lucchesi@epo.int
IPPC Secretariat	Mr. Orlando SOSA Implementation Review and Support System Officer (IRSS) International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC) Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations Viale delle Terme di Caracalla 00153 Rome ITALY Tel: (+39) 06 570 53613	Orlando.Sosa@fao.org

Role/Region	Name, Organization, Address, Telephone	E-mail address
IPPC Secretariat	Ms. Sarah BRUNEL Agricultural Officer International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC) Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations Viale delle Terme di Caracalla 00153 Rome ITALY Tel: (+39) 06 570 53768	Sarah.Brunel@fao.org
IPPC Secretariat	Ms. Ketevan LOMSADZE Agricultural Officer International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC) Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations Viale delle Terme di Caracalla 00153 Rome ITALY Tel: (+39) 06 570 54402	Ketevan.Lomsadze@fao.org

Appendix 04 – Results of the Group Exercise on the Establishment of the Task Force on Sea Containers

GROUP 1:

I - Establishment of the Task Force

1.1 Composition of the Task Force (TF)

The group 1 thought that the task force be composed of representatives of CPs, RPPOs, international organizations and phytosanitary experts who has already have an experience on See containers. That would allow to create a fit for purpose group and save time and resources needed for an open call for experts. The group consulted the list of the EWG members and identified relevant experts. Based on the consideration of costs vs quality of work to be delivered, the group thought that the Task Force should consist of six members, and plus one alternate member. Specifically:

- 1 representative of CPs - Mr James King'ori WAHOME, Regional manager, Plant health inspectorate service (KEPHIS).
- 1 industry expert to be represented by COA.
- 2 representatives of international organizations:
 - WCO (CTU-Code manager) - WCO will communicate with IMO.
 - WSCO.
- 1 Expert on Sea Containers (EWG) – Phytosanitary Expert from New Zealand (alternate from APHIS).
- 1 representative of RPPO.

1.2 Procedures for setting the Task Force

- RPPOs select qualified representative expert from their regions, not necessarily from an RPPO.
- IPPC Secretariat nominates the focal point for the TF.
- IC appoints a Steward for TF.
- Invite 6 members of the TF + 1 alternate.
- Task force mainly conducts virtual meetings, face to face meetings as needed.
- Task force reports on the progress to the IC in December, the IC to the CPM.
- CDC activates TF by May 2016, TF is dissolved in 2021 (By CPM).

II - Action plan and prioritize actions in terms of feasibility and costs

- (1) Invite TF nominees for the first meeting (face-to-face) – Priority 1/Feasible.
- (2) The Secretariat collects and provides the TF with all available materials on sea containers - Priority 1/Feasible.
- (3) TF develops a work plan based on the ToR elaborated by the Bureau - Priority 1/Feasible.
- (4) Resources:
 - A baseline study is performed by the TF (needs assessment) - Priority 1/Feasible.
 - A call is issued for resources needed to fill gaps including for pest risk management - Priority 1/Feasible, except that contributors might not be willing to submit resources and a lot of follow up is needed.
- (5) TF to establish linkages with international organizations and other stakeholders involved in Sea container issues - Priority 1/Feasible.
- (6) TF establishes a list of stakeholders involved in Sea containers (The EWG might already have this list) - Priority 1/Feasible.

- (7) Monitor the uptake and implementation of the CTU shipping code:
- Procedures set to monitor uptake and implementation of the CTU shipping code (to establish a baseline during the first year, to monitor implementation of the CTU up to 2021):
 - Surveys - Priority 1/Feasible (collection of responses is somewhat difficult)
 - Country assessment - Priority 2/Feasible/ Costly
 - Reporting framework by:
 - Industry (self-monitoring) - Priority 1/Feasible/hard to collect responses and coordinate reporting
 - NPPO - Priority 1/Feasible/hard to collect responses and coordinate reporting
 - RPPO - Priority 1/Feasible/hard to collect responses and coordinate reporting
 - WCO - Priority 1/Feasible/hard to collect responses and coordinate reporting
 - Analyze data and report to the IC. The IC reports to the CPM - Priority 1/Feasible/Costly (staff and database is needed)
- (8) Awareness programme - Priority 1/Feasible/Costly (consultant is needed, costs of publication..):
- Notifications developed to Industry on pest risk
 - A range of possible management actions communicated to NPPOs
 - Outreach to all stakeholders based on the list established
 - Means: fliers, videos, emails, Phytosanitary Resources page, media, social media, conferences
- (9) Legal instrument for Sea containers:
- Develop a model legal instrument for CTU code adoption - Priority 1/Feasible/Costly
 - Communicate to NPPOs model legal instrument - Priority 1/Feasible/Costly
 - Monitor consistency with CPM decisions of national legal framework on sea containers if in place up to 2021- Priority 1/Feasible/Costly.

GROUP 2

I - Establishment of the Task Force

1.1 Composition of the Task Force (TF):

- Fixed membership with flexibility for additional experts according to topics.
- Flexible members:
 - Industry
 - WCO
 - NPPOs
 - CBD
 - OIE
- Core members (7 to 10 experts)
 - IC/CDC member
 - Experts on risk management, Sea containers, implementation experience
 - IPPC staff

1.2 Procedures for setting the Task Force:

- Launch of a call. IC/CDC to set criteria and select experts and identify expertise.
- Strong coordination from secretariat.
 - Reporting:

Task force



- 2 physical meetings per year, and virtual meetings every 2 months.

II- Determine an action plan and prioritize actions in terms of feasibility and costs:

Prioritization

- (1) Secretariat to engage the WCO, IMO, and relevant institutions for plant health
- (2) Establishing a mechanism for implementation of CTU code:
 - Monitoring procedures.
 - National Committees (Customs, NPPO staff, IPPC contact points, industry).
 - Call for pilot countries with the broad participation and reflecting the situations.
- (3) Providing information on pest risks and management of Sea containers. The task force should within one year:
 - Collect and analyze global information regarding pests known to be introduced in containers and soil for a period of 2 years. Pests should be categorized.
 - Publication (factsheets) of results: \$10.000.00
 - Cost of one meeting/year: \$20.000.00
 - Coordination with CPs, RPPOs, industry and other international organizations: IPPC (a person in charge from the secretariat).
 - Establishing an industry committee.
 - Consultant for economic and financial analysis.
 - Available measures used.
 - Database/data-modelling.
 - Identify gaps.
- (4) Determine information to be collected by NPPO (identify main elements) - information related to CTU- develop template or survey.
- (5) Costs related: one physical meeting/year: \$20.000.00 + publication costs: \$5000.00 (designer) + \$2000.00 (editing) + fact sheet \$3000.00 (printing) + consultants (could be in IRSS) + industry committee.