



Food and Agriculture
Organization of the
United Nations



International
Plant Protection
Convention

REPORT

IPPC Regional Workshop for Southwest Pacific

Novotel Nadi, Fiji
20-22 August 2018

IPPC Secretariat



Pacific
Community
Communauté
du Pacifique

The designations employed and the presentation of material in this information product do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) concerning the legal or development status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. The mention of specific companies or products of manufacturers, whether or not these have been patented, does not imply that these have been endorsed or recommended by FAO in preference to others of a similar nature that are not mentioned.

The views expressed in this information product are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of FAO.

© FAO, 2018



Some rights reserved. This work is made available under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 IGO licence (CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO; <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/igo/legalcode/legalcode>).

Under the terms of this licence, this work may be copied, redistributed and adapted for non-commercial purposes, provided that the work is appropriately cited. In any use of this work, there should be no suggestion that FAO endorses any specific organization, products or services. The use of the FAO logo is not permitted. If the work is adapted, then it must be licensed under the same or equivalent Creative Commons licence. If a translation of this work is created, it must include the following disclaimer along with the required citation: "This translation was not created by the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). FAO is not responsible for the content or accuracy of this translation. The original [Language] edition shall be the authoritative edition.

Disputes arising under the licence that cannot be settled amicably will be resolved by mediation and arbitration as described in Article 8 of the licence except as otherwise provided herein. The applicable mediation rules will be the mediation rules of the World Intellectual Property Organization <http://www.wipo.int/amc/en/mediation/rules> and any arbitration will be conducted in accordance with the Arbitration Rules of the United Nations Commission on International Trade Law (UNCITRAL).

Third-party materials. Users wishing to reuse material from this work that is attributed to a third party, such as tables, figures or images, are responsible for determining whether permission is needed for that reuse and for obtaining permission from the copyright holder. The risk of claims resulting from infringement of any third-party-owned component in the work rests solely with the user.

Sales, rights and licensing. FAO information products are available on the FAO website (www.fao.org/publications) and can be purchased through publications-sales@fao.org. Requests for commercial use should be submitted via: www.fao.org/contact-us/licence-request. Queries regarding rights and licensing should be submitted to: copyright@fao.org.

Contents

WORKSHOP SUMMARY	6
1. Participants Registration	7
2: Opening of the meeting.....	7
2.1 Welcome remarks by organisers	7
2.2 Opening statement by FAO Subregional Office for Pacific Islands by Mr Tevita Keresoma	7
2.3 Opening statement by host country by Mr Hillary Kumwenda	7
2.4 Opening statement by organizer(s) by PPPO Chairperson, Mr Timothy Tumukon	7
2.5 Objectives of the Workshop by Mr Brent Larson	8
2.6 Video message highlighting the 2018 Annual Theme from the Secretary of the IPPC	8
3: Meeting arrangements.....	8
3.1 Confirmation of Rapporteurs	8
3.2 Adoption of Agenda	9
4: Administrative Matters	9
4.1 Local information & documents list.....	9
5: Update on governance and strategic issues.....	9
5.1 Update from CPM 13 (2018) and sustainable funding by Dr Lois Ransom	9
IPPC Building on Key Financial Initiatives of the IPPC Community by Dr Lois Ransom.....	10
5.2 IPPC Secretariat overview – by IPPC Secretariat Mr Brent Larson	11
6: Section 1: Reinforce the capacity of Contracting Parties to formulate productive comments on draft standards sent for 1 st consultation: this session includes time for discussion questions and answers on draft standards sent for 1 st consultation	11
6.1 The IPPC Online Comment System (OCS) – PPPO Secretariat by Ms Ana Tunabuna-Buli	11
6.2 2018 call for topics: standards and implementation by Dr Lois Ransom.....	12
6.3 Commodity and pathway ISPMs by Mr Pelenato Fonoti.....	13
6.4 Discussion on draft ISPMs sent for 1 st consultation (with presentation of the standard and focus on substantive and technical comments gathered prior to the workshop).....	13
6.5 Authorization of entities to perform phytosanitary actions (2014 – 002) By Mr Bruce Hancocks.....	13
6.6 Revision of ISPM 8 Determination of pest status in an area (2009 – 005) by Mr Pelenato Fonoti.....	19
6.7 Requirements for the use of modified atmosphere treatments as phytosanitary measures (2014 – 006) – Mr Bruce Hancocks.....	25
6.8 2018 Amendments to ISPM 5 (Glossary of phytosanitary terms) (1994 – 001) Presentation by Mr Pelenato Fonoti	32
7 Section 2: Implementing and raising awareness in the framework of FAO/RPPOs: this section will consist of presentations followed by discussion and questions from the workshop participants	36
7.1 PPPO activities by PPPO Chairperson, Mr Timothy Tumukon.....	36
7.2 Open Discussions on IPPC / PPPO related issues.....	36
8: Section 3: Moving together from ideas to action (Facilitated sessions): this section will consist of presentations followed by discussion and questions from the workshop participants	37
8.1 Implementation of ISPM 38: International movement of seeds by Mr Brent Larson.....	37

8.2 International Year of Plant Health by Dr Viliami Kami	38
8.3 The implementation and Capacity Development Committee (IC) by Mr Ngatoko Ngatoko.....	39
8.4 National Reporting Obligations: Regulated Pests Lists – by Ms Sally Jennings	39
8.5 Plant Health and environmental protection by Brent Larson	39
8.6 Sea Containers Task Force Updated by Mr Ngatoko Ngatoko	39
8.7 IPPC Strategic Framework 2020 – 2030 by Dr Lois Ransom.....	40
9: Conclusion of the workshop/ Date and Venue of the Next Meeting	40
10: Review and adoption of the Report	40
11: Online survey of the workshop.....	40
12: Close of the Meeting.....	41
ACTION POINTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	42
List of Annexes:.....	43
Annex 1: Agenda	44
Annex 2: Participants List.....	47
Annex 3: FAO Regional Office Opening Remarks by FAO Consultant Mr Tevita Keresoma.....	50
Annex 4: Host Country Opening Remarks	51
Annex 5: PPPO Chairperson Opening Remarks.....	52
Appendix 6: Presentations	53
Appendix 7: Photo	54

Key Speakers:

- (1) **Dr Visoni Timote.** Executive Secretary of the Pacific Plant Protection Organisation (PPPO), Plant Pathologist Adviser & Coordinator Biosecurity and Trade Support. Pacific Community (SPC) Land Resources Division (LRD). Suva, Fiji
- (2) **Mr Timothy Tekon Tumukon.** Chairperson of the PPPO. Official Contact Point for Vanuatu National Plant Protection Organisation (NPPO). Director Vanuatu Biosecurity
- (3) **Mr Brendt Larson.** Implementation and Facilitation Unit Leader. International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC) Agriculture and Consumer Protection Department (AG). Rome, Italy
- (4) **Mr Tevita Keresoma.** Consultant. Food and Agriculture Organisation (FAO) Subregional office for the Pacific Islands. Apia, Samoa
- (5) **Dr Lois Ransom.** Former Chair, Committee on Phytosanitary Measures (CPM) Bureau, IPPC Secretariat. Assistant Secretary, Plant Imports Operations. Department of Agriculture and Water Resources (DAWR). Canberra, Australia
- (6) **Ms Sally Jennings.** IPPC Implementation and Capacity Development Committee (IC) member for Southwest Pacific (SWP) region. Policy Analyst. Ministry of Primary Industries. Wellington, New Zealand
- (7) **Mr Bruce Hancock.** Assistant Director Plant Health Policy. DAWR. Canberra, Australia
- (8) **Mr Pelenato Fonoti.** Official Contact Point for Samoa NPPO
- (9) **Ms Ana Tunabuna-Buli.** Technician. PPPO Secretariat. SPC LRD. Suva, Fiji
- (10) **Mr Ngatoko Ta Ngatoko.** Official Contact point for Cook Islands NPPO. Director Biosecurity. Ministry of Agriculture. Cook Islands IC Member for SWP region.
- (11) **Dr Viliami Kami.** Official Contact Point for the Kingdom of Tonga NPPO.
- (12) **Dr Linda Ford.** Senior Research Fellow. Charles Darwin University. Northern Institute College of Indigenous Future Arts and Societies.

WORKSHOP SUMMARY

- [1] This summary captures a three-day programme of presentations, discussions and interactive dialogue at the workshop on the review of Draft International Standards on Phytosanitary Measures (ISPMs) which took place from 20 – 22 August 2018 in Fiji.
- [2] The event brought together some 19 Plant Health and Biosecurity key officials from 13 Pacific Island Countries and Territories including Australia and New Zealand to review discuss and compile comments to Draft phytosanitary standards and in doing so enable island states within the Southwest Pacific region to participate in the international standards setting process. The event is also an opportune for Pacific Island countries and territories to be kept informed of various activities of the IPPC Secretariat and provide a regional forum for exchanging experiences and ideas.
- [3] The conference began with opening remarks by representatives of FAO Subregional office for the Pacific Islands, Official contact point for NPPO Fiji and the PPPO Chairperson. It continued with interactive discussions, presentations and briefings on topics of interest to PPPO Community.
- [4] The opening remarks stressed the importance of the 3 day workshop in empowering Pacific Island Nations and territories to review and compile comments to the draft standards. These standards once adopted forms the basis for governments to protect their plant resources from harmful pests and strengthen collaboration amongst relevant stakeholders to expedite safe trade.
- [5] The reviews submitted through the internet-based IPPC OCS formulate a collective regional submission to the IPPC much stronger because such submissions add value and importance that will affirm the need for PPPO member countries in the region to meet often.
- [6] The workshop concluded with the compilation of comments to the draft standards, and presentations describing IPPC, Plant Health and Biosecurity initiatives that are relevant to the theme of the workshop.

1. Participants Registration

- The registration and issuance of workshop folders was facilitated by the PPPO Secretariat

2: Opening of the meeting

2.1 Welcome remarks by organisers

[7] The newly appointed PPPO Executive Secretary, Dr Visoni Timote, on behalf of the PPPO Secretariat convened the meeting and called the workshop to order. He introduced himself and welcomed all participants thanking them for their presence to attend the 3 day IPPC Draft Standards Workshop for the Southwest Pacific region. Dr Timote also acknowledged the support and contributions rendered by the team and organising committee.

- The above was followed by the Garlanding of the Chief Guest, the PPPO Chairperson and the representative from the Subregional Office for the Pacific Islands.
- IPPC representative Mr Brent Larson expressed his appreciation to attend the draft standards workshop for the Pacific region. The Draft Standards workshop is one of the seven held around the world for each FAO region with this being for the Southwest Pacific region. Mr Larson thanked the Government of Australia for the funding assistance in enabling the meeting to take place.
- New Zealand representative Mr Nacanieli Waqa was invited to grace the workshop with a word of prayer.

2.2 Opening statement by FAO Subregional Office for Pacific Islands by Mr Tevita Keresoma

- Mr Keresoma in his opening remarks acknowledged the presence of the Chief Guest and NPPO Fiji, the PPPO Chairperson as well as Representatives from regional partners and participants.
- He highlighted that the protection of our fragile environment in the region is extremely important. With the many challenges faced, the region must be able to address, minimize or eradicate and thus the five days meeting is significant. Mr Keresoma articulated that the PPPO will be strengthened to coordinate harmonization of phytosanitary measures, foster co-operation in plant protection and other phytosanitary matters nationally and among member countries' and organisations both within and outside the Pacific.

The full text of the speech is available as [Appendix III](#)

2.3 Opening statement by host country by Mr Hillary Kumwenda

- On behalf of Fiji Government, NPPO Fiji, Mr Kumwenda stated that the IPPC's International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures (ISPMs) provide the basis for governments to protect their plant resources from harmful pests while ensuring that the measures are scientifically justified and enable countries to work together to reach a consensus whilst facilitating safe trade.
- Mr Kumwenda hoped that the 3 days workshop will provide a common forum for the Pacific community, to discuss phytosanitary measures and identify opportunities to assist countries who may be facing specific phytosanitary challenges.

The full text of the speech is available as [Appendix IV](#)

2.4 Opening statement by organizer(s) by PPPO Chairperson, Mr Timothy Tumukon

- The Chairperson welcomed all meeting participants' attendance to the Regional IPPC workshop for the Pacific and acknowledged the Fijian Government for the warm welcome. He reiterated and affirmed the importance of the draft phytosanitary standards to NPPOs and the region. Mr Tumukon also acknowledged the tremendous support and contributions rendered by FAO Subregional Office, the IPPC Secretariat, New Zealand, Australia and SPC in facilitating the standards workshop. He expressed gratitude to the Australian Government and DAWG for the funding assistance that enabled the workshop to take place.

- The Chairperson, also acknowledged the support of technical experts that will present various topics during the 3 day review discussions and urged all participants to continue to engage and ask questions on areas requiring clarification.
- He also thanked participants that have submitted national inputs into the OCS prior to the workshop and confirmed that these inputs will make collective regional submission to the IPPC much stronger because such submissions will add value and importance that will affirm the need for PPPO member countries in the region to continue to meet often.
- In closing the Chairperson expressed gratitude to SPC for organising the workshop, and wished the participants' a meaningful three days deliberation

The full text of the speech is available as [Appendix V](#)

2.5 Objectives of the Workshop by Mr Brent Larson

[8] Mr Larson in his presentation, highlighted three main objectives of the workshop as per below:

- Analyse and prepare comments on draft ISPMs for 3 draft standards on first consultation namely:
 - Authorisation of entities to perform phytosanitary action
 - Revision of ISPM 8(Determination of Pest Status)
 - Requirements for the use of modified atmosphere treatment as phytosanitary action
 - Review of substantive comments on the standards in second consultation: requirements for fumigation treatment, 2017 Amendments to ISPM 5
- Build phytosanitary capacity and raise awareness of various activities of the IPPC Community Call for topics: Standards and Implementation
 - Commodity and pathway standards
 - Implementation of ISPM 38 (International movement of seeds)
 - The new Implementation and Capacity Development Committee (IC)
 - National Reporting Obligation/Regulated Pests Lists
 - Update on the Task Force on Sea Containers and to
- Provide a regional forum for exchanging experiences and ideas
 - International Year of Plant Health in 2020 updates
 - Annual theme: Plant Health and environmental protection
 - IPPC Strategic Framework 2020-2030 update and feedback from contracting parties
- The Southwest Pacific region representation to the IPPC:
 - Standards Committee : Mr Stephen Butcher (NZ MPI), Mr, Bruce Hancocks (DAWR) and Mr. Pelenato Fonoti (NPPO Samoa)
 - International & Capacity Development Committee (IC): Mr Ngatoko Ta Ngatoko (NPPO Cook Islands), Mr Christopher John Dale (DAWR) and Ms Sally Jennings (NZ MPI)

The full text of the presentation is available as [Appendix VI](#)

2.6 Video message highlighting the 2018 Annual Theme from the Secretary of the IPPC

- The IPPC Secretariat works very closely with governments in sustaining their environment by getting their support to become contracting party to the IPPC

The video is available online and can be accessed through the given link <https://youtube/KSj5qViE3k0>

3: Meeting arrangements

3.1 Confirmation of Rapporteurs

- The PPPO Secretariat confirmed the engagement of 2 external rapporteurs for the 3 day meet.

3.2 Adoption of Agenda

- Agenda item 8.2 International Year of Plant Health will be presented by Australia (Dr Lois Ransom) in the absence of the original speaker (Tonga)
- Agenda item 11: Online survey of the workshop. The purpose of the survey was to ascertain the effectiveness of the workshop through feedback from workshop participants.

The meeting adopted the [agenda](#) and endorsed the engagement of two external rapporteurs for the 3 day event.

4: Administrative Matters

4.1 Local information & documents list

- Available in workshop folders

5: Update on governance and strategic issues

5.1 Update from CPM 13 (2018) and sustainable funding by Dr Lois Ransom

[9] The 13th CPM Session convened in March this year in Rome and was attended by 400 participants and the following issues were highlighted:

- The 10th Regional Plant Protection Organisation (RPPO) was recognised for the Caribbean region known as Caribbean Agricultural Health and Food Safety Agency (CAHFSA) returned as partner to the IPPC. The newly named RPPO had existed for a number of years before ceasing partnership with the IPPC and took approximately ten years to return and which is a lengthy process. Learning from such experience, it is best that the PPPO maintain its role as the RPPO for the Southwest Pacific region.
- IPPC Strategic Framework 2020 – 2030 is currently on for consultation until 1st September 2018.
- Framework for standards and implementation – 2018 version endorsed
- Surveillance and NGS recommendations – implementation Programme on surveillance pilot put on hold until IC and SC analyse results; CPM recommendation on the application of Next Generation Sequencing (NGS) technologies for plant pest diagnostics in a phytosanitary context under development
- Implementation and Capacity Development
- Standards
 - 13 drafts ISPMs and 1 draft specification submitted for consultation; 7 draft DPs adopted by SC and call for phytosanitary treatments is open.
 - Surveillance (ISPM 6 revised)
 - Wood packaging material treatments: sulphuryl fluoride (new) and dielectric heating (modified annexes to ISPM 15)
 - Temperature treatment for *Bactrocera dorsalis* (PT32, annex to ISPM 28)
 - Noted (adopted by SC) –
 - DP 23: *Phytophthora ramorum* (new)
 - DP 24: *Tomato spotted wilt virus*, *Impatiens necrotic spot virus* and *Watermelon silver mottle virus* (new)
- Ink amendments – ISPM 5 (Glossary of phytosanitary terms) to help ensure the definition of “detention” was consistent with other terms
 - ISPM 12 (Phytosanitary certificates) to math up better with e-Phyto
 - Fruit fly ISPMs, Reorganisation, Harmonisation and Minor Technical Updates
- E-Phyto Solution: The IPPC Hub for e-Phyto is operational, and all Contact Points (CP) can join. The Generic System (GeNS), internet based system under development

- Bureau requested to develop a strategy for the sustained operation of the e-Phyto Solution with
 - a five year implementation plan
 - clear financial governance and suitable business model
- Steering committee is still in place to oversee the development of the system and should be available early 2019.
- IPPC Secretariat work plan and budget for 2018
- Call for topics, Commodity and pathway standards
- Actions – Comment on the IPPC Strategic Framework by 1st September 2018 via OCS
 - Comment on CPM Recommendation on NGS by 15th August 2018 via OCS
 - Submit a topic for standards and implementation by 31st August 2018 via e-mail
 - Submit reference materials on commodity or pathway standards and responses to SC questions by 31st August via e-mail
- Commodity and Pathway standards (grain & cut flowers) are being debated; experts and resources are called for until 31 August. A focus group will meet in October to look at the concept, the purpose and cover areas ranging from implementation to governance

Discussions:

- Mr Brent Larson of the IPPC Secretariat elaborated further on the issue and stressed to the meeting the importance of national legislation to embrace data exchange electronically and the relevant associated fees.
- Palau queried the authentication integrity in the system to which the CPM Bureau former Chair Dr Lois Ransom gave assurance and explained that the e-Phyto is a safe internet-based encrypted system. She also confirmed that plans are currently underway to address host issues.
- Samoa, as the only pilot-country in the Southwest Pacific region stressed the importance of spearheading legislation and infrastructure to implement such system.

The full text of the presentation is available as [Appendix VII](#)

IPPC Building on Key Financial Initiatives of the IPPC Community by Dr Lois Ransom

[10] CPM 13 (2018) adopted a simplified contributions mechanism as part of a sustainable funding initiative to support the FAO Committee on Agriculture (COAG) initiative on sustainable funding of the IPPC Secretariat.

- NPPO Palau enquired on the required amount of contributions. Australia confirmed and explained that FAO provided core funding to support IPPC Secretariat. However, Additional funding is required on projects such as e-phyto and that they have set up a framework to allow countries to make contributions. The CPM Bureau is also looking at other ways in which they can get more money to implement such projects
- Samoa proposed for a membership fee be considered for all IPPC members. Australia acknowledged the proposal however getting the mechanism to work in countries and the different views held by countries on such issues is a challenge.

The full text of the presentation is available as [Appendix VIII](#)

5.2 IPPC Secretariat overview – by IPPC Secretariat Mr Brent Larson

[11] Mr Larson presented on the Organisational structure consisting of the following units and their roles:

- Standard Setting Unit (SSU)
 - coordinate and facilitate the development and adoption of science-based and high quality ISPMs and CPM recommendations to support contracting parties for the effective implementation of the IPPC and to FAO's normative work
- Implementation and Facilitation Unit (IFU)
 - Facilitate contracting parties' implementation of the IPPC, ISPMs and CPM recommendations.
- Integration and Support Team (IST)
 - Support and maintain a high functioning and integrated IPPC Secretariat and coordinate governance meetings and IPPC Secretariat corporate activities.

The full text of the presentation is available as [Appendix IX](#)

6: Section 1: Reinforce the capacity of Contracting Parties to formulate productive comments on draft standards sent for 1st consultation: this session includes time for discussion questions and answers on draft standards sent for 1st consultation

6.1 The IPPC Online Comment System (OCS) – PPPO Secretariat by Ms Ana Tunabuna-Buli

[12] The OCS mission is to provide a simple, efficient, user-friendly online system to share collect and compile comments on documents. The benefits of the system are that it is easy to use and access, confidential and safe, implements a standard commenting format and faster and more accurate compilation process. The system is compatible with main browsers and devices.

- Commenting on first consultation on draft standards opened from 2nd July to 30th September
- The IPPC Secretariat representative, Mr Brent Larson, advised participants to inform the IPPC Secretariat on the effectiveness of the system and the challenges faced while using the system
- Tokelau confirmed that the notification process is currently undertaken within the 3 islands in Tokelau
- Samoa – confirmed that they still need to know more about the system and requested PPPO Secretariat to coordinate OCS training in a different way and improve OCS awareness with PICTs to help participants understand the system.
- Chairperson requested to have training sessions to be organised and confirmed the relevance of the system. Based on this he reiterated that the PPPO should continue to use the system and that the PPPO Secretariat provide capacity building to assist member countries.
- Director of SPC LRD responded that there needs to be a lot of awareness made on the use and access of the system.

The full text of the presentation is available as [Appendix X](#)

Action:

OCS – SPC to train and build the capacity of Pacific countries on the IPPC OCS. This was agreed to by the Director of SPC LRD in principle

6.2 2018 call for topics: standards and implementation by Dr Lois Ransom

[13] The Chairperson of the IPPC Commission on Phytosanitary Measure (CPM) and Australian representative, Dr Lois Ransom presented on 2018 Call for Topics: Standards Implementation and highlighted the following:

- Background
- Process of the call
- Submission of topic proposals
- Role of the Task Force on Topics (TFT)
- Role of the Standards Committee (SC)/IC -- role of task force on topics (TFT) – 7 members (1xBureau, SC-Chairperson +2 SC, IC-Chairperson +2xIC) – Dr Lois Ransom (Bureau, Australia)
- First meeting 1 – 3 October 2018, Rome
- Second meeting January 2019
- Using the Framework for Standards and Implementation for the Call for Topics

The full text of the presentation is available as [Appendix XI](#)

Discussion Points:

- Palau, requested the IPPC to assist in providing information on fruit fly species
- The CPM Chairperson replied on the report on classification, identification and taxonomy and biology of fruit fly species on IPPC News Item online IPP at <https://www.ippc.int/en/news/four-devastating-fruit-flies-pests-are-one-and-the-same-species/> (Accessed on 22 Aug 2018) (*Four devastating fruit flies pests are one and the same species*)
- New Zealand representative, Mr Nacanieli Waqa elaborated further on the issue with reference to the then ACIAR/FAO/UNDP Regional Management of Fruit Flies Project implemented by SPC. He concurred that SPC could assist with such technical information.

Action:

PPPO Secretariat to provide the required information to NPPO Palau

- New Zealand sought clarification on the possibility of having a separate standard on phytosanitary audit and it was confirmed by the IPPC that the drafting of a separate standard on audit is currently in progress.
- NPPO Fiji suggested the need for training on ISPM 11 & 12 and as such proposing for a regional workshop for PRA
 - Also a regional workshop on ISPM 8 on Pest Free area
 - With respect of ISPM 24 – aspect of equivalence topic
 - ISPM 38 – international transfer of seeds. Fiji showed interest in this area
- NPPO Cook Islands – call for topics on a standard movement of sand and gravel requesting to further discuss movement of sand between countries
- Chairperson proposed Cook Islands to circulate the draft Regional standard on sand and gravel to members for comments. It takes 2 years to go through call for topics.

Action:

Regional Standard on Phytosanitary Measure (RSPM) on Sand and Gravel

- Cook Islands NPPO to circulate the draft RSPM on the Movement of Sand and Gravel for commenting upon from members
- RSPM for submission to call for topics for consideration as RSPM (ref. 2015 PPPO Board Meeting)

- Samoa proposed that the draft be discussed at a regional forum such as this prior to submission to call for topic as a regional standard

6.3 Commodity and pathway ISPMs by Mr Pelenato Fonoti

[14] NPPO Samoa, Mr Pelenato Fonoti presented on efforts made to develop commodity and pathway ISPM and how to progress them has been discussed many times and highlighted the following issues:

- Background
- Commodity and pathways ISPMs
- Difficulties encountered
- Questions posed by the SC
- Discussions at CPM -13 (2018)
- Focus group

The full text of the presentation is available as [Appendix XII](#)

6.4 Discussion on draft ISPMs sent for 1st consultation (with presentation of the standard and focus on substantive and technical comments gathered prior to the workshop)

- Mr Brent Larson Introduced the IPPC standard setting process right up to first consultation of the draft standards

6.5 Authorization of entities to perform phytosanitary actions (2014 – 002) By Mr Bruce Hancocks

[15] Australia representative Mr Bruce Hancocks presented an overview on the standard setting process for draft ISPM Authorisation of entities to perform phytosanitary actions including:

- Major Stages
- General considerations
- Major drafting issues, and
- Potential implementation issues

The full text of the presentation is available as [Appendix XIII](#)

PPPO Secretariat thanked the moderator and participants for the fruitful discussions.

Reconciliation report for 2014-002_DraftISPM_AuthorizationEntities_En_2018-06-01.docx (2014-002_DraftISPM_AuthorizationEntities_En_2018-06-01.docx)

Summary

Title	2018 First Consultation: Draft ISPM Authorization of entities to perform phytosanitary actions (2014-002) [Sub-review] (Id 537)
Description	
End Date	24 Aug 2018 23:45
Review Status	In Progress

Participants

Name	Status	Workgroup Role	Summary	Comments	Last Activity
Australia	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Cook Islands	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Elisapeta Sualevai	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Fiji	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Guam	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Kiribati	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Matio Lonolona	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Micronesia	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Nauru	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
New Caledonia	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
New Zealand	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Niue	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Northern Mariana Islands	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Palau	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Papua New Guinea	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
PPPO	Review Owner	Owner		14	20 Aug 2018 22:57
Republic of Marshall Islands	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Samoa	In Progress	Reviewer		0	13 Aug 2018 21:37
Solomon Islands	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Territoires français du Pacifique	In Progress	Reviewer		1	20 Aug 2018 4:29
Tokelau - Mikaele Fatia	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Tonga	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Tuvalu	Not Started	Reviewer		0	

Vanuatu	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Wallis and Futuna	Not Started	Reviewer		0	

T (Type) - B = Bullet, C = Comment, P = Proposed Change, R = Rating
 S (Status) - A = Accepted, C = Closed, O = Open, W = Withdrawn, M = Merged

Para	Text	T	Comment	S	Author Comment
G	(General Comment)	C	Category : EDITORIAL (1) Territoires français du Pacifique (17 Aug 2018 4:01) No amendment on this draft	O	
2. Criteria for Eligibility of Entities					
63	- it has legal status to operate in the country of authorization	C	Category : SUBSTANTIVE (3) PPPO (20 Aug 2018 6:15) further clarification needed	O	
64	it has the <u>ability capability</u> to enter into a formal arrangement with the NPPO	P	Category : EDITORIAL (4) PPPO (20 Aug 2018 6:15) replace ability with capability	O	
66	- it agrees to conform with the requirements set by the NPPO, including submitting to the NPPO its documented quality management system, this including a documented quality manual and standard operating procedures (an NPPO may determine that a quality manual is not required, and that other documentation may be sufficient, hereafter referred to as "documentation in lieu of a quality manual"; standard operating procedures need to describe how specific phytosanitary actions are undertaken (i.e. who does what, when, where and how))	C	Category : SUBSTANTIVE (5) PPPO (20 Aug 2018 6:18) the understanding that the standard operating procedures should have a mechanism for conflict management	O	
3.1 Roles and responsibilities of the NPPO					
76	- to carry out regular audits of the authorized entity to verify that it conforms with the requirements of the NPPO's authorization programme	C	Category : SUBSTANTIVE (7) PPPO (20 Aug 2018 6:21) more clarity on the word regular	O	
79	- to maintain documentation, including records and lists of authorized entities	C	Category : SUBSTANTIVE (6) PPPO (20 Aug 2018 6:20) include a subpoint ...communicae to trading partners	O	
3.2 Roles and responsibilities of the authorized entity					
89	document control	P	Category : SUBSTANTIVE (8) PPPO (20 Aug 2018 6:26) add another bullet point on issuance of lisenca	O	
4.2 Audits to maintain authorization					
111	The NPPO should determine the ongoing frequency of the audits to maintain authorization, based on the level of risk and complexity associated with the phytosanitary actions, the performance and the conformance of the entity.	C	Category : SUBSTANTIVE (9) PPPO (20 Aug 2018 6:29) clarification needed on this paragraph	O	

112	Audits to maintain authorization should be conducted at least once a year <u>depending on compliance on</u> the entity's entire system. Additional audits on a specific part or parts of the entity's system may be conducted as necessary.	P	Category : SUBSTANTIVE (11) PPPO (20 Aug 2018 6:31) add depending on compliance	O	
112	Audits to maintain authorization should be conducted at least once a year on the entity's entire system. Additional audits on a specific part or parts of the entity's system may be conducted as necessary.	C	Category : SUBSTANTIVE (10) PPPO (20 Aug 2018 6:30) clarification of this paragraph	O	
6. Suspension, Revocation and Reinstatement of Authorization					
124	6. Suspension, Revocation and Reinstatement of Authorization	C	Category : TECHNICAL (16) PPPO (20 Aug 2018 7:05) the basis for revocation needs to be established. The understanding about suspension is immediate shut down of a system, however, this draft allows for operation to continue and suspension only carried out should corrective actions are not implented.	O	
124	6. Suspension, Revocation and Reinstatement of Authorization	C	Category : SUBSTANTIVE (13) PPPO (20 Aug 2018 6:44) add to each action, to communicate all decisions to relevant authorities.	O	
124	6. Suspension, Revocation and Reinstatement of Authorization	C	Category : SUBSTANTIVE (12) PPPO (20 Aug 2018 6:42) add in each action, that each decision is communicated to other NPPOs	O	
125	Suspension. An entity whose authorization is suspended <u>may continue to operate only under the direct supervision of the NPPO (or the entity authorized to audit or supervise) shall cease operation.</u>	P	Category : TECHNICAL (14) PPPO (20 Aug 2018 6:51) replace may continue with shall 'shall cease operation'	O	
127	Reinstatement. An entity whose authorization has been suspended or revoked and that wishes to have its authorization status reinstated should apply to the NPPO for reinstatement. <u>b)An entity whose institution is revoked can resume operation only when the NPPO has undertaken an audit, is content with the corrective actions taken and has lifted the suspension</u>	P	Category : SUBSTANTIVE (15) PPPO (20 Aug 2018 7:00) add 127b	O	

Reconciliation report for 2014-002_DraftISPM_AuthorizationEntities_Fr_2018-07-02.docx
 002_DraftISPM_AuthorizationEntities_Fr_2018-07-02.docx)

(2014-

Summary

Title	2018 First Consultation: Draft ISPM Authorization of entities to perform phytosanitary actions (2014-002) [Sub-review] (Id 537)
Description	
End Date	24 Aug 2018 23:45
Review Status	In Progress

Participants

Name	Status	Workgroup Role	Summary	Comments	Last Activity
Australia	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Cook Islands	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Elisapeta Sualevai	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Fiji	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Guam	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Kiribati	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Matio Lonolona	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Micronesia	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Nauru	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
New Caledonia	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
New Zealand	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Niue	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Northern Mariana Islands	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Palau	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Papua New Guinea	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
PPPO	Review Owner	Owner		0	20 Aug 2018 22:57
Republic of Marshall Islands	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Samoa	In Progress	Reviewer		0	13 Aug 2018 21:37
Solomon Islands	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Territoires français du Pacifique	In Progress	Reviewer		1	20 Aug 2018 4:29
Tokelau - Mikaele Fatia	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Tonga	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Tuvalu	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Vanuatu	Not Started	Reviewer		0	

Wallis and Futuna	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
-------------------	-------------	----------	--	---	--

T (Type) - B = Bullet, C = Comment, P = Proposed Change, R = Rating
 S (Status) - A = Accepted, C = Closed, O = Open, W = Withdrawn, M = Merged

Para	Text	T	Comment	S	Author Comment
G	(General Comment)	C	Category : EDITORIAL (2) Territoires français du Pacifique (17 Aug 2018 4:02) Pas d'amendement à apporter à ce projet de NIMP	O	

6.6 Revision of ISPM 8 Determination of pest status in an area (2009 – 005) by Mr Pelenato Fonoti

[16] NPPO Samoa presented an overview of Draft ISPM on Determination of pest status in an area (2009-005) on the following topics:

- Background
- General Consideration on the reason for the revision
- Scope covering the use of pest records to determine pest status in an area and descriptions of pest status categories
- Outline of requirements
- Highlights of the revision
- Major drafting issues and other relevant information

Refer to Full text of the presentation at [Appendix XIV](#)

Sub-regional Group Discussions and comments Moderated by Mr Pelenato Fonoti**Reconciliation report for 2009-005_DraftISPM_RevisionISPM8_En_2018-05-30.docx (2009-005_DraftISPM_RevisionISPM8_En_2018-05-30.docx)****Summary**

Title	2018 First Consultation: Draft Revision of ISPM 8 Determination of pest status in an area (2009-005) [Sub-review] 1 (Id 541)
Description	
End Date	24 Aug 2018 23:45
Review Status	In Progress

Participants

Name	Status	Workgroup Role	Summary	Comments	Last Activity
Australia	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Cook Islands	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Elisapeta Sualevai	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Fiji	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Guam	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Kiribati	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Matio Lonalona	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Micronesia	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Nauru	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
New Caledonia	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
New Zealand	In Progress	Reviewer		0	15 Aug 2018 6:10
Niue	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Northern Mariana Islands	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Palau	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Papua New Guinea	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
PPPO	Review Owner	Owner		11	21 Aug 2018 1:33
Republic of Marshall Islands	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Samoa	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Solomon Islands	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Territoires français du Pacifique	In Progress	Reviewer		1	21 Aug 2018 0:03

Tokelau - Mikaele Fatia	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Tonga	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Tuvalu	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Vanuatu	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Wallis and Futuna	Not Started	Reviewer		0	

T (Type) - B = Bullet, C = Comment, P = Proposed Change, R = Rating

S (Status) - A = Accepted, C = Closed, O = Open, W = Withdrawn, M = Merged

Para	Text	T	Comment	S	Author Comment
2. Information Used to Determine Pest Status					
57	Information from pest records or other <u>credible</u> sources should be used to inform decisions on the appropriate selection of pest status categories as described in section 3.	P	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (2) PPPO (21 Aug 2018 1:08) inclusion of the word credible	O	
67	findings of signs of organisms without finding live pest or pest damage <u>. no observable specific symptoms of pest damage.</u>	P	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (3) PPPO (21 Aug 2018 1:10) additional sub point: no observable specific symptoms of pest damage.	O	
3. Describing Pest Status in an Area					
73	The NPPO should decide upon the most appropriate description of the pest status in an area, based on information from various sources such as those described in Appendix 1. This includes results from surveillance. Pests only present <u>under-in</u> quarantine <u>facility</u> for diagnostic or research purposes do not affect the pest status in an area.	P	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (4) PPPO (21 Aug 2018 1:12) replace under with in and inclusion of the word facility after quarantine	O	
74	Determination of pest status requires expert judgement on the current distribution of a pest in an area. This judgement should be based on a synthesis of available pest records and information from other <u>credible</u> sources. Both current and historical records, where available, should be used in assessing the pest status. Pest status should be determined on the basis of an area. When pest status is recorded or reported, the area in question (including any pest free areas or pest free places of production or production sites within it) and the date the pest status was determined should be included. Pest status should be described according to the categories identified below.	P	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (5) PPPO (21 Aug 2018 1:13) inclusion of credible sources	O	
3.1 Presence					
81	The pest is present throughout the area <u>where conditions are suitable.</u>	P	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (7) PPPO (21 Aug 2018 1:18) removing , 'where conditions are suitable'	O	
93	The pest is evaluated and determined to be transient, or the pest is not expected to establish because appropriate phytosanitary measures have been applied (e.g. during outbreaks in a pest free area).	C	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (6) PPPO (21 Aug 2018 1:17) revisit the glossary term on the term transient	O	
96	in enclosed structures, <u>e.g. glasshouse</u>	P	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (9) PPPO (21 Aug 2018 1:22) inclusion of an example e.g glasshouse	O	

97	in botanical gardens	P	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (8) PPPO (21 Aug 2018 1:21) deletion. the other sub points relate to the containment of the pest rather than the site that's being detected.	O	
3.2 Absence					
118	- changes in hosts cultivated <u>cultivated such as cultivars</u>	P	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (11) PPPO (21 Aug 2018 1:25) add , such as cultivars	O	
119	- changes in cultivars	P	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (10) PPPO (21 Aug 2018 1:25) a subset of 118, add on such as cultivars and remove changes in cultivars	O	
124	Pest interceptions on imported consignments at points of entry while under detention do not affect the pest status of the area. Detections of pests in an area, shown by surveillance not to represent a population, do not affect the pest status in an area.	C	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (12) PPPO (21 Aug 2018 1:27) split into separate paragraphs because they are different things being discussed; pest interceptions and detection of pests.	O	
124	Pest interceptions on imported consignments at points of entry while under detention do not affect the pest status of the area. Detections of pests in an area, shown by surveillance not to represent a population, do not affect the pest status in an area.	P	<i>Category : EDITORIAL</i> (1) Territoires français du Pacifique (17 Aug 2018 4:08) The 2nd sentence of this paragraph should be a paragraph itself.	O	

Reconciliation report for 2009-005_DraftISPM_RevisionISPM8_Fr_2018-06-28.docx (2009-005_DraftISPM_RevisionISPM8_Fr_2018-06-28.docx)**Summary**

Title	2018 First Consultation: Draft Revision of ISPM 8 Determination of pest status in an area (2009-005) [Sub-review] 1 (Id 541)
Description	
End Date	24 Aug 2018 23:45
Review Status	In Progress

Participants

Name	Status	Workgroup Role	Summary	Comments	Last Activity
Australia	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Cook Islands	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Elisapeta Sualevai	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Fiji	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Guam	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Kiribati	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Matio Lonolona	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Micronesia	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Nauru	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
New Caledonia	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
New Zealand	In Progress	Reviewer		0	15 Aug 2018 6:10
Niue	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Northern Mariana Islands	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Palau	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Papua New Guinea	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
PPPO	Review Owner	Owner		0	21 Aug 2018 1:33
Republic of Marshall Islands	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Samoa	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Solomon Islands	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Territoires français du Pacifique	In Progress	Reviewer		0	21 Aug 2018 0:03
Tokelau - Mikaele Fatia	Not Started	Reviewer		0	

Tonga	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Tuvalu	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Vanuatu	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Wallis and Futuna	Not Started	Reviewer		0	

T (Type) - B = Bullet, C = Comment, P = Proposed Change, R = Rating

S (Status) - A = Accepted, C = Closed, O = Open, W = Withdrawn, M = Merged

no comments to show.

6.7 Requirements for the use of modified atmosphere treatments as phytosanitary measures (2014 – 006) – Mr Bruce Hancocks

[17] The Australian representative presented on the Requirements for the use of modified atmosphere treatments as phytosanitary measures (2014 – 006) on the following topics:

- Background
- General Considerations – reason for the ISPM
- Modified Atmosphere Treatments
- Methods for modifying atmospheres
 - Treatment unit for modified atmosphere
 - Modified atmosphere treatment enclosure
- General considerations
- Major drafting issues

The full text of the presentation is available as [Appendix XV](#)

Sub-regional Group Discussions and comments Moderated by Mr Pelenato Fonoti

Reconciliation report for 2014-006_DraftISPM_ModifiedAtmosphere_En_2018-05-30.docx (2014-006_DraftISPM_ModifiedAtmosphere_En_2018-05-30.docx)

Summary

Title	2018 First Consultation: Draft ISPM Requirements for the use of modified atmosphere treatments as phytosanitary meas[Sub-review] (Id 539)
Description	
End Date	24 Aug 2018 23:45
Review Status	In Progress

Participants

Name	Status	Workgroup Role	Summary	Comments	Last Activity
Australia	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Cook Islands	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Elisapeta Sualevai	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Fiji	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Guam	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Kiribati	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Matio Lonolona	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Micronesia	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Nauru	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
New Caledonia	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
New Zealand	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Niue	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Northern Mariana Islands	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Palau	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Papua New Guinea	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
PPPO	Review Owner	Owner		21	21 Aug 2018 5:54
Republic of Marshall Islands	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Samoa	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Solomon Islands	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Territoires français du Pacifique	In Progress	Reviewer		1	21 Aug 2018 6:18
Tokelau - Mikaele Fatia	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Tonga	Not Started	Reviewer		0	

Tuvalu	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Vanuatu	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Wallis and Futuna	Not Started	Reviewer		0	

T (Type) - B = Bullet, C = Comment, P = Proposed Change, R = Rating

S (Status) - A = Accepted, C = Closed, O = Open, W = Withdrawn, M = Merged

Para	Text	T	Comment	S	Author Comment
G	(General Comment)	C	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (23) PPPO (21 Aug 2018 5:53) the draft standard should be reviewed and structured to provide clear focus on the critical requirements to effectively deliver the treatment e.g (ref para 61)the required gas composition levels of the atmosphere must be maintained to achieve the required efficacy. This is an absolute requirement for the treatment as a phytosanitary measure.	O	
G	(General Comment)	C	<i>Category : EDITORIAL</i> (1) Territoires français du Pacifique (17 Aug 2018 4:12) No amendment for this draft	O	
1. Treatment Objective					
52	The objective of using a modified atmosphere as a phytosanitary measure is to <u>achieve</u> <u>achieve desired/ total</u> pest mortality at a specified efficacy.	P	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (16) PPPO (21 Aug 2018 5:38) inclusion of 'desired/total' in pest mortality	O	
52	The objective of using a modified atmosphere as a phytosanitary measure is to achieve pest mortality at a specified efficacy.	C	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (3) PPPO (21 Aug 2018 4:17) clarification on "specified"	O	
2. Treatment Application					
55	Parameters to consider when implementing treatments include:	C	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (4) PPPO (21 Aug 2018 4:20) consider the inclusion of products or articles suitable for this treatments	O	
60	In a modified atmosphere treatment, the lethal atmosphere should be maintained for <u>an adequate a</u> length of <u>time, typically for more than a daytime specified</u> . An enclosure is therefore required to achieve and maintain the lethal atmospheric conditions over the duration of the treatment. Enclosures can be designed as a continuous gas flow system or a static system.	P	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (5) PPPO (21 Aug 2018 4:23)	O	
62	Respiration, sorption of atmospheric gases and the packaging of the commodity may result in differential gas concentrations within the enclosure and influence the efficacy of a modified atmosphere treatment. This should be taken into account when applying treatments.	C	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (6) PPPO (21 Aug 2018 4:27) clarity on how we should read this particular point. Clarity on requirements.	O	

5.2 Prevention of infestation and contamination after treatment				
98	The consignment owner is responsible for prevention of infestation and contamination after treatment and may cooperate with the provider on how to achieve this. Measures should be implemented to prevent possible infestation or contamination of the commodity after the treatment, <u>including keeping the commodity in a pest free enclosure and segregating and identifying treated commodities</u> . The following measures may <u>also</u> be required:	P	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (8) PPPO (21 Aug 2018 4:44) ammend and deletion; these are things that should occur in ensuring infestation does not occur.	O
99	<u>keeping the commodity in a pest free enclosure</u>	P	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (10) PPPO (21 Aug 2018 4:45)	O
100	packing the commodity immediately after treatment <u>treatment -packing commodity in insect proof containers/cartons</u>	P	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (7) PPPO (21 Aug 2018 4:38) inclusion of a new line after 100	O
101	<u>segregating and identifying treated commodities</u>	P	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (9) PPPO (21 Aug 2018 4:45)	O
5.3 Labelling				
104	Commodities may be labelled with treatment lot numbers or other features of identification (e.g. locations of packing and the treatment facility, dates of packing and treatment) allowing trace-back for non-compliant consignments. The labels should be easily identifiable and placed on visible locations.	C	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (11) PPPO (21 Aug 2018 4:54) replace the paragraph with " treated consignments should be appropriately labelled to enable traceback in the event of non- compliance	O
5.6 Monitoring and auditing				
106	The To ensure that the treatment is applied effectively, the NPPO of the country in which the treatment is conducted is responsible for monitoring-must monitor and auditing the facilities-audit sufficient to detect and providers-correct defficiencies promptly. Continuous supervision of treatments <u>by the NPPO</u> should not be necessary <u>provided there is a system for continuous monitoring of if the treatment parameters, and treatment programmes are-system is</u> properly designed to ensure a high degree of system integrity for the facility, process and commodity <u>in question. The monitoring and auditing should be sufficient to detect and correct deficiencies promptly-being treated.</u>	P	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (17) PPPO (21 Aug 2018 5:44)	O
106	The NPPO of the country in which the treatment is conducted is responsible for-for the oversight monitoring and auditing the facilities and providers. Continuous supervision of treatments <u>by the NPPO or the authorised entity</u> should not be necessary provided there is a system for continuous monitoring of the treatment parameters, and treatment programmes are properly designed to ensure a high degree of system integrity for the facility, process and commodity in question. The monitoring and auditing should be sufficient to detect and correct deficiencies promptly.	P	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (12) PPPO (21 Aug 2018 5:09) ammendment to the 2nd sentence.	O
107	Parameters to consider when verifying treatment programmes include meeting requirements for treatment atmospheric conditions, treatment time, temperature, humidity and ventilation. A modified atmosphere treatment protocol should include <u>a monitoring procedure that is conducted by the following-NPPO at the facility where the treatment occurs and a system to</u>	P	<i>Category : SUBSTANTIVE</i> (18) PPPO (21 Aug 2018 5:46)	O

	ensure maintain and archive treatment records that can be accessed by the treatment schedule is met:NPPO.			
108	a treatment monitoring protocol that is conducted by the NPPO at the facility where the treatment occurs	P	Category : SUBSTANTIVE (19) PPPO (21 Aug 2018 5:47) included in revised text	O
109	audit provisions, including unannounced visits	P	Category : SUBSTANTIVE (20) PPPO (21 Aug 2018 5:47)	O
110	a system to maintain and archive treatment records and provide access to NPPOs	P	Category : SUBSTANTIVE (21) PPPO (21 Aug 2018 5:47)	O
111	corrective action to be taken in the event of non-compliance.	P	Category : SUBSTANTIVE (22) PPPO (21 Aug 2018 5:48)	O
6. Documentation				
113	The NPPO of the country in which the facility is located is responsible for ensuring that treatment providers keep appropriate records, such as raw data on treatment parameters recorded during treatments. Accurate record keeping is essential to allow for trace-back capability.	C	Category : SUBSTANTIVE (13) PPPO (21 Aug 2018 5:14) Inclusion of a timeline on raw data, given that treatments are continuously happening.	O
6.1 Documentation of procedures				
121	temperature and gas sensor calibration and recordings recordings as per SOPs and manual	P	Category : SUBSTANTIVE (14) PPPO (21 Aug 2018 5:18) inclusion of SOPs and manual	O
6.2 Record keeping				
133	date of treatment treatment and printout	P	Category : SUBSTANTIVE (15) PPPO (21 Aug 2018 5:20) inclusion of printout to date of treatment	O

Reconciliation report for 2014-006_DraftISPM_ModifiedAtmosphere_Fr_2018-06-29.docx (2014-006_DraftISPM_ModifiedAtmosphere_Fr_2018-06-29.docx)

Summary

Title	2018 First Consultation: Draft ISPM Requirements for the use of modified atmosphere treatments as phytosanitary meas[Sub-review] (Id 539)
Description	
End Date	24 Aug 2018 23:45
Review Status	In Progress

Participants

Name	Status	Workgroup Role	Summary	Comments	Last Activity
Australia	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Cook Islands	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Elisapeta Sualevai	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Fiji	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Guam	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Kiribati	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Matio Lonolona	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Micronesia	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Nauru	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
New Caledonia	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
New Zealand	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Niue	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Northern Mariana Islands	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Palau	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Papua New Guinea	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
PPPO	Review Owner	Owner		0	21 Aug 2018 5:54
Republic of Marshall Islands	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Samoa	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Solomon Islands	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Territoires français du Pacifique	In Progress	Reviewer		1	21 Aug 2018 6:18
Tokelau - Mikaele Fatia	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Tonga	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Tuvalu	Not Started	Reviewer		0	

Vanuatu	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Wallis and Futuna	Not Started	Reviewer		0	

T (Type) - B = Bullet, C = Comment, P = Proposed Change, R = Rating

S (Status) - A = Accepted, C = Closed, O = Open, W = Withdrawn, M = Merged

Para	Text	T	Comment	S	Author Comment
G	(General Comment)	C	Category : EDITORIAL (2) Territoires français du Pacifique (17 Aug 2018 4:12) Pas d'amendement à soumettre à ce projet de NIMP	O	

6.8 2018 Amendments to ISPM 5 (Glossary of phytosanitary terms) (1994 – 001) Presentation by Mr Pelenato Fonoti

[18] Samoa NPPO Mr Pelenato Fonoti presented an overview of the draft standard on the following points:

- Background – ISPM on glossary is constantly being revised and updated to include revisions, additions and deletions.
 - Draft standard comprise of 5 deletions and 4 revisions

The full text of the presentation is available as [Appendix XVI](#)

Sub-regional Group Discussions and comments Moderated by Mr Pelenato Fonoti

Reconciliation report for 1994-001_Draft 2018_AmendmentsISPM5_En_2018-05-18.docx (1994-001_Draft 2018_AmendmentsISPM5_En_2018-05-18.docx)

Summary

Title	2018 First Consultation: Draft 2018 amendments to ISPM 5 (1994-001) [Sub-review] (Id 536)
Description	
End Date	7 Sep 2018 23:45
Review Status	In Progress

Participants

Name	Status	Workgroup Role	Summary	Comments	Last Activity
Australia	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Cook Islands	In Progress	Reviewer		0	20 Aug 2018 2:06
Elisapeta Sualevai	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Fiji	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Guam	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Kiribati	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Matio Lonalona	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Micronesia	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Nauru	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
New Caledonia	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
New Zealand	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Niue	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Northern Mariana Islands	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Palau	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Papua New Guinea	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
PPPO	Review Owner	Owner		1	21 Aug 2018 22:35
Republic of Marshall Islands	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Samoa	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Solomon Islands	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Territoires français du Pacifique	In Progress	Reviewer		1	21 Aug 2018 7:23
Tokelau - Mikaele Fatia	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Tonga	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Tuvalu	Not Started	Reviewer		0	

Vanuatu	Not Started	Reviewer		0	
Wallis and Futuna	Not Started	Reviewer		0	

T (Type) - B = Bullet, C = Comment, P = Proposed Change, R = Rating

S (Status) - A = Accepted, C = Closed, O = Open, W = Withdrawn, M = Merged

Para	Text	T	Comment	S	Author Comment
G	(General Comment)	C	Category : <i>SUBSTANTIVE</i> (3) PPPO (21 Aug 2018 22:32) no further comments	O	
G	(General Comment)	C	Category : <i>EDITORIAL</i> (1) Territoires français du Pacifique (17 Aug 2018 3:57) No amendment to this draft	O	

Agenda PBCR4041 Building Resilience in Indigenous Communities through Engagement: A focus on Biosecurity Threats by Dr Linda Ford

[19] Senior Research Fellow, Charles Darwin University, Dr Linda Ford introduced the Indigenous Engagement Model (IEM) with a purpose to produce best practice model to engage indigenous communities biosecurity practices.

[20] The Model aims to:

- merge traditional Indigenous knowledge and Western knowledge into a framework
- is designed to protect the country and to manage biosecurity threats; and
- Encourages ongoing collaboration and communication between key interest groups.

The full text of the presentation is available as [Appendix XVII](#)

7 Section 2: Implementing and raising awareness in the framework of FAO/RPPOs: this section will consist of presentations followed by discussion and questions from the workshop participants

7.1 PPPO activities by PPPO Chairperson, Mr Timothy Tumukon

- Chairperson encouraging 12-13 members from the region to sign up to becoming contracting parties to the IPPC
- The PPPO secretariat is housed in SPC LRD and currently has an interim Executive Secretary Dr Visoni Timote and his tremendous support acknowledged.
- We also have a PPPO Strategic workplan that ends this year, we will also embark to develop a new PPPO Strategic workplan that will be developed this year
- Also we are looking at the structure of the PPPO based on the activities implemented in the region to determine where the PPPO Secretariat is housed
- Biosecurity laws in the region are based on the Biosecurity model and which has been adopted by two countries to develop their national biosecurity laws i.e. Samoa & Fiji

Some challenges faced:

- Some decisions will need to be made as to where the PPPO Secretariat is housed
- Financial Constraints which is a huge issue for the region meaning we need to find money to sustain the organisation
- Handing over of Chairmanship of PPPO and the next Chairperson is to be from the Micronesian states

7.2 Open Discussions on IPPC / PPPO related issues

Review of general and substantive comments on the standard in second consultation - 2 draft ISPMs: Requirements for fumigation treatments 2017 Amendments to ISPM 5 by Mr Pelenato Fonoti

- No further discussions on this agenda.

The full text of the presentation is available as [Appendix XVIII](#)

8: Section 3: Moving together from ideas to action (Facilitated sessions): this section will consist of presentations followed by discussion and questions from the workshop participants

8.1 Implementation of ISPM 38: International movement of seeds by Mr Brent Larson

[21] The scope of ISPM 38 provides guidance to assist NPPOs in

- Identifying, assessing and managing the pest risk associated with the international movement of seeds (as a commodity class)
- Establishing phytosanitary import requirements
- Setting procedures for inspection, sampling and testing of seeds, and phytosanitary certification for export and re-export.
- The presentation also covered requirements of the ISPM 38 covering pest risk assessment issues and seeds as pathways, intended use, pest management in seed production, and challenges and solutions for phytosanitary certification.
- Challenges of and solutions for phytosanitary certifications
- Cooperation with stakeholders
- References

The full text of the presentation is available as [Appendix XIX](#)

ISF Presentation by Ms Sally Jennings

[22] New Zealand representative presented on the International Seed Federation (ISF) through highlighting its shared goals and collaborative efforts with the IPPC. She also covered the considerations of the ISF to ISPM 38: International Movement of seeds and introduced the resources that are available with ISF.

[23] *The full text of the presentation is available as [Appendix XVIII](#)*

Exchanges and discussions – questions from Brent Larson

[24] The discussions were based on the questions below and were discussed within each sub-region

- (1) What considerations do you make in determining whether or not to regulate?
 - Micronesia- Packaging, Treatment, whether its commercial or not, pest related, IP, private use
 - Polynesia: Treatment of seeds, equivalence of Phytosanitary measures,
 - Melanesia: Pathogens, weed seeds, whether for consumptions or propagation. Regulate all that comes into the country.
- (2) What would you consider in determining how to regulate it?
 - Micronesia: Commercially packed and labelled in English- if not then regulated.
 - Polynesia: regulate all that comes in (commercial seeds) including cuttings, place of origin, IP, phytosanitary certificate,
 - Melanesia: Country of origin, pests and diseases status, esp. pests associated with the seeds, pathogens, hosts (multiple), potential vectors for viruses, PEQ screening.
- (3) Does the intended use of the seed influence how it is regulated?
 - Micronesia: regulate when in large quantities
 - Polynesia: yes
 - Melanesia: yes and no- depending on seeds use (research/ commercial)
- (4) Do you still recommend the same requirement for seed shipment to be tested and destroyed in the lab?

- Melanesia: all that comes in is regulated
- Polynesia: yes
- Micronesia: not relevant; not happening

8.2 International Year of Plant Health by Dr Viliami Kami

[25] NPPO Tonga, Dr Viliami Kami provided an overview on the International Year of Plant Health (IYPH) scheduled for the year 2020. The events main objective is to raise awareness on the importance and impacts of plant health in addressing issues of global importance including hunger, poverty, threats to the environment and economic development.

[26] Dr Kami presented on the following:

- Concept of Plant Health
- IYPH proclamation background
- Impact of cyclones and El Nino on plant health
- Towards the IYPH proclamation
- Actions to support the IYPH proclamation inviting NPPOs to do the following
 - Share information package with UN Missions
 - Liaise with UN Missions to ensure they support the IYPH resolution
 - Secure funding for the global programme
- What you can do to support the proclamation
 - Contact your UN Mission to support IYPH proclamation
 - Coordinate with your IYPH St C representative
 - Start planning national and regional activities now
 - Give presentations at scientific conferences
 - Involve national stakeholders including media, civil society and academia
 - Look now for financial support
- After IYPH proclamation

The full text of the presentation is available as [Appendix XIX](#)

Discussions

- Samoa enquired on the availability of a standard letter template that countries can use to request for support from respective UN representative Missions
- NPPO Tonga responded to confirm the availability of letter template for countries to use but there are also draft intervention on the IPP to help countries formulate their intervention in the UN General Assembly
- Formulation of a committee to foster liaison with higher level communication
- Australia responds that the UN sets up a steering committee to lead the International year but the current Steering Committee will continue to advise it.
- NPPO Samoa requested to identify some issues or ideas that can be done at a regional level as PPPO to help promote IYPH eg. Video clips
- IPPC Secretariat stressed the need to get involved with stakeholders as a national plant protection organisation and raise your profile
- Information Kit on the IYPH is also available at the IPP and also will be circulated by email to all contracting parties.

8.3 The implementation and Capacity Development Committee (IC) by Mr Ngatoko Ngatoko

[27] The purpose of the IC is to develop monitor and oversee an integrated programme to support the implementation of the Convention, ISPMs and CPM Recommendations and to strengthen the phytosanitary capacity of contracting parties.

- The IC oversees the phytosanitary resources related to implementation and capacity development on the International Phytosanitary Portal (IPP).
- The IC composed of 12 members and the Pacific region is represented by Cook Islands NPPO and New Zealand
- Main activities for IC: Set strategies and directions for implementation and capacity development activities:
 - Phytosanitary Capacity Evaluation (PCE)
 - Guides and Training materials
 - Phytosanitary resources (web based information)
 - Projects on implementation and capacity development

The full text of the presentation is available as [Appendix XX](#)

Discussions

- New Zealand representative Ms Sally Jennings acknowledged the privilege to represent the Southwest Pacific region to the IC together with NPPO Cook Islands. She encouraged the workshop to use the resources that are developed by experts from other regions on the IPP
- Australia noted the importance of implementation material to support implementation standards. If this material is going to be recognised by contracting parties then they will need to have involvement in the development of the material.
- IPPC Secretariat further eluded that process for developing guides and training materials is under development and any input to better the process is welcome from the participants.
- Discussion on the needs from the contracting parties

8.4 National Reporting Obligations: Regulated Pests Lists – by Ms Sally Jennings

- New Zealand representative, Ms Sally Jennings gave an overview of the IPPC National reporting obligations and regulated pest lists focusing on activities relating to creating, posting, and updating regulated pests lists by NPPO and Contact points.
- She stressed the importance of pest reporting obligation for contracting parties through the International Phytosanitary Portal (IPP) and it is important that it is kept up to date.

The full text of the presentation is available as [Appendix XXI](#)

8.5 Plant Health and environmental protection by Brent Larson

- The IPPC Representative restated the theme for 2018 as the Year of Plant Health and Environmental Protection and the role of SPG in introducing annual themes and advocacy efforts of the IPPC.
- He presented the activities for 2018, special topics and the launch of the IPPC e-learning course on InforMeA (Introduction to the International Plant Protection Convention e-course)

The full text of the presentation is available as [Appendix XXII](#)

8.6 Sea Containers Task Force Updated by Mr Ngatoko Ngatoko

The presentation covered the following topics:

- Risks associated with Movement of Sea Containers
- Factors to be understood

- Sea Container Flows
- Perception
- IMO/ILO/UNECE Code of Practice for Packing of Cargo Transport Units (CTU Code)
- IPPC and CTU Code
- Joint Industry Guidelines for Cleaning of Containers
- Sea Container Task Force (SCTF)

The full text of the presentation is available as [Appendix XXIII](#)

Discussion Points

- [28] Clarification on the role of the task force and not about the standard, it was to monitor and source evidence that the code was addressing the problem and to provide information as to whether the draft standard should be reviewed and adopted in 2021.

8.7 IPPC Strategic Framework 2020 – 2030 by Dr Lois Ransom

- [29] Australia representative presented on the need for a new IPPC operating environment to address emerging demands such as increasing and more diversified trade, structural and operational changes in the way NPPO work, Scientific and Capacity Development and Impacts of climate change on Plant Health. The current IPPC Strategic Framework (SF) ends in 2019.

- [30] The presentation covered the following topics:

- SF adoption timeframe
- IPPC 2020-2030
- SF on a page
- Content of the IPPC SF 2020-2030
- Strategic Objectives
- IPPC Development Agenda 2020 – 2030
- Core Activities

The full text of the presentation is available as [Appendix XXIV](#)

Discussion points:

- NPPO Tonga discussed their partnership and relationship with their environment agencies to address environmental pest risks and he also gave a summary of the COP23 side event that was coordinated with Tonga
- NPPO Samoa sought clarification around third party arrangements and how that would apply to the Pacific. Australia responded that it is more an indicator on how they do things in the Pacific in the future.
- NPPO Cook Islands sought clarification around managing risks posed by increasing number of tourists.

9: Conclusion of the workshop/ Date and Venue of the Next Meeting

- August 2019, Fiji (Tentative)

10: Review and adoption of the Report

- The meeting endorsed and adopted the draft report of the workshop

11: Online survey of the workshop

- IPPC Secretariat to circulate link to questionnaire to all participants

12: Close of the Meeting

[31] In closing the workshop:

- Samoa on behalf of the Polynesian sub-region members acknowledged the PPPO Chairperson and Vanuatu NPPO for his support and Chairpersonmanship for the last five years.
- The outgoing Chairperson and Vanuatu NPPO Mr Timothy Tumukon reiterated the statement made in his opening speech and acknowledged the government of Australia for the funding support in enabling this workshop to take place. He also thanked the following for their contributions.
 - The team of experts
 - PPPO Secretariat
 - Contracting parties
 - Rapporteurs
 - IPPC Secretariat and the
 - Director of SPC LRD

[32] Chairperson declared the workshop closed at 5.20pm Wednesday 22nd August 2018.

ACTION POINTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- (a) **Agenda 6.1: The IPPC Online Comment System (OCS)**
 - SPC to train and build the capacity of Pacific countries on the IPPC OCS. This was agreed to by the Director of SPC LRD in principle

- (b) **Agenda 6.2 2018 Call for topics: standards and implementation**
 - PPPO Secretariat to provide the required information to NPPO Palau on fruit fly species
- (c) **Regional Standard on Phytosanitary Measure (RSPM) on Sand and Gravel**
 - Cook Islands NPPO to circulate the draft RSPM on the Movement of Sand and Gravel for commenting upon from members
 - RSPM for submission to call for topics for consideration as RSPM (ref. 2015 PPPO Board Meeting)

List of Annexes:

- (1) Workshop Agenda
- (2) Participants List
- (3) Opening statement by ADG of Regional Office
- (4) Opening statement by host country
- (5) Opening statement by Organisers- PPPO Chairpersonman
- (6) Presentations
- (7) Photo

Annex 1: Agenda

IPPC REGIONAL WORKSHOP in the PACIFIC ISLANDS
20-22 August 2018, Novotel Hotel, Nadi, Fiji



SPC
Secretariat
of the Pacific
Community



Australian Government
Department of Agriculture
and Water Resources

Agenda

Updated 2018-08-16

		Agenda Item	Docum ent No.	Presenter
Monday First Day – General Information and Meeting Logistics				
1.	8:00-9:00	Participants registration		
2.	9:00-10:00	Opening of the Meeting		
2.1		Welcome remarks by organizers		Secretariat / Brent Larson
2.2		Opening statement by PPPO		PPPO CHAIRPERSONMAN
2.3		Guest Speaker – Mr Hilary Kumwenda., A/CEO Biosecurity Authority, Fiji		BAF Fiji
2.4		Opening statement by SPC, LRD		D/LRD
2.5		Objectives of the workshop		Brent Larson
2.6		Video message highlighting the 2018 Annual Theme from the Secretary of the IPPC		IPPC SECRETARY
	10:00 – 10:20	Coffee break		
3.	10:20 - 10:30	Meeting Arrangements		
3.1		Confirmation of the Rapporteurs		Secretariat
3.2		Adoption of the Agenda		Secretariat
4.		Administrative Matters		
4.1		Documents list / Participants list / Local Information		Secretariat
5.	10:30 - 11:10	Updates on governance and strategic issues		
5.1		Update from CPM-13 (2018) and sustainable funding		Lois Ransom
5.2		IPPC Secretariat overview		Brent Larson
6.	Section 1: Reinforce the capacity of Contracting Parties to formulate productive comments on draft standards sent for 1st consultation: this session includes time for discussion, questions and answers on draft standards sent for 1st consultation			
6.1	11:10-11:30	The IPPC Online Comment System (OCS)		Ana Buli
6.2	11:30-12:00	2018 Call for topics: Standards and Implementation		Lois Ransom
6.3	12:00-12:30	Commodity and pathway ISPMs		Pelenato Fonoti

		Agenda Item	Document No.	Presenter
6.4	12:30-13:00	Discussion on draft ISPMs sent for 1st consultation (with presentation of the standard and focus on substantive and technical comments gathered prior to the workshop)		Bruce Hancocks
6.5		Authorization of entities to perform phytosanitary actions (2014-002)		Bruce Hancocks
6.6		Revision of ISPM 8 Determination of pest status in an area (2009-005)		Pelenato Fonoti
6.7		Requirements for the use of modified atmosphere treatments as phytosanitary measures (2014-006)		Bruce Hancocks
6.8		2018 Amendments to ISPM 5 (Glossary of phytosanitary terms) (1994-001)		Pelenato Fonoti
	13:00-14:00	Lunch break		
	14:00-15:30	Continued: Discussion on draft ISPMs sent for 1st consultation (with presentation of the standard and focus on substantive and technical comments gathered prior to the workshop)		Bruce Hancocks
	15:30-15:45	Coffee break		
	15:45-17:00	Continued: Discussion on draft ISPMs sent for 1st consultation (with presentation of the standard and focus on substantive and technical comments gathered prior to the workshop)		Pelenato Fonoti
Tuesday Second Day – Continuation of Section 1				
	9:00-11:00	Continued: Discussion on draft ISPMs sent for 1st consultation (with presentation of the standard and focus on substantive and technical comments gathered prior to the workshop)		Bruce Hancocks
	11:00-11:20	Coffee break		
	11:20-13:00	Continued: Discussion on draft ISPMs sent for 1st consultation (with presentation of the standard and focus on substantive and technical comments gathered prior to the workshop)		Bruce Hancocks
	13:00-14:00	Lunch break		
	14:00-15:00	Continued: Discussion on draft ISPMs sent for 1st consultation (with presentation of the standard and focus on substantive and technical comments gathered prior to the workshop)		Pelenato Fonoti
6.9	15:00-15:40	Review of general and substantive comments on the standards in second consultation - 2 draft ISPMs: Requirements for fumigation treatments, 2017 Amendments to ISPM 5		Pelenato Fonoti
	15:40-15:55	Coffee break		
7.	Section 2: Implementing and raising awareness in the framework of FAO/RPPOs: this section will consist of presentations followed by discussion and questions from the workshop participants			
7.1	15:55-16:30	PPPO activities		PPPO CHAIRPERSONMAN

		Agenda Item	Document No.	Presenter
7.2	16:30-17:00	Open discussions on IPPC/ PPPO related issues		Members
Wednesday Third Day – Section 3				
8.	Section 3: Moving together from ideas to action (Facilitated sessions) : this section will consist of presentations followed by discussion and questions from the workshop participants			
8.1	9:00-11:00	Implementation of ISPM 38: <i>International movement of seeds</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - IPPC Presentation - ISF Presentation - Exchanges and discussion 		Brent Larson Sally Jennings
8.2	11:00-11:20	International Year of Plant Health		Viliami Kami
		Coffee break		
8.3	11.20-11.50	The Implementation and Capacity Development Committee (IC) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - IPPC Presentation - Discussion on the needs from the contracting parties. 		Ngatoko Ta Ngatoko
8.4	11.50-12:10	National Reporting Obligations: Regulated Pests Lists - live demo and exercises.		Sally Jennings
8.5	12:10-13:00	Plant health and environmental protection		Brent Larson
	13:00-14:00	Lunch break		
8.6	14:00-14:20	Sea Containers Task Force Update		Ngatoko Ta Ngatoko
8.7	14:20-15:00	IPPC Strategic Framework 2020-2030		Sally Jennings
	15:40-15:55	Coffee break		
9.	15:45-16:45	Conclusion of the workshop/ Date and Venue of the Next Meeting		PPPO CHAIRPERSONMAN
10.	16:45-17:10	Review and Adoption of the Report		
11.	17:10-17:25	Online survey of the workshop		ALL PARTICIPANTS
12.	17:25-17:30	Close of the Meeting		PPPO CHAIRPERSONMAN

Annex 2: Participants List**INTERNATIONAL PLANT PROTECTION CONVENTION (IPPC) REGIONAL WORKSHOP****20-22 AUGUST, NOVOTEL HOTEL, NADI, FIJI****PARTICIPANT LIST**

NAME	COUNTRY	TITLE	PHONE/FAX/EMAIL CONTACT
Lois Ransom	Australia	Assistant Secretary Plant Imports Operation Department of Agriculture and Water Resources 18 Marcus Clarke St, Canberra City, ACT 2601	TEL: 61 2 6272 3933 EM: lois.ransom@agriculture.gov.au
Sophie Patterson	Australia	Assistant Director, Plant Health Policy Department of Agriculture and Water Resources 18 Marcus Clarke St, Canberra City ACT 2601	TEL: 61 2 6272 3933 EM: sophie.peterson@agriculture.gov.au
Bruce Hancocks	Australia	Assistant Director, Plant Health Policy Department of Agriculture and Water Resources 18 Marcus Clarke St, Canberra City ACT 2601	TEL: 61 2 6272 3933 EM: bruce.hancocks@agriculture.gov.au
Dr Linda Ford	Australia	Principal Research Fellow Charles Darwin University Northern Institute College of Indigenous Future Arts and Societies Northern Territory 0909	TEL: +61 8 8946 7203 FAX: +61 8 8946 7175 EM: linda.ford@cdu.edu.au
Ngatoko Ta Ngatoko	Cook Islands	Director Ministry of Agriculture Biosecurity Services PO Box 96 Arorangi	TEL: 682 20311 EM: ngatoko@agriculture.gov.ck
Hilary Kumwenda	Fiji	A/Chief Executive Officer Biosecurity Authority of Fiji Level 2, FNPF Provident Plaza One Ellery Street Suva	TEL: 679 3305043 EM: hkumwend@baf.com.fj
Nitesh Datt	Fiji	A/Chief Plant Protection Officer Biosecurity Authority of Fiji Level 2, FNPF Provident Plaza One Ellery Street Suva	TEL: 679 3305043 EM: ndatt@baf.com.fj
Saimone Delabaka	Fiji	Nematologist Biosecurity Authority of Fiji Level 2, FNPF Provident Plaza One Ellery Street Suva	TEL: 679 3305043 EM: sdelabaka@baf.com.fj

Rudolph Putoa	French Polynesia	Deputy Director Biosecurity Département de la Protection des Végétaux B.P. 100 - 98713 Papeete TAHITI, Polynésie française	TEL: 689 70 544 588 FAX: 689 40 710 530 EM: Rudolph.putoa@biosecurite.gov.pf
Roiti Kienene	Kiribati	Head of Biosecurity Biosecurity and Plant Health Agriculture and Livestock Division Ministry of Environment, Lands and Agriculture Dev Tanatea, Tarawa	TEL: 686 73045547 EM: rtkienene@gmail.com
Amy Tsitsi	Nauru	A/Principal Quarantine Officer Justice & Border Control Yaren District	TEL: 674 557 3022 EM: tsitsi09@gmail.com
Nacanieli Waqa	New Zealand	Senior Adviser Ministry for Primary Industries 147 Lambton Quay Wellington	TEL: 642 9894 0479 EM: Nacanieli.waqa@mpi.govt.nz
Sally Jennings	New Zealand	Policy Analyst Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry 25 The Terrace PO Box 2526 Wellington 6011	Tel: (644) 894 0431 Fax: (644) 894 0733 Email: Sally.Jennings@maf.govt.nz
Fernando Sengebau	Palau	Director Bureau of Agriculture, Min of Natural Resources, Environment and Tourism	TEL: 680 775-0200 FAX: 680 622 5805 EM: fsengebau@gmail.com
Amanda Mararuai	PNG	Senior Technical Officer Plants NAQIA Port Moresby	TEL: 675 3112100 EM: amararuia@naqia.gov.pg
Pelenato Fonoti	Samoa	Assistant Chief Executive Officer Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries PO Box 1874 Apia	TEL : aceo@samoaquarantine.gov.ws
Viliami Kami	Tonga	Deputy CEO/Head Quarantine and Quality Management Division Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Forestry Queen Salote Wharf Nukualofa	TEL: 676 23038 EM: pilakami@gmail.com
Matio Lonalona	Tuvalu	Senior Plant Protection Officer Department of Agriculture Funafuti	E: matio1n1n@gmail.com
Tekon Timothy Tumukon	Vanuatu	Director Biosecurity Vanuatu PMB 9086 Port Vila	Tel: 678 5499817 Email: ttumukon@vanuatu.gov.vu

Brent Larson	IPPC	Implementation and Facilitation Unit Leader International Plant Protection Convention Secretariat (IPPC) Agriculture and Consumer Protection Department (AG), Food and Agriculture Organisation (FAO) of the United Nations Viale delle Terme di Caracalla, 00153 Rome, Italy	TEL: +39 06 570 54915 MOB: +39 340 699 9546 EM: Brent.Larson@fao.org
LAND RESOURCES DIVISION PACIFIC COMMUNITY (SPC), PRIVATE MAIL BAG, SUVA, FIJI. TEL: 679 3370733. FAX: 679 3370021			
Jan Helsen	Director	Director's Office	janh@spc.int
Fereti Atumurirava	Adviser	Plant Health	feretia@spc.int
Visoni Timote	Adviser	Plant Health	visonit@spc.int
Ana Buli	Technician	Biosecurity & Trade Support	anat@spc.int
Unaisi Turaganivalu	Technician	Plant Health	unaisit@spc.int
Simione Tukidia	Information Assistant	Information, Communication & Management	simionet@spc.int
Caress Whippy	Programme Assistant	Plant Health	caressw@spc.int

Annex 3: FAO Regional Office Opening Remarks by FAO Consultant Mr Tevita Keresoma

Chief Executive Officer, Fiji Biosecurity, Delegates from the region, Representatives from our regional partners Australia, New Zealand, SPC, Mr Chairman, Ladies and Gentlemen

FAO is privileged for the opportunity given to provide a few remarks in the opening of this International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC) Region Workshop.

The International Plant Protection Convention vision to protect the world's plant resources from pests is achieved through four strategic objectives. These Strategic objectives are part of the Strategic Framework for the Pacific Plant Protection Organisation for 2012-2018 periods. To address these strategic objectives, four annual themes for the IPPC community were introduced in 2015 to promote on an annual basis specific aspects of the IPPC mandate. Last year's theme was on Plant Health and Trade Facilitation.

2018 is the IPPC year of Plant Health and Environmental Protection.

With this regards, it is now recognised that nature is not an optional “luxury” – but an essential foundation for human well-being and sustainable development. The preservation and sustainable use of nature and its components is not a problem to be solved but an integral part of a number of solutions such as water security and sanitation, ending hunger and assuring food-security, improved livelihoods, disaster risk reduction, mitigation and adaptation to climate change, and ending poverty, among others.

Therefore, actions to ensure the conservation of the environment and sustainable use of biodiversity have to be integrated and mainstreamed into development schemes across all sectors to realise the UN Sustainable Development Goals, including the actions undertaken under the remit of the International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC) to achieve these goals.

To this end, the IPPC allows for:

The conservation or protection of natural ecosystems; and

The protection of food security, improved livelihoods and sustainable plant production through the protection of the environment and biodiversity in both natural and agricultural production systems.

In our region, protection of our fragile environment is extremely important. We are faced with many challenges that we must be able to address, minimize or eradicate. This is why this five days meeting is of significant important as we continue to progress. We hope that at the end of the meetings the Pacific Plant Protection Organisation will be strengthen to coordinate harmonization of phytosanitary measures, foster co-operation in plant protection and other phytosanitary matters among and between members countries and organisations outside the Pacific. And putting in place workable activities and possible solutions to address the challenges we face and strengthen our protection mechanisms

In collaboration with the FAO Headquarter, it's Regional Office in Thailand and the Sub Regional Office in Samoa, FAO continues to provide support to our member countries in partnership with SPC, New Zealand, Australia and other agencies.

With those few remarks and on behalf of the FAO Sub Regional Coordinator for the Pacific Ms Eriko Hibi, FAO wishes you a successful meeting.

Thank you.

Annex 4: Host Country Opening Remarks

Distinguished guests, ladies and gentlemen.

On behalf of the SPC Director General, I extend a warm welcome to you all. Thank you all for making time to attend this important Regional IPPC Meeting to discuss the draft International Standards on Phytosanitary Measures (ISPMs).

Globalisation of trade, the increasing movement of people, new and traditional trade and commodities and the effects of climate change. These are concepts that are becoming more and more familiar to everyone around the world. Concepts that have great implications if we lack to understand the importance of dialogue and control between countries to limit the risk of exporting pests while travelling.

New fruits and vegetables are appearing where they have never been before. And while this is a good thing, they present the risk of carrying new pests and diseases. The IPPC aims to limit the spread and introduction of pests and to protect plant resources. It aims to secure cooperation amongst nations in protecting global plant resources and the environment.

The IPPC's International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures (ISPMs) provide the basis for governments to protect their plant resources from harmful pests while ensuring that the measures are scientifically justified and not used as trade barriers. They enable countries to work together and reach a consensus on many points.

By conducting these regional workshops, the IPPC provides a platform for countries to analyse and prepare comments on the draft ISPMs. It hopes to build phytosanitary capacity and raise awareness on the various activities of the IPPC Community and it provides a forum for exchanging experiences and ideas at the regional level.

These 3 days provide a common forum for the Pacific community, to discuss phytosanitary measures and to identify opportunities to assist countries who may be facing specific phytosanitary challenges.

Your being here today and your contribution throughout the meeting ensures that the views of our countries are heard and that the standards developed will take into consideration the unique settings of our Pacific island nations.

I am confident that this meeting will continue to progress ISPM development further and enhance our regional goal, of protecting our Pacific island plant resources and preserving biodiversity whilst promoting trade. Our small nations can greatly benefit from the reduction of pest risks. Our trade can be better facilitated and we ultimately reap its benefits of improved food security and more future developments. So having a pest free area is truly worth the effort!

I look forward to the outcomes of this meeting and hope that the PPPO can reflect on this outcomes to continue to lift its profile within the region and internationally.

With those few words, I wish you every success for your meetings over the coming days.

Vinaka vakalevu

Annex 5: PPPO Chairperson Opening Remarks

Distinguished guests, ladies and gentlemen. On behalf of the PPPO, I would like to welcome you all to this Regional IPPC Workshop held here in Novotel, Nadi, Fiji and hope you have all settled in well.

Firstly I would like to thank the host country, for the warm welcome, the encouraging speech and reiterate and affirm the importance of this IPPC/ ISPM Standards workshop to all fellow participants and also its importance to the region.

Secondly, I would like to also thank FAO, IPPC, Australia, New Zealand and SPC for their continued support and acknowledge their help in ensuring that the PPPO member countries gets to meet and review these new draft ISPMs this year.

A special Thank you and acknowledgement to Australia, The Department of Agriculture and Water Resources (DAWR) for the funding that has helped make this workshop a reality. A big Vinaka Vakalevu and Thank you from all the PPPO member countries.

As NPPOs you are all aware of the importance of the 3 days ahead and the new draft ISPMs that we all will be going through reviewing and the relevance of these ISPMs to the work we carry out as NPPOs in the region.

On that note I would also like to thank and acknowledge the technical experts that will be presenting various topics within the 3 days and urge all workshop participants to continue to engage and ask questions on areas that they may need clarity on.

I would also encourage all participants to participate in the Online Commenting System (OCS) on the various draft ISPMs that we will be reviewing in these 3 days.

On the same note thank the members that have accessed the OCS prior from their respective countries and have made inputs accordingly. All or inputs on the review of these draft ISPMs will make our collective submission to the IPPC much stronger add value and importance as to why the PPPO Member countries in the region must and need to continue to meet often to discuss and review these important draft ISPMs.

I would encourage all participants to get to mingle around, network and get to know other members in the next 3 days as we do have new faces in this meeting. As members of the PPPO it is always good to know and place a face behind the name of a colleague whether we are talking by phone or via email.

Once again thank you SPC for organizing and arranging logistics to try and bring everyone together to this important 3 days' IPPC/ ISPM workshop.

Fellow participants I look forward to your continued participation and support in the next 3 days and wish you well in your stay in Fiji.

As the Host country has mentioned, please also enjoy your short stay here in Fiji.

God bless you all. Thank you and Vinaka vakalevu

Appendix 6: Presentations

All Power point presentations used for the 2018 IPPC Regional Workshops are posted on the IPP at: <https://www.ippc.int/en/core-activities/capacity-development/regional-ippc-workshops/2018-ippc-regional-workshops/>

Appendix 7: Photo



INTERNATIONAL PLANT PROTECTION CONVENTION (IPPC) WORKSHOP

20-22 August 2018 Nadi - Fiji Islands