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### List of abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>APPPC</td>
<td>Asia and Pacific Plant Protection Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAHFSA</td>
<td>Caribbean Agriculture Health and Food Safety Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBD</td>
<td>Convention on Biological Diversity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDC</td>
<td>Capacity Development Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP</td>
<td>Contracting Parties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPM</td>
<td>Commission on Phytosanitary Measures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPPO</td>
<td>European and Mediterranean Plant Protection Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAO</td>
<td>Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAEA</td>
<td>International Atomic Energy Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC</td>
<td>Implementation and Capacity Development Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICPM</td>
<td>Interim Commission on Phytosanitary Measures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFU</td>
<td>Implementation Facilitation Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPP</td>
<td>International Phytosanitary Portal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPPC</td>
<td>International Plant Protection Convention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISPM</td>
<td>International Standard for Phytosanitary Measures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAPPO</td>
<td>North American Plant Protection Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPPO</td>
<td>National Plant Protection Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCS</td>
<td>Online Comment System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OIE</td>
<td>World Organization for Animal Health (Office International des Épizooties)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORS</td>
<td>Online Registration System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCE</td>
<td>Phytosanitary Capacity Evaluation tool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROP</td>
<td>Rules of Procedure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPPO</td>
<td>Regional Plant Protection Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPG</td>
<td>Strategic Planning Group (formerly called SPTA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPS</td>
<td>Sanitary and Phytosanitary Agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPTA</td>
<td>Strategic Planning and Technical Assistance (now called SPG)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOR</td>
<td>Terms of Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICD</td>
<td>Implementation and Capacity Development</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INTRODUCTION

This Procedure Manual includes all relevant Implementation and Capacity Development procedures that have been approved or updated prior to and including the November 2018 Implementation and Capacity Development Committee meeting.

Contracting Parties (CPs) to the International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC) agree to promote the provision of technical assistance to contracting parties, as per the Article XX of the IPPC. The Commission on Phytosanitary Measures (CPM), the governing body of the IPPC, meets annually to review the state of plant health in the world and provide guidance for standard setting; implementation and capacity building; as well as to provide governance to the functioning of the IPPC Secretariat.

This manual presents information and approved/adopted procedures to help with Implementation and Capacity Development activities.

One of the main objectives of the IPPC Secretariat is to facilitate the implementation of the Convention, International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures (ISPMs) and CPM Recommendations. In particular, the Convention encourages support to developing countries in order to build their capacity to improve the effectiveness of their National Plant Protection Organizations (NPPOs) and increase the potential for them to realize the benefits of food security, safe trade and environmental protection. The Convention also encourages participation in Regional Plant Protection Organizations (RPPOs) as the basis for cooperation in achieving the aims of the IPPC at the regional level.

NOTE TO READER:
Blue texts indicate information that was developed and is maintained by the Secretariat. Black text is all adopted or approved and the footnote indicates the source.

Green boxes with white text indicate place holders for text that is still under development.

1 International Plant Protection Convention (November 1997)
1. IMPLEMENTATION AND FACILITATION UNIT OF THE IPPC SECRETARIAT

The Implementation and Facilitation Unit (IFU) of the IPPC Secretariat was established to facilitate the implementation of the IPPC, ISPMs and CPM Recommendations and to help build capacity of CPs.

The work of the IFU, is guided by the IPPC Strategic Framework, National Capacity Development Strategy and priorities set by the CPM. The IPPC Implementation and Capacity Development Committee (IC) oversees activities related to IC topics. The annual Work Plan of the IFU is approved by the Secretary of the IPPC and is then submitted to the Bureau and CPM as well it is shared with the IC.

The main area of activities that the IFU facilitate are:

- To build and manage a strong team of dedicated professionals to implement the IFU work plan
- Develop an annual IFU work plan for approval
- Organize IC and IC Sub-Group meetings and related activities
- Manage projects
- Manage the development, maintenance and application of the Phytosanitary Evaluation Tool (PCE)
- Oversee the development of guides and training materials
- Organize global and regional workshops and training
- Cooperate with the Standard Setting Unit and develop a joint IC-SC work plan for managing the Call for Topics: Standards and Implementation process,
- Publish reports, guides and other communication material
- Facilitate calls, news, announcements
- Coordinate the Technical Consultation among RPPOs and the IPPC Regional Workshops
- Maintain, manage and keep updated web-based information
- Contribute to the governance of the IPPC including CPM, Bureau and Strategic Planning Group (SPG)
- Contribute to the collective management and functioning of the IPPC Secretariat

A staff chart of current staff working in this IFU is posted on the IPP²

The annual work plan for the IFU is also posted on the IPP³

² Link to IFU staff chart: https://www.ippc.int/en/publications/85686/
³ Link to IFU work plan: https://www.ippc.int/en/publications/85803/
1.1 Managing Communications and web-based information

1.1.1 Publications

The IFU has set its yearly communication plan and procedures for production and clearance. A clear procedure has been set for the production and clearance of news items, publications (brochures, factsheets, etc.), announcements, calls, the IFU dedicated webpages on the IPP, certificates, reports and chronicles.

1.1.2 Implementation and Capacity Development web information

The former Phytosanitary Resources Page (www.phytosanitary.info) has been removed and resources are in the process of being migrated to the Phytosanitary Portal (www.ippc.int). A team of IC members is in the process developing a policy for Implementation and Capacity Development (ICD) web resources.

The International Phytosanitary Portal (IPP) serves as a tool to share and exchange relevant information with the IPPC community and provide information to the public. The IPP includes tools to facilitate registration to meetings.

The IPPC Secretariat uses an Online Registration System (ORS) for participants to confirm their participation in the meetings and their contact details. Participants are requested to register their participation through the ORS from the link provided by e-mail.

Meeting documents are posted in the restricted work area for the meeting on the IPP (to access this area, it needs to login on to the IPP). The work area also provides access to a forum and a tool for conducting polls to enable the IC to conduct discussions and make decisions by electronic means (see 6.8 e-decisions).

The web pages related to implementation and capacity development are managed by the IFU with the date of update and contact's name and email address are indicated on each page to ensure to keep the pages up to date.

It is important to differentiate between phytosanitary technical resources developed under the auspices of the IPPC Secretariat and contributed resources. The intention is to make both of these types of resources freely accessible to IPPC contracting parties, plant health professionals and the public.

Guides and training materials (including e-learning courses) are developed under the auspices of the IPPC Secretariat. They follow a process established by the IC. Materials developed under the auspices of the IPPC secretariat are reviewed by IC members and approved by the IC to ensure they are compliant with the provisions of the IPPC and in line with international standards for phytosanitary measures (ISPMs).

Contributed Resources are collected phytosanitary technical resources from the world's plant protection community which are reviewed by the IC and shared on the IPP. Contributed resources are also reviewed by IC members and approved by the IC to ensure they are compliant with the provisions of the IPPC and in line with international standards for phytosanitary measures (ISPMs). Some of them (diagnostic protocols, specific pest control manuals, pest information and phytosanitary risk analysis (PRA)) are posted without review following the below Criteria for posting phytosanitary resources on the IPP.
Criteria for posting phytosanitary resources on the IPP

1) On the use of language:
   - Key words/flags to be taken into account for review are: Standards, guidelines, recommendations.
   - The resources can be proposed in any language; however less used languages are going to have less priority. Priority should be given to UN languages (English, French, Spanish, Chinese, Russian and Arabic). In the process of review of non-UN languages, in-kind translation services could be used by the IFU.
   - A general disclaimer is going to be added to contributed resources page as follows: Resources on this page are not intended to be interpretations of ISPMs and terminology used may not be consistent with ISPM 5. Unless otherwise indicated resources, or pest distributions contained within them are not endorsed/adopted/agreed by the CPM.

2) On the criteria for suitability of technical resources:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Suitable</th>
<th>Unsuitable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assisting with the implementation of core IPPC provisions (obligations, rights and responsibilities)</td>
<td>Incompatible with the provisions of the IPPC text and ISPMs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usefulness and relevance of information for NPPOs:</td>
<td>Non-IPPC standards that could create confusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Applicability to the implementation of specific ISPMs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Relationship with the areas of the IPPC NPCD Strategy.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Used to implement core functions of the NPPO.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Practicality of the material.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has the potential to have international application</td>
<td>Resources endorsed, approved or adopted by the CPM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is peer reviewed</td>
<td>Detection of an infringement of copyright</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of publication</td>
<td>Resources subject to confidentiality agreements, unless the parties agree to its publication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resources (except link) subject to frequent update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resources published or intended to be published in journals and easily accessible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resources addressing other SPS area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regional Standards on Phytosanitary Measures (except Diagnostic protocols)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3) On operational aspects:

---

4 Criteria for posting resources on Phytosanitary Resources Page, approved by CDC 2015-06 see Appendix 6 to report, modified by the IPPC Secretariat when the IC was created and when resources on the former Phytosanitary Resource Page were moved to the IPP.
- Any resource reviewed and noted by other IPPC Subsidiary bodies (not the IC) is automatically posted and the coordination responsibility relies in the pertinent subsidiary body (subject to meet suitability criteria for publication in the phytosanitary resources page).
- The IC may seek advice from other Subsidiary Bodies regarding resources submitted.
- PRA documents, bilateral agreements, comprehensive diagnostic resources, pest factsheets and specific pest control manuals are not subjected to review by the IC and are directly posted in the page.
- The IPPC Secretariat will pre-review videos to consider if they need to be submitted for IC review.
- The IPPC Secretariat is going to propose periodically a priority list for review.

4) Prioritization of review:
- The resources addressing topic areas related to IPPC Technical Resources and IPPC priorities
- The resources able to be applied by a wide range of NPPOs should be given priority over ones only applicable in specific NPPOs or regions.

5) Procedures of the IC to review resources:
- Among the resources contributed, the Secretariat selects five resources in line with the prioritization of review and they are reviewed by the IC with one month deadline.
- In the case where all IC members agree suitability of resources, they would be posted on the IPP as contributed resources.
- In the case where at least one IC member considers a resource unsuitable and/or requests further review, the Chair will initiate a discussion process by electronic means. If consensus is not reached, the resource should not be posted.
- When requested, a reason for not posting or removing a resource should be given to proposers, based on the established criteria, including information on the possible process for revisiting the application.

The review process above is being conducted regularly.

1.2 Managing the application of the Phytosanitary Capacity Development tool

A group of IC members and PCE experts are developing a strategy as well as policies and procedures for managing the PCE, and once agreed by the IC, this information will be inserted here.

The development of the PCE itself, the conditions for its implementation in countries has been a long standing and progressive process supported by countries. The PCE tools provides huge benefits to countries and the IPPC Secretariat considers the improvement and implementation of this tool a priority. The IC is currently in the process a strategy for the PCE tool to consider how to integrate it in relevant strategies and work programmes, how to further improve and promote the tool and how to mobilize resources to conduct further PCEs. This strategy should be drafted in the course of 2018 with inputs from the IC, Bureau, SC, SPG, TC-RPPO and relevant experts.
1.3 Managing the development of guides and training materials

The strategy and the procedure for the development of guides and training materials will set an open, transparent and inclusive documented process for the development and update of IPPC guides and training materials to maximize resources and ensure high quality, consistent tools are produced.

1.3.1 Strategy for the Development of IPPC Guides and Training Materials

I -Objective of the Strategy:

The International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC) implementation and capacity development guides and training materials are tools that guide and assist in the implementation of the IPPC, ISPMs and CPM through providing:

- Accurate and easy to understand technical information
- Best practices to facilitate the establishment and operation of national phytosanitary systems
- A basis for countries, NPPOs and relevant stakeholders, to develop national strategies, policies, legislation, guides, SOPs, training materials and courses
- A basis for building national phytosanitary capacities.

II - Guiding principles for the development of IPPC implementation and capacity development guides and training materials are:

- **Reliability**: compliance with the IPPC, ISPMs and CPM-R and technical accuracy monitored through the incorporation of best practices and peer review
- **Integration**: information is presented in an integrated manner to support implementation and capacity development and improve national phytosanitary systems
- **Efficiency**: materials are easily accessible and are presented logically
- **Continual improvement and updating**: information is updated as per established timeline taking into account feedback provided by users or through the monitoring and evaluation framework of the IPPC Secretariat
- **Standardization and consistency**: a unified, simple and consistent language is used in all materials. Established templates are followed.
- **Sustainability**: the development of IPPC implementation and capacity development guides and training materials is supported by adequate and appropriately trained IPPC Secretariat staff with the required levels of competency and access to adequate resources, including funds
- **Transparency**: an open, transparent and inclusive documented process is followed to assist in the development of high quality and consistent guides and training

---

5 Approved by IC 2018-11 see Appendix 15 to report
6 IPPC guides and training materials - guides, training materials and courses, eLearning, standard operational procedures (SOPs) and etc.
- **Workflow:** standard operating procedures are developed, followed and continuously improved

1.3.2 Process for the Development of IPPC Implementation and Capacity Development Guides and Training Materials

I – Objective:

- Outline stages, methods and processes to develop globally applicable IPPC implementation and capacity development guides and training materials.

- Define roles, responsibilities and involvement of the IPPC Secretariat, Commission on Phytosanitary Measures (CPM), Implementation and Capacity Development Committee (IC), Standards Committee (SC) and Strategic Planning Group, national plant protection organizations (NPPOs), regional plant protection organizations (RPPOs), other relevant organizations and selected phytosanitary experts in the development process.

- Ensure that outputs and outcomes of the Phytosanitary Capacity Evaluation (PCE) tool, contributes to the development of guides and training materials.

- Ensure the development of guides and training material is guided by the Framework for Standards and Implementation, the IPPC Community Monitoring and Evaluation Framework (M&E) and the outcome of the Call for Topics for: Standards and Implementation (adopted by the CPM)

- Ensure that high quality, consistent IPPC guides and training materials are developed through an open, transparent and inclusive documented process.

II - Scope

[2] Describe the process for the development and presentation of implementation and capacity development guides and training materials, developed under the auspices of the IPPC Secretariat.

III - Development Stages

3.1 Overview

[3] The development of IPPC guides and training materials follows the stages below:

1. Topic selection
2. Development of an Outline
3. Establishment of the working group
4. Development of the product

---

7 Approved by IC 2018-11 see Appendix 16 to report
8 IPPC guides and training materials - guides, training materials and courses, eLearning, standard operational procedures (SOPs) and etc.
5. Publication and language versions
6. Periodic update

3.2 Description of stages

1. Topic selection

- Topics for the development and/or revision of IPPC guides and training materials are selected from the submissions to the Call for Topics: Standards and Implementation as per CPM established procedures. All submissions for topics are posted on the International Phytosanitary Portal.

- Submissions for topics should be accompanied by a draft Outline of the guide and/or training material that defines its scope and purpose or include an already developed draft for a specific guide and/or training material. A literature review and justification that the proposed topic meets the CPM approved criteria for topics, as well as commitment for resources to support the development of materials (non-obligatory) would ideally be included.

- Topics could be submitted by NPPOs and RPPOs through the Call for Topics. In exceptional circumstances, the IC or SC may also submit topics.

- The CPM considers the Task Force on Topics recommendations and decides which topics will be added to the list of topics.

2. Development of an Outline

- The IPPC Secretariat considers CPM and TFT comments and revises the draft Outline if needed and sends it to NPPOs and RPPOs for consultation.

- The IC nominates an IC lead to facilitate the development of the material.

- The IC, at its May meeting, reviews and if needed, revises the draft Outline considering consultation comments and approves the Outline. The IC also develops criteria for the selection of experts for the guide or training material.

- The IC approved Outline is posted on the IPP.

- The IPPC Secretariat issues a call (through the IPP) for submission of any existing relevant materials (Call N1).

- Based on the information collected from Call N1, the IPPC Secretariat and the designated IC lead draft a work plan (WP) that includes information about the proposed timeline, meetings, venues and tasks. A draft implementation plan is also drafted to identify activities (training events, workshops, symposia, etc.) and timeline for implementation, **inter alia** communication and public awareness activities. The IC reviews WP and implementation plan through eForum and provides comments.

9 Link to the Process of the Call for topics: Standards and Implementation: https://www.ippc.int/en/publications/85794/
- The IPPC Secretariat issues a call, through the IPP (Call N2) for funds for the development of the approved topics considering the work plan and implementation plan.

- Once funds have been secured, the Secretariat issues a call for nominations of experts, through the IPP (Call N3), to draft, revise and/or review and edit the proposed material. Experts are nominated by NPPOs, RPPOs and relevant scientific or regulatory institutions.

- When nominations are submitted, they must be accompanied by a summary of expertise, an up to date CV and a signed Statement of Commitment.

3. Establishment of the working group (WG)

- The WG should be composed of experts with relevant technical and practical expertise in the subject matter and have a wide geographical representation from both developing and developed countries to ensure that the material developed is globally applicable and reflects best practices from all over the world. It is advisable to include at least one member of the Expert Working Group involved in the development of the ISPM on the same topic, to ensure a coordinated approach and collaboration between those two groups.

- WG experts are selected from submissions received from Call N3 by the IPPC Secretariat, in consultation with the IC lead using criteria specified by the IC. The IPPC Secretariat informs the IC on selection results and the IC may comments on the composition of the WG group through an eForum, IC comments are considered by IPPC Secretariat and IC lead.

- The WG can recommend the addition of experts at any stage if gaps in the expertise are identified; additions are subject to confirmation by the IPPC Secretariat in consultation with the IC lead.

- Where appropriate, the WG can appoint alternate experts with relevant specialties as deemed necessary and in consultation with the IPPC Secretariat and the IC Lead. The IPPC Secretariat keeps the IC informed through updates.

- The IPPC Secretariat will cover travel costs and daily expenses of the WG members applying the Criteria used for prioritizing participants to receive travel assistance to attend meetings organized by the IPPC Secretariat that was in place on the date the Statement of Commitment was signed.10

---

10 As recommended by the second session of the Interim Commission on Phytosanitary Measures (1999), whenever possible, those participating in IPPC activities voluntarily fund their travel and subsistence to attend meetings. Participants may request financial assistance, with the understanding that resources are limited and the priority for financial assistance is given to developing country participants. Requests for financial assistance will be assessed by the Criteria used for prioritizing participants to receive travel assistance to attend meetings organized by the IPPC Secretariat that is in place at the time this statement of commitment was signed (https://www.ippc.int/publications/criteria-used-prioritizing-participants-receive-travel-assistance-attend-meetings). The statistical information in place at the time of signing this statement of comment will be applied for the duration of the term of membership in the relevant IPPC body.
- The WG members are expected to actively contribute both in between and at meetings (both virtual and face-to-face) and respond to email communications (including forum discussions) in a timely manner unless there are exceptional circumstances.

4. Development of the product

- The WG should review and revise the Outline and the work plan, as needed, in consultation with the IC lead and IPPC Secretariat and the IC is informed by the IPPC Secretariat in their update.

- The WG members are responsible for most of the writing, however if financial resources or in-kind contributions allow it and it is deemed necessary, additional authors, with particular expertise, may be requested to write certain parts of the material.

- The WG should reach consensus on the content though consultations and discussions.

- The IPPC Secretariat, IC and SC members should be invited to provide comments on the draft. The duration of the review period is determined by the WG. The WG reviews comments and revises the draft based on the comments as appropriate.

- The product is professionally edited.

- Professional editing might be undertaken before the peer review as needed.

- The product is peer reviewed.

- The layout, presentation and style should follow the IPPC style guide.¹¹

- Technical resources should be written clearly in plain English. A review by non-phytosanitary individuals prior to publication is advisable.

5. Publication and language versions

- The publication of guides and training materials is led by the IPPC Secretariat in collaboration with relevant service providers as deemed necessary and funds allow.

- The final version of the guide or training material will be posted on the IPP.

- Electronic means for distributing the materials will be also used. The IPPC Official Contact points should be notified when electronic versions are available and should be encouraged to make use of electronic versions wherever possible.

- The language versions are to be produced as financial resources allow. Contracting parties, RPPOs, donors and international organizations are encouraged to provide in-kind contributions or financial support for translations. The IPPC Secretariat staff, IC and SC members should make every possible effort to encourage translation of technical resources within the framework of other projects such as FAO, STDF or any other national, regional and international projects they are aware of.

6. **Periodic update**

- The update of materials is conducted based on:
  
  o feedback provided by users through an online surveys or

  o information collected through the IPPC Community’s Monitoring and Evaluation Framework

**IV – Financial considerations**

- Financial resources for the development of IPPC technical resources may come from:

  o funds made available to the IFU of the IPPC Secretariat for the work programme (from regular programme funds or specific trust funds and/or

  o earmarked resources contributed to the IPPC Multi-donor trust fund for the development of the IPPC technical resources contributed by contracting parties, donors and international organizations.

- Partners and donors are encouraged to make contributions for the development of IPPC technical resources as per topics and priorities set by the CPM.

- Whenever possible, WG members should voluntarily fund their travel and subsistence to attend WG meetings.
Flowchart of the Process for the Development of IPPC Guides and Training Materials

Secretariat compiles topic submissions and posts on IPP. The TFT, IC and SC reviews submissions and TFT recommends topics to the CPM. The final decision is made by the CPM.

IC revises submitted Outline, comments and approves the final Outline during its May meeting for the CPM decided topics. The Outline is posted on the IPP.

Call N1 (June) - for submission of any existing relevant materials
Call N2 (June) - for funds for the development of the approved topics
Call N3 (July) - nominating of experts for a working group

IPPC Secretariat and IC Lead select experts. Secretariat informs the IC. IC provides feedback through eForum. IC Lead and IPPC Secretariat consider IC comments.

The WG drafts the material. The IPPC Secretariat, IC and SC provide comments to the WG. The final version is peer reviewed and professionally edited.

IPPC Secretariat leads publication in collaboration with relevant service providers as deemed necessary and funds allow. The final version is posted on the IPP.

Feedback through an online survey
Monitoring and Evaluation Framework
1.4 Managing Projects

The IFU has several implementation projects of different nature in its portfolio and manages them in different ways:

- backstopping is provided to FAO projects having a phytosanitary component
- managing donors projects with guidance from the IC
- managing IPPC Secretariat projects from country contributions, mostly via the IPPC Multi-donor trust fund
- reviewing and ensuring synergies with projects being implemented by other organizations (e.g. IICA).

A template will be produced in the course of 2018 to report on projects in a consistent way. The IC will help ensure their relevance to the IPPC Secretariat activities, promote them and help build synergies and avoid duplication. A summary of these projects will be posted on the IPP.

1.5 Role of regional plant protection organizations in implementation and capacity development

Article IX of the IPPC recognizes the role of RPPOs as coordinating bodies in the area they cover.

A procedure to recognize a RPPO has been set by the IPPC in accordance with FAO rules.

Roles and functions of RPPOs in relationship with the CPM have been revised and adopted by CPM-12 in 2017\(^\text{12}\).

The IPPC Secretariat is in contact with specific RPPO as necessity arises.

1.6 Coordinating the IPPC Regional workshops

Regional technical consultations on draft ISPMs have been organized annually since 2005 in seven regions, involving a large number of countries. The content and focus of these regional workshop evolved over the years at the request of countries.

The IPPC Secretariat has standardized the organization of these regional workshop to ensure both and flexibility in each region.

The CPM Bureau approved Guidelines for IPPC Regional Workshop with clearly defined roles and responsibilities of the different parties involved as below.

SOPs were agreed upon and are implemented at the IPPC Secretariat level for the coordination and organization of the regional workshops.

---

\(^{12}\) Roles and functions of RPPOs in their relationship with CPM: 
Guidelines for IPPC Regional Workshops

The objectives of IPPC regional workshops are:

1) To analyze and prepare comments on draft ISPMs;
2) To build phytosanitary capacity and raise awareness on various activities of the IPPC Community; and
3) To provide a forum to exchanging experiences and ideas at the regional level.

The workshop is normally for three days and the agenda includes the following:

1) IPPC Secretariat updates;
2) Discussion and formulation on draft International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures (ISPMs) for first and second consultation;
3) Phytosanitary capacity and raising awareness on all activities related to the IPPC community and exchanging regional experiences.

Some regions may include additional day(s) for a field visit and/or to discuss issues of regional importance.

General:

Each IPPC Regional Workshop has organizational, logistical and funding peculiarities and efforts should be made to find a balance between addressing global and regional issues.

The organization of the workshops include the following:

1) A regional workshop organizing committee should be established for each workshop and should be composed of the IPPC Secretariat, a representative from the Standards Committee (SC) and the Implementation and Capacity Development Committee (IC) and co-organizers which are representatives of RPPO(s), FAO regional and sub-regional offices, hosting country and any other relevant organizations supporting the workshop;
2) Each organizing committee and participant are encouraged to make efforts to help secure funding for their workshop;
3) These workshops will be named “IPPC Regional Workshop” for consistency and to help ensure the globally visibility of the IPPC. When other governments or institutions provide substantial financial support, their name may be inserted after IPPC, e.g. IPPC-[Institution’s Name] Joint Regional Workshop.
4) Efforts should be made to ensure that at least one SC and one IC member are present.
5) Workshops comments on draft ISPMs should be submitted through the Online Comment System (OCS).

Roles and responsibilities of the different parties involved:

IPPC Contact Point:

1) Nominates an individual(s) with the appropriate expertise to attend the workshop;

---

13 Agreed by the CPM Bureau 2018-06 see Appendix 07 to report.
2) Mobilizes resources (full or at least partial) for the attendance of the designated participant;

3) Ensures that the participant selected to represent the NPPO in the workshop has analysed, before attending the workshop, the draft ISPMs and other documents and completed pre workshop exercises;

4) Ensures the NPPO’s comments on draft ISPMs are entered into the Online Comment System (OCS) before the workshop;

5) If applicable, after the workshop, provides additional NPPO’s comments on draft ISPMs to the IPPC Secretariat, by 30 September of each year or at least submits one general comment for each draft ISPM.

**Workshop participant:**

1) Mobilizes resources (full or at least partial) for his/her participation in the workshop;

2) Analyses draft ISPMs and other documents available and works towards agreed country comments, before attending the workshop;

3) Attends all sessions planned in the program of the workshop and participates actively in the discussions;

4) Provides comments on the draft ISPMs, and shares them within their region using the OCS before attending the workshop;

5) Practices using the OCS before attending the workshop. Guidelines on how to use the OCS are available at [https://www.ippc.int/en/online-comment-system](https://www.ippc.int/en/online-comment-system);

6) Conducts all pre-training activities and prepares all the requested information to be shared and discussed during the workshop;

7) Provides feedback to the workshop evaluation;

8) Shares information and results on the workshop within their NPPO after the workshop.

**Standard Committee Steward for draft ISPMs** prepares a concise presentation on the draft ISPM explaining the key issues discussed during the development of the draft. This presentation should be provided to the IPPC Secretariat by the 15th of June.

**Standards Committee representative** is designated to attend the workshop, as agreed by the SC. They deliver the presentations related to the draft ISPMs and participate in discussions related to the standard setting procedures.

**Implementation and Capacity Development Committee representative** is designated to attend the workshop, as agreed by the IC. They deliver the presentation related to implementation and capacity development activities and exercises, and participate in discussions related to implementation and capacity development.

**A Chair and a rapporteur** are to be elected by the participants. The role of the Chair is to facilitate discussions, the role of the rapporteur is to prepare the workshop report jointly with the Chair and the IPPC Secretariat. The report should be approved by the participants during or shortly after the meeting.
Online Comment System (OCS) expert is selected by the organizing committee. They are responsible to ensure that contracting parties provide comments through the OCS prior to the workshop, present and/or demonstrate how to best utilize the OCS, gather comments during the workshop and provide support to countries to submit comments after the workshop.

Co-organizer:
1) liaises with contracting parties to comment on the draft agenda;
2) provides the facilities needed for the workshop;
3) provides additional logistical arrangements, as agreed with the IPPC Secretariat;
4) provides funds or help mobilize resources;

Resource person: may be invited by the organizing committees, these includes Bureau members, stewards or experts from their regions or other regions and they may participate in discussions. A resource person should not influence discussions on regional issues, particularly comments on draft ISPMs.

Observer: the organizing committee may agree to invite observers from relevant international organizations and NPPOs outside the region. Observers should not influence discussions on regional issues, particularly comments on draft ISPMs.

The IPPC Secretariat:
1) Develops a draft agenda through a consultation process with the SC, IC and Technical Consultation of Regional Plant Protection Organizations (TC-RPPOs). Subsequently, a draft agenda is circulated within the IPPC Secretariat and to all regional workshop co-organizers for further consultation;
2) Establishes an organizing committee for each workshop;
3) Establishes strong collaboration with co-organizers in the regions and discusses all logistical and financial arrangements well in advance;
4) Provides templates and prepares relevant presentations, training material and videos;
5) Coordinates the overall organization of IPPC Regional Workshops. This requires a consistent coordination at the IPPC Secretariat level including joint work between all units of the Secretariat, and between administrative and professional staff;
6) Organizes internal meetings for all IPPC Secretariat staff to become familiar with the regional workshops presentations, as well as training on the use of the OCS;
7) Drafts invitation letters; regions may wish to send their own invitation letter, if so, a copy of their regional letter should be sent to the Secretariat. In addition, a list of intended recipients should be sent to the IPPC Secretariat to help ensure that all contracting parties from the region are invited (regardless of whether they are funded or not);
8) Templates and publishes the report on the IPP up to two months after the workshop;
9) Develops and publishes a news item about the workshop on the IPP no later than 2 weeks after the workshop;

10) Develops and delivers a survey to collect feedback from participants to be used for improving the content and organization of the workshops;

11) Provides a summary of the workshops and information from the evaluation to the Commission on Phytosanitary Measures.

1.7 Coordinating the Technical Consultation of the RPPOs (TC-RPPO)

Article IX of the IPPC recognizes the role of RPPOs as coordinating bodies in the area they cover and provides the provision for the organization of regular technical consultations for RPPOs.

The Technical Consultations of Regional Plant Protection Organizations (TC RPPOs) occurs annually with representatives from each RPPO and the IPPC Secretariat in attendance. There is a rotation of Chairs hosting the TC-RPPO. The agenda is jointly developed by the Chair of the previous and future TC RPPOs in consultation with the other RPPOs and the IPPC Secretariat.

The IPPC Secretariat takes notes and reviews these with the help of a designated rapporteur and assists the RPPO chosen to host the next TC-RPPO by facilitating the FAO processes. An official procedure to convene the TC-RPPO through the FAO protocol is underway since many years.

The IPPC Secretariat facilitates conference calls in between TC-RPPOs when needed and normally representatives of the RPPOs have a meeting on the margins of CPM facilitated by the Secretariat.

1.8 External Cooperation

CPM-09 (2014) considered a paper on the IPPC’s Secretariat’s different types of relationship and which organizations are entitle to these: partnership, cooperation.

1.8.1 Liaison activities with research and educational institutions

The need to strengthen cooperation with research and education institutions has been highlighted during the SPG in 2013. The IFU is the lead for this activity.

Education activities are being conducted with the International Center for Advanced Mediterranean Agronomic Studies (CIHEAM). A 2 weeks intensive training has been organized for 3 years at the CIHEAM Bari entitled “Building phytosanitary capacities” for the students of the Integrated Pest Management Master programme as well as to NPPOs.

The IPPC Secretariat is considering to take a wider approach for education programme and will investigate other relevant training structures to liaise with.

---

1.8.2 Liaison activities with relevant organizations

The IPPC Secretariat liaises with various relevant organizations. Some arrangements are more formal with a Memorandum of Understanding or Cooperation and others are more informal. The IPPC Secretariat maintains a web page on the IPP\textsuperscript{15} that lists the organization we mainly cooperate with and in some cases; these organizations have a web page on the IPP that details our cooperation.

\textsuperscript{15} External Cooperation: https://www.ippc.int/en/core-activities/external-cooperation/
2. IMPLEMENTATION AND CAPACITY DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

To assist the CPM in addressing implementation and capacity development issues, CPM-12 (2017) established the Implementation and Capacity Development Committee (IC) and dissolved the previous Capacity Development Committee (IC).

The Implementation and Capacity Development Committee (IC) was established by CPM-12 (2017) to provide overall strategies and to oversee some of the work in this area that is assigned to them by the CPM. The IC held its first meeting in December 2017. Some of this work was previously carried out by the Capacity Development Committee which functioned between December 2012 and May 2017, when it was dissolved and its functions transferred to the IC.

The CPM sets priorities for the IC.

A list of IC members is updated and posted on the IPP16.

The list of IC Sub-group members is posted on the IPP [see sections below on each IC Sub-group].

A list of IC members supporting IC Sub-groups and IC teams is also posted on the IPP17

2.1 Terms of Reference of the IPPC Subsidiary Body Implementation and Capacity Development Committee – A Subsidiary Body of the CPM18

Note on interpretation, references to implementation mean implementation of the International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC), including standards, guidelines and recommendations adopted by the Commission on Phytosanitary Measures (CPM).

1. Purpose

The IC develops, monitors and oversees an integrated programme to support the implementation of the IPPC and strengthen the phytosanitary capacity of contracting parties.

2. Scope of the IPPC Implementation and Capacity Development Committee (IC)

The IC, under the guidance of the CPM, provides technical oversight of activities to enhance the capacities of contracting parties to implement the IPPC and meet the strategic objectives agreed by CPM. The IC:

- Identifies and reviews the baseline capacity and capability required by contracting parties to implement the IPPC.
- Analyses issues constraining the effective implementation of the IPPC and develops innovative ways to address impediments.

16 Link to list of IC members: https://www.ippc.int/en/publications/85670/
17 Link to IC webpage: https://www.ippc.int/en/core-activities/capacity-development/capacity-development-committee/
- Develops and facilitates delivery of an implementation support programme to enable contracting parties to meet and surpass the baseline capacity and capability.
- Monitors and evaluates the efficacy and impact of implementation activities and reports on progress which indicates the State of Plant Protection in the World.
- Oversees dispute avoidance and settlement processes.
- Oversees national reporting obligation processes.
- Works with the Secretariat, potential donors and the CPM to secure sustainable funding for its activities.

3. Composition
- The IC is composed of twelve experts with relevant skills and experience in implementation of phytosanitary-related instruments and/or capacity development. The Bureau, taking account of the balance of skills and experience required, and geographical representation, selects and appoints the members.
- In addition, one representative from the regional plant protection organizations (RPPOs) and one from the Standards Committee (SC).

4. Functions
The IC has the following functions:

i) Technical work programme
- Identify and keep under review baseline capacity and capability required by contracting parties to implement the IPPC.
- Identify and propose strategies for contracting parties to enhance their implementation of the IPPC, including national reporting obligations, taking into account their specific capacities and needs.
- Review the Secretariat’s analyses of contracting parties’ challenges associated with the implementation of the IPPC.
- Based on an analysis of outputs from the above activities, recommend priorities to CPM.
- Identify and assess new technologies which could enhance implementation.
- Monitor and evaluate actions under the IPPC Strategic Framework, other related strategies, frameworks and work plan(s).

ii) Effective and efficient management of the IC
- Develop, agree and maintain a work plan in alignment with CPM priorities.
- Develop procedures and criteria for the production, oversight and approval of technical resources for implementation.
- Establish, dissolve and provide oversight of sub-groups, undertaking specific activities and tasks.
- Seek advice and/or input on matters relevant to its work programme from technical panels (through the SC) and other groups or organisations that assist the IPPC.
- Periodically review its functions, procedures and outcomes.
- Monitor and evaluate the effectiveness of its activities and products.
iii) Working with the Secretariat
- Develop and manage projects that contribute to achieving the implementation priorities agreed by CPM.
- Provide guidance on implementation and capacity development activities for inclusion in the Secretariat’s work plan.
- Assess and prioritize for inclusion in the International Phytosanitary Portal (IPP) or the Phytosanitary Resources website, as appropriate, technical resources that are relevant for developing capacity to implement the IPPC.
- Promote dispute avoidance as an outcome of effective implementation.
- Oversee the dispute settlement process as required.
- Contribute to the development and maintenance of links with donors, partners and other public and private organizations concerned with implementation and capacity development in the phytosanitary area.

iv) Working with other subsidiary bodies
- Work in close collaboration with the SC to make standards setting and implementation complementary and effective.
- Review the Framework for Standards and Implementation annually and recommend changes to the CPM through the SPG.
- Work with other subsidiary bodies and RPPOs regarding areas of mutual interest.

v) Actions directed by CPM
- Contribute to the delivery of the IPPC Communications Strategy.
- Provide oversight of bodies that have been established by CPM and entrusted to the IC.
- Undertake other functions as directed by the CPM.
- Report to the CPM on its activities.

5. Relationship with the IPPC Secretariat
- The Secretariat is responsible for coordinating the work of the IC and providing administrative, editorial operational and technical, support. The Secretariat advises the IC on the availability and use of financial and staff resources.

6. Relationship with the Standards Committee
The IC collaborates with the SC on the basis of aligned work plans for the implementation of the IPPC. This collaboration will take place at a number of levels (e.g. Secretariat, chairs, members, stewards and sub-groups). The IC includes an SC representative and also selects a representative for participation in SC meetings. Subjects for collaboration will include at least:
- Alignment of work programmes
- Development of implementation plans for standards
- Analysis of responses to calls for topics and issues to be addressed
- Review of the Framework for Standards and Implementation
- Development and implementation of joint projects.
7. Relationship with the RPPOs
RPPOs provide a regional perspective on issues, challenges and the region operating context impacting contracting parties and their NPPOs. RPPOs provide support to contracting parties to enhance their phytosanitary capacities and capabilities. The IC includes an RPPO representative. Areas for collaboration include:

- Exchange of draft work programmes
- Sharing of technical resources and information
- Identification and provision of experts
- Coordination of activities and events, including IPPC Regional Workshops
- Development and implementation of joint projects.

2.2 Rules of Procedure of the IPPC Implementation and Capacity Development Committee (IC) – A Subsidiary Body of the CPM

Rule 1. Membership

The IC is composed of 12 members plus one representative from the regional plant protection organizations (RPPOs) and one from the Standards Committee (SC) of the International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC).

Members are selected on the basis of a balance of expertise with at least one from each Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) region and representation from developing countries. Members should have experience of either implementation of phytosanitary related instruments and/or capacity development and will be selected and appointed by the Commission on Phytosanitary Measures (CPM) Bureau.

The Technical Consultation (TC) among RPPOs and the SC each appoints a representative to the IC through their own processes.

The members and representatives will serve with utmost integrity, impartiality, and independence and will prevent and disclose in advance possible conflicts of interest that may arise in the course of carrying out their duties. If they occur, the Bureau will resolve cases of a conflict of interest.

Rule 2. Qualification for membership

Nominations for members will include documented evidence of their experience in implementation and/or capacity development. This experience should include at least one of the following:

- Demonstrated experience in managing phytosanitary systems;
- Demonstrated experience in delivering phytosanitary capacity development activities;

- In depth knowledge of the IPPC and International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures;
- Experience in the implementation of phytosanitary regulations;
- Other specific knowledge, qualifications and/or experience, for example in developing and delivering training.

Nominees will also have a level of English which will allow them to actively participate in IC meetings and discussions.

**Rule 3. Procedure for selection of members**

The Secretariat will issue a call for members when vacancies arise. Member nominations, including supporting information and a letter of commitment as specified in the call, may be formally submitted by contracting parties or RPPOs.

The CPM Bureau will review nominations against the list of requirements outlined in Rule 2.

Members serve for a term of three years which may be renewed on acceptance of the CPM Bureau.

**Rule 4. Alternate and replacement members**

At least one alternate for each FAO region should be appointed following the selection process detailed in Rule 3 and serves for a term of three years which may be renewed in accordance with that Rule.

An alternate may attend a meeting of the IC in place of a member who is unable to attend.

If a member resigns, no longer meets the qualifications for membership set forth in these Rules, or fails to attend two consecutive meetings of the IC, the member will be replaced. The replacement will be decided by the Bureau maintaining the balance of expertise, and the need to have at least one member from each FAO region. A replacement member will serve for a term of three years starting from the time of appointment.

**Rule 5. Chairperson and Vice-Chairperson**

The chairperson and vice-chairperson of the IC are elected by its members and serve for a term of three years with the possibility of re-election on acceptance of the CPM Bureau.

**Rule 6. Meetings**

The IC will hold two physical meetings a year. Additional meetings may be held when necessary, subject to available staff and financial resources. Meetings of the IC may also be held through electronic means, including by video and teleconference, as necessary.

A majority of members will constitute the quorum to hold meetings.

**Rule 7. Observers and participation of invited experts to IC meetings**

Subject to the provisions of the below paragraph, meetings of the IC will be open, in accordance with the applicable FAO and CPM rules and procedures.
The IC may determine that certain meetings, or part thereof, be conducted without observers, in consideration of the sensitivity or confidentiality of the subject.

With the prior agreement, or at the request, of the IC members, the Secretariat may invite individuals or representatives of organizations with specific expertise, to participate as observers in a specific meeting or part thereof.

**Rule 8. Bodies established by CPM**

A subsidiary body established by the CPM may be entrusted to the oversight of the IC. These bodies will have their own terms of reference and rules of procedure which will have been agreed by the CPM during their establishment.

**Rule 9. IC Sub-groups**

The IC may establish sub-groups to address specific implementation and capacity development issues subject to availability of financial resources. The IC will determine in their terms of reference the tasks, duration, membership and reporting duties of these sub-groups.

The IC may dissolve subgroups when they are no longer required.

**Rule 10. Decision-making**

The IC will endeavour to make decisions on the basis of consensus between members.

Situations where consensus is required but cannot be reached shall be described in the meeting reports detailing all positions maintained and presented to the CPM for discussion and appropriate action.

**Rule 11. Reporting**

The IC will report to the CPM.

### 2.3 Functions of the IC Chairperson, Vice-Chairperson and Rapporteur (in session and inter-sessionally)

The IC has agreed on the functions of the IC Chairperson, Vice-Chairperson and Rapporteur.

#### 2.3.1 Chairperson

The Chairperson of the IC is elected in accordance with the Rules of Procedure for the IC. The main functions of the Chairperson are to:

1) manage the IC during meetings and inter-sessionally
2) provide guidance on the affairs of the IC
3) help ensure participation of IC members and facilitate dialogue and understanding among IC members
4) help the Secretariat to prepare the agenda and report of the meetings

---

20 Approved by SC 2008-11 see Appendix 3 to report, IC agreed they also apply to ICD activities at IC 2018-05, the section on rapporteur was approved by IC 2018-11 see Appendix 17 to report.
5) represent the IC at IPPC meetings
6) upon request by the Secretariat, represent the Secretariat at other meetings
7) assist the Secretariat to liaise with technical panels to identify and resolve overlaps in their work programmes and functions
8) report to the Commission on IC activities and provide the IC with guidance on how to implement Commission decisions
9) finalize decisions taken via electronic means and address cases of lack of consensus during IC-discussions via electronic means.

2.3.2 Vice-Chairperson
The Vice-Chairperson of the IC is elected in accordance with the Rules of Procedure for the IC. The main function of the Vice-Chairperson is to assist and replace the IC Chairperson as necessary.

2.3.3 Rapporteur
All ICD related meetings select a Rapporteur if a meeting report will be produced. The Rapporteur of an IC meeting is elected by the IC members participating in that meeting. The main functions of the Rapporteur are to:

- ensure that the report prepared by the Secretariat is an accurate record of the discussions and decisions of the meeting
- assist the Secretariat in drafting, reviewing and finalizing the SC meeting report
- facilitate the SC e-mail discussions in relation to points of the SC reports.

2.4 Financial considerations
Whenever possible, IC members and those participating in implementation and capacity development activities should voluntarily fund their travel and subsistence to attend meetings. Members may request financial assistance from the FAO for meetings other than those associated with the Commission meeting, with the understanding that the priority for financial assistance is given to representatives from developing countries.

The financial resources made available to the Secretariat for the work programme, including savings realized by members and others voluntarily accepting costs for participation in the IC or activities associated with implementation and capacity development activities, be directed as far as possible to expanding the work programme for additional implementation and capacity development activities and assisting the participation of representatives from CPs from developing countries.

Sponsors and donors be encouraged to make contributions to the work programme.

2.4.1 Rules for directed financial assistance for implementation and capacity development activities (sponsorship)

---

21 Noted by ICPM-2 (1999), modifications agreed by the IC for ICD activities at IC 2018-05.
22 ICPM-2 (2002) see Appendix XI to report, modifications agreed by the IC for ICD activities May 2018.
The provision of external resources for implementation and capacity development activities should:

- be applied only for topics that are approved as priorities by the Commission
- not create an undue resource drain on the work programme of the Secretariat
- not displace core programme priorities
- follow the normal procedures, policies and practice of implementation and capacity development activities with no modifications according to the preferences of the funding entity.

The IPPC Secretariat also applies the criteria used for prioritizing participants to receive travel assistance to attend meetings organized by the IPPC Secretariat.\(^{23}\)

The organization that employs a meeting participant is responsible for funding the travel and daily subsistence allowance for that person to be able to attend an International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC) meeting. If the employer is unable to allocate sufficient funds, participants are encouraged to seek assistance from other sources. Where such demonstrated efforts to secure assistance have been unsuccessful, requests for assistance (i.e. travel and subsistence costs) from the IPPC Secretariat may be made. IPPC Secretariat funds may be made available to help assist attendance at meetings but are limited and if so, Criteria used for prioritizing participants to receive travel assistance to attend meetings organized by the IPPC Secretariat will be used.

Exceptions are at the discretion of the IPPC Secretariat.

2.5 Duties and associated tasks of IC members\(^ {24}\)

IC members have a number of duties directly concerned with IC activities by virtue of their membership of the IC. Normally, however, IC members also undertake any one or several of a number of other roles IC-Sub-group Rules of Procedures. The other duties of IC members are listed in the following sections.

2.5.1 Basic duties directly related to the evaluation of IC activities

The basic duties of the IC member include contribution to the work that the IC:

1) Identifies and reviews the baseline capacity and capability required by contracting parties to implement the IPPC.
2) Analyses issues constraining the effective implementation of the IPPC and develops innovative ways to address impediments.
3) Develops and facilitates delivery of an implementation support programme to enable contracting parties to meet and surpass the baseline capacity and capability.
4) Monitors and evaluates the efficacy and impact of implementation activities and reports on progress.
5) Oversees dispute avoidance and settlement processes.

---

\(^{23}\) IPPC criteria for receiving travel assistance: [https://www.ippc.int/en/publications/1036/](https://www.ippc.int/en/publications/1036/)

\(^{24}\) Approved by SC. (SC 2006-11, Paragraph 104, modified by the SC 2008-11 see Appendix 5 to report.) Modifications were approved by the IC for ICD activities (IC 2018-11 see Appendix 18 to report).
6) Oversees national reporting obligation processes.
7) Works with the Secretariat, potential donors and the CPM to secure sustainable funding for its activities.

2.5.2. Time requirements

The participation as an IC member may involve a considerable time input. The estimate of this time

Input would be, as a minimum:

- 2 meetings per year.
- Average of 4 hours per week to review draft materials (e.g. guides and training materials, strategies)

This may be increased if the member is an IC lead and/or they participate in any IC Sub-groups or IC working groups, regional workshops and/or other IPPC meetings.

IC members should have the required time available to participate in IC meetings. In addition to this time commitment, member governments should ensure that their members can attend IC meetings.

2.5.3. Regional communication

IC members are requested, where possible, to assist with the communication of information regarding the implementation and capacity development activities to countries within their region. This could be done by discussing the issues with other regional experts, attending regional workshops, or contributing to supplementary written information on implementation and capacity development activities. IC members should also be available to official contact points about inquiries on the IC activities.

If a region considers it valuable, the region could be encouraged to assign one or more members of the IC from its region to help play a role in facilitating the communication between the IC and NPPO and RPPO within their region. 25

2.5.4. Duties of IC members the IC Sub group when they are not an IC lead

Each IC Sub-group should have an IC lead, selected by the IC26. The IC member can be a basic member of the group (see Guidelines for the operation of expert working groups) or can be an IC lead (see Duties and associated tasks of IC members and Guidelines on the role of lead and assistant IC lead s). The IC member may assist with the IC Sub-group more than an ordinary member because of their experience. The duties of a SCIC member of the IC Sub group who is not an IC lead may include:

- Prior to the meeting of the IC Sub group:
  - assist with the arrangements for the meeting
  - offer their advice to others organizing the meeting.

---

25 For SC, adopted by CPM-7 (2012) see Appendix 4 to report (Decision 18).
26 Rules of procedure for IC Sub-groups
- During the IC Sub group meeting:
  - explain any processes, as necessary
  - act as the Chairperson or rapporteur if required
  - participate as an expert
  - assist the lead as required.
- At the IC meeting:
  - act as a backup to the IC lead to explain the draft Sub-group activities and the main discussion points during the IC Sub group meeting

### 2.5.5. Duties of IC Sub-group leads

It is intended that most IC Sub-groups will have an IC lead that is a IC member. The functions of an IC lead are described in detail in *Guidelines for the role of a IC lead*. A brief summary of these duties are:

- participate in the selection of Sub-group members
- explain the IC activities process to the IC Sub-group
- assist the IC and Secretariat in the development of discussion papers
- assist the Secretariat in the organization and running of the meeting
- explain the main points of the IC Sub-group discussion and answer questions assist in the analysis of comments.
- Participate and follow up to activities of the Sub-group

### 2.5.6. Examination of outlines of implementation resources

The IC member carefully reviews the outlines of implementation resources that are prepared by, or under the auspices of, the Secretariat.

The IC member reviews the outlines of implementation resources by:

- discussing to ensure the outlines of implementation resources will produce a globally acceptable implementation resources
- ensuring the outlines of implementation resources accurately describe the title and the scope and purpose of the intended-implementation resources
- ensuring the tasks and other elements of the outlines of implementation resources are correctly identified
- proposing modifications if necessary assisting in the analysis of comments.

### 2.5.7. The examination of procedural and administrative documents

Procedural and administrative documents (e.g. terms of reference and rules of procedure of various groups) are reviewed by the IC to ensure they are consistent, flexible and feasible.

### 2.5.8. Other duties of other IC members

These include:

- approval of the membership of IC Sub-groups on a regular basis
- approval of IC leads
- approval of subjects for specific area as proposed by IC Sub-groups
- establishment of open-ended discussion groups
- review of priorities for IC activities proposed by the SPG (formerly SPTA) with the opportunity to add other priorities
- Collaboration with other relevant organizations
- undertake other duties as requested by the Commission.

2.6 Duties and associated tasks of RPPO and SC representatives on the IC

SC and RPPO representatives in the IC are encouraged to work in close collaboration with the IC to make standards setting and implementation complementary and effective. Work with the IC regarding areas of mutual interest.

SC representative Duties and associated tasks
The SC representative collaborates with the IC on the basis of aligned work plans for the implementation of the IPPC. This collaboration will take place at a number of levels (e.g. Secretariat, chairs, members, stewards and subgroups).

The IC includes an SC representative and also selects a representative for participation in SC meetings.

Subjects for collaboration will include at least:
- Alignment of work programmes
- Development of implementation plans for standards
- Analysis of responses to calls for topics and issues to be addressed
- Review of the Framework for Standards and Implementation
- Development and implementation of joint projects.

RPPOs representative Duties and associated tasks
RPPOs provide a regional perspective on issues, challenges and the region operating context impacting contracting parties and their NPPOs. RPPOs provide support to contracting parties to enhance their phytosanitary capacities and capabilities. The IC includes an RPPO representative.

Areas for collaboration include:
- Exchange of draft work programmes
- Sharing of technical resources and information
- Identification and provision of experts
- Coordination of activities and events, including IPPC Regional Workshops
- Development and implementation of joint projects.

2.7 Guidelines on the role of IC lead and assistant lead

27 Approved by IC 2018-11 see Appendix 19 to report
28 Based on SC: Guidelines on the role of lead and assistant steward (s) and modification was approved by IC 2018-11 see Appendix 20 to report.
The first guidelines on the role of an IC lead were drafted in response to recommendations from ICPM-6 (2004) on an expanded role of an IC lead: “They should be invited to relevant IC meeting to assist the work of the IC on the activity that the IC lead is responsible for and that the Secretariat should supply editorial expertise to assist an IC lead in carrying out their role”.

These guidelines were revised in response to changes in the responsibilities of IC leads based on the Implementation Procedure manual and the decision to encourage the IC to assign an IC lead and one or two assistant leads for each activity.

2.7.1 Selection of the IC lead and assistant IC lead (s)

The IC lead(s) should be a member of the IC. They are selected by the IC.

Proposed IC lead should recognize that considerable time may be required.

For IC sub-groups, the IC should endeavour to select replacement IC leads in time to allow for overlap at one meeting with the outgoing IC lead.

2.7.2 Roles, responsibilities, duties and tasks of the IC lead

The role of the IC lead is to oversee an IC Sub-group and lead the development of the associated draft implementation resource(s), from the moment the IC lead is assigned to the development of the implementation resource. The IC lead is the IC representative and has the responsibility to liaise between the IC Sub-group and the IC. The functions of an IC lead vary according to the nature and complexity of the IC Sub-group or draft implementation resource. The IC lead and IC should assist the Secretariat to ensure that the IC Sub-group follows the guide and training material development process.

The IC lead is expected to attend the IC Sub-group meeting when the IC activity is first discussed. The IC lead is invited to meetings where the IC activity, draft outline of the implementation resources or draft implementation resource will be discussed (i.e. IC, IC Sub-group and CPM meetings). If attending the meeting is not possible, the IC lead should consider attending virtually or request the assistant lead attend in his or her place.

The IC lead may seek assistance from the assistant IC lead with any of the following responsibilities.

Time commitment

The estimated time requirements for the involvement of IC lead in a single activity is at least eight weeks, including, but not limited to, the following activities:

1. reading documents;
2. revising the draft outline of the implementation resources;
3. developing discussion papers;
4. attending IC sub-group/working group meetings;
5. preparing a presentation for regional workshops on the IPPC;
6. attending IC meetings and briefing IC members as appropriate.
(7) The IC lead may be present at least one day before the IC meeting to consult and arrange for upcoming meeting with the secretariat staff.

Contracting parties (and the regional plant protection organizations (RPPOs) they are members of) are encouraged to support the production of standards by supporting the work of the IC lead whenever possible.

Upon request of the IC lead, the Secretariat will communicate to the FAO representative of the IC lead’s respective country the responsibilities and time needed for the stewardship.

Prior to the IC Sub-group meeting
The IC lead may be asked to:
- provide guidance to the Secretariat and SE IC in relation to the selection of experts for the IC Sub-group;
- liaise with the Secretariat to ensure that discussion papers are produced for the required meeting.

The IC lead may also prepare a draft work plan prior to the IC Sub-group meeting with the assistance and help of the IPPC secretariat. This draft work plan should be submitted by the IC lead to the Secretariat at least two weeks before the IC Sub-group meeting, to allow sufficient analysis and review by all meeting participants.

During the IC Sub-group meeting
The IC lead is expected to:
- explain the Rules of Procedure of the Sub-group;
  - Have a good understanding of the history, background, important discussion points and previous decisions on the activity. If some issues are unclear, the IC lead should discuss the matters with the Secretariat, assistant IC lead or members of the IC;
  - assist the Secretariat in revising the draft standard;
- assist the Secretariat in drafting the meeting report.

After the IC Sub-group meeting, the IC lead is responsible for reviewing the meeting report.

2.7.3 Role of the assistant IC lead(s)

The role of the IC assistant lead is to assist the IC lead in his or her responsibilities on all aspects of the activity as described in these guidelines as requested by the IC lead.

The IC assistant lead is not expected to attend meetings. However, if, at any time, IC lead is not able to attend a meeting or if he/she is no longer available, the assistant lead may be asked to undertake the IC lead role during a meeting.

The IC assistant lead should provide written comments, if any, at appropriate times to assist the IC lead in the Implementation process.

The IC reviews the assignment of IC lead and assistant lead and may decide that an assistant lead should become the IC lead.
Communication will normally be by e-mail, conference calls or e-decisions or other virtual means and the assistant lead should have access to all documents related to the IC Sub-group/area that he/she is assigned. The assistant lead may also be invited to participate in the IC Sub-group meetings virtually if possible.

2.8 e-decisions: Implementation and Capacity Development Committee procedures for discussing and making decisions by electronic means

Initiation of electronic discussion and decision-making
Issues for electronic communication do not need to be first identified at a face-to-face meeting of the IC.

To initiate a discussion via electronic means, an IC member may submit the proposed topic and a proposed timeline for discussion to the Secretariat. In consultation with the IC lead, the Secretariat communicates the topic for discussion and the timeline to the IC. If a decision is needed as a result of the discussion, the IC lead will provide a summary of the discussion and a proposed decision to the IC to be taken.

Types of discussion and decisions that the IC can make by electronic means
The types of discussions and decisions listed below may be made through the use of electronic communication:

- approval of recommended IC Sub-groups members and experts
- comment on documents in the reviewing process
- clearance of draft outlines of the implementation resources submitted by NPPO/RPPO
- approval of draft outlines of the implementation resources
- comment on the draft implementation resources
- any other tasks decided by the CPM or the IC during a face to face meeting
- exceptional cases determined in consultation with the Secretariat and the IC lead.

Rules for agreement
If there are no objections by the deadline, the IC is considered to be in agreement and a course of action in line with the decision should be taken.

If one or more IC members raise objection before the deadline, there is no consensus.

If there is no consensus, the IC lead should summarize the issues and try to reformulate the proposed decision and submit for another round of consultation among IC members in order to try to reach consensus.

If there is still no consensus, the IC lead should communicate what he/she feels are the main points to the IC.

29 IC 2018-05 modified SC e-decision process and approved. Further modification was approved by IC 2018-11.
Timeframe for response
Normally three weeks (except in urgent cases and for simple decisions).

Combined duration of a forum followed by a poll should be three weeks (two-week forum, one-week poll) and that three weeks would be allowed if a poll was used alone. In exceptional circumstances, this duration could be shortened by the Secretariat in consultation with the IC lead.

Secretariat email notice to IC members
The IC decided that the IC members would receive email notice of forums and polls (including the passage from a forum to a poll), and would continue receiving automatic notification emails when members have contributed in a forum or in a poll.

Communication of decisions made electronically
Final decisions taken during discussions via electronic means should be communicated to all IC members so that they are aware of the final outcome.
Both Processes 1 and 2
Stage 5: Finalization
- If the results of the poll indicate consensus, the moderator’s summary would be posted on the IPP as the finalized decision.
- If one or more IC members raise an objection, the moderator (with input from the IC leads) should summarize the issues, reformulate the question and repeat the process in order to try to reach consensus.
- If the process has been repeated and there remains no consensus, the IC leads should list what he/she feels are the main points. This would be posted on the IPP and the issue should be added to the agenda of the next IC meeting for further discussion and decision.

Both Processes 1 and 2
Stage 1: Initial Question
- The IC leads (in consultation with the Secretariat) decides whether the issue is likely to require discussion (process 2) or could be made through response to a simple poll, with the option of discussion if necessary (process 1).
- An initial question is posted on the IPP, together with some background information.
- A moderator (usually the IPPC Secretariat is identified and process timeframes are listed on the IPP).
- An e-mail is sent to the IC members providing a link to the IPP.

Process 2 – Complex issues
Stage 2: Group discussion forum
Timing: The Forum would normally stay open for two weeks.
- This is the main stage for member input on more complex issues.
- The group discusses the issue for a specified period of time using a discussion forum interface where posts are visible to group members when logged on to the IPP restricted area.

Process 1 – Simple decision
Stage 2: member poll
Timing: Usually 3 weeks. In exceptional circumstances, this duration could be shortened by the Secretariat in consultation with the IC leads.
- This is the main stage for the member input on simple issues.
- Members are polled on their responses to the initial question using survey tool interface.
- Option given to discuss issues further by converting to process 2 for 1 week and using discussion forum. This option must be chosen by the specified date.

Process 2 – Complex issues
Stage 3: Moderator summary
Timing: at the end of the stage 2.
- Moderator (with input from the IC leads) analyzes the group responses posted on the discussion forum and summarizes these in a succinct statement describing what the consensus appears to be.
- If there is no clear consensus, based on the group discussion, the moderator summarizes the main arguments and can decide to extend Stage 2.

Both Processes 1 and 2
Stage 3: Moderator summary
Timing: after the stage 2.
- The ICPR and the previous process (if any) decide whether the issue is likely to require discussion (process 2) or could be made through response to a simple poll, with the option of discussion if necessary (process 1).
- An initial question is posted on the IPP, together with some background information.
- A moderator (usually the IPPC Secretariat is identified and process timeframes are listed on the IPP).
- An e-mail is sent to the IC members providing a link to the IPP.

Both Processes 1 and 2
Stage 4: Member poll
Timing: Usually 1 week.
- Members are polled on their responses to the moderator’s summary.

Figure 1: This diagram presents the process for an electronic decisions mechanism to implement the IC procedures for electronic discussion and decision-making.

30 SC 2010-11 see Appendix 6 to report, updated SCs 2011-05. Modification was approved by IC 2018-11.
2.9 Deadlines for meetings (invites, funding, papers and reports) for IC related meetings

The following due dates apply for IC related meetings:

- Invites, 3 months prior
- Requests for funds for travel assistance, 6 weeks prior
- Discussion papers to Secretariat, 4 weeks prior
- Discussion papers posted on IPP, 2 weeks prior
- Meeting reports: eight weeks after the meeting for CPM Subsidiary bodies and 4 week after the meeting for other meetings

2.10 Call for Topics: Standards and Implementation

The CPM-13 (2018) agreed that a combined call for topics for Standards and Implementation resources is made every two years. Topic proposals are submitted to the IPPC Secretariat (IPPC@fao.org) within the deadlines established by the Secretariat that year (normally until August). The submission form for topics is available on the IPPC (see ANNEX 6). Submissions should address the Criteria for justification and prioritization of the proposed topic (see 2.10.4), and, where possible, information should be provided to support the justification and that assist in the prioritization. Submissions should preferably be made in an electronic format.

Topics are solicited include the following:

Standards:

- new topics for International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures (ISPMs)
- new components to existing ISPMs, such as supplements, annexes or appendices
- revisions or amendments to adopted ISPMs, supplements, annexes, appendices or glossary terms
- pests for which a new diagnostic protocol should be developed

Implementation:

- new implementation resources (e.g. guides, training materials, e-Learning modules)
- revisions to existing implementation resources

Submission of Topic proposals

---

31 Deadlines 2011-06 Bureau see Appendix 3 to report, with some additions by IPPC Secretariat.
32 Agreed by CPM-13(2018) see Appendix 7 to report.
CPs and RPPOs are invited to submit detailed proposals for new topics or for the revision of existing material to the IPPC Secretariat.

The CPM-13 (2018) requested CPs and RPPOs to use the Framework for Standards and Implementation as a reference when responding to the call for topics in order to fill gaps identified by the Framework. The CPM-11 (2016) agreed that any submission in response to a call for topics should clearly define the problem needing resolution in sufficient detail to determine how it fits into the Framework of Standards and implementation and the cost/benefit of the development of the standard or implementation tool.

Submissions should be accompanied by a draft specification for standards or a draft outline for implementation resources, and also include a literature review and a justification how the proposed topic meets the CPM-13 approved criteria for justification and prioritization of proposed topics. To indicate a broader need for the proposed topic, submitters are encouraged to gain support from other contracting parties and/or RPPOs and to provide letters of support from them.

Submitters are requested to review the documents below:

1. Submission form for topics for standards and implementation
2. Criteria for justification and prioritization of proposed topics
3. Framework for Standards and Implementation
4. List of Topics for IPPC Standards

After the call closes, the Secretariat will compile the list of proposed work programme topics from the received submissions and post them on the IPP. Submissions from previous calls will not be considered unless resubmitted in the year. In a process agreed to by CPM-13 (see 2.10.1), the Task force for Topics (TFT) will screen the submitted topics against established criteria for justification and prioritization of proposed topics and develop recommendations to the Standards Committee (SC) and Implementation and Capacity Development Committee (IC) for in-depth review. After evaluating the entire list of submissions and associated recommendations, the SC and IC will recommend topics for the development of standards and/or implementation resources and suggest an associated position in the Framework for Standards and Implementation. The TFT will discuss SC and IC outcomes, agree with and prepare recommendations and priorities to the recommendations to be presented to the CPM for adoption.
2.10.1 Flow chart for the process of the Call for topics: standards and implementation

The Process of the Call for Topics: Standards and Implementation

(The Call to be issued once in two years)

---

1 As agreed by CPM-13 (2018)
2.10.2 Terms of Reference and Rules of Procedure of the Task Force on Topics

1. Scope of the Task Force on Topics

The Task Force on Topics (TFT) assists the Implementation and Capacity Development Committee (IC) and the Standards Committee (SC) in the process of the Call for Topics: Standards and Implementation.

The functions of the TFT are:

- to screen the submitted topics against established criteria for justification and prioritization of proposed topics, using a clear prioritization score scheme agreed on by the TFT and develop recommendations to the IC and SC on the better way to address the topics: by a standard or by an implementation resource.
- to review if the submitted topics could be addressed jointly between the IC and the SC
- to discuss the topics recommended by the SC and IC and prepare the final paper on recommended topics for adoption by CPM

2. Structure of TFT

TFT consists of seven members, three of whom are members of the IC (including the Chair of the IC), three are members of the SC (including the Chair of the SC), and one is a CPM Bureau member.

3. Establishment of TFT

Members of the TFT are selected by the IC, the SC and by the CPM Bureau. IC, SC and CPM Bureau should each select one replacement member, to participate in the work of the TFT when members are not available.

2.10.3 Rules of procedure for the Task Force on Topics

Rule 1. Membership

Members of the Task Force on Topics (TFT) should be members of the Implementation and Capacity Development Committee (IC) or the Standards Committee (SC) or the Commission on Phytosanitary Measures (CPM) Bureau, and should be able to participate in the work of TFT.

The IC, the SC and the CPM Bureau should review the membership of TFT as necessary, taking into account, in particular, changes in the membership of the IC, the SC or the CPM Bureau.

Rule 2. Procedure for nomination and selection of TFT members

Members of TFT are selected by the IC (three members and one replacement) and by the SC (three members and one replacement) and by the CPM Bureau (one member and one replacement).

---

34 Agreed by CPM-13(2018) see Appendix 09 to report.
35 Agreed by CPM-13(2018) see Appendix 09 to report.
The Secretariat maintains the membership list of TFT on the IPP.

**Rule 3. Period of membership**

Members of TFT may serve for the period of their membership in the IC, the SC or the CPM Bureau. The IC, the SC or the CPM Bureau may, in accordance with Rule 2 of these Rules of Procedure, change or amend the respective membership of TFT at any time. Members may at any time withdraw from the TFT.

**Rule 4. Chairperson and Vice-Chairperson**

Meetings of the TFT are chaired by the CPM Bureau member.

The Vice-Chairperson of TFT is elected from the TFT membership by the TFT members for a two years’ term.

The Chairperson, or in the absence of the Chairperson or the CPM Bureau replacement member, the Vice-Chairperson, shall preside at meetings of the TFT and shall exercise such other functions as may be required to facilitate the work of the TFT. A Vice-Chairperson acting as a Chairperson shall have the same powers and duties as the Chairperson.

**Rule 5. Observers**

TFT should not allow observers.

**Rule 6. The IPPC Secretariat**

The IPPC Secretariat provides administrative, technical and editorial support for the TFT meetings.

**Rule 7. Meetings**

TFT should work as necessary, generally after each call for topics. E-mail, teleconferencing, e-decisions and other virtual communication methods should be used where possible to prepare and conduct the meetings of TFT. Face-to-face meetings will be held as needed.

A meeting of the TFT shall not be declared open unless there is a quorum. The presence of a majority of the members of the TFT (four members) is necessary to constitute a quorum.

**Rule 8. Approval**

Decisions of TFT are taken by its members only. Approvals relating to draft documents and agreement on recommendations provided to the IC and the SC should be by consensus and communicated to the IC and the SC. If consensus is not reached, contentious issues should be mentioned and positions explained in the meeting report and brought to the attention of the IC and the SC.

**Rule 9. Reports**

The report of each TFT meeting should be published on the IPP. The reports should be presented to the IC and the SC and the CPM Bureau

**Rule 10. Working language**

English should be the working language of TFT meetings.
Rule 11. Amendments

Amendments to the Terms of Reference and Rules of Procedures, if required, should be adopted by the CPM.

A web page for the Call for Topics: Standards and Implementation (https://www.ippc.int/en/core-activities/standards-and-implementation/call-for-topics-standards-and-implementation/) has been created and the form for submitting topics to the IPPC Secretariat is attached as Annex 06.

2.10.4 Criteria for Justification and Prioritization of Proposed Topics

Priority will be given to topics with the largest global impact.

Core criteria (must provide information. It is expected that all submissions meet the following core criteria):

- Contribution to the purpose of the IPPC as described in article I.1.
- Linkage to IPPC Strategic Objectives (SOs) and Organizational results demonstrated.
- Feasibility of implementation at the global level (consider ease of implementation, technical complexity, capacity of NPPO(s) to implement, relevance for more than one region).
- Clear identification of the problems that need to be resolved through the development of the standard or implementation resource.
- Availability of, or possibility to collect, information in support of the proposed standard or implementation resource (e.g. scientific, historical, technical information, experience).

Supporting criteria (provide information as appropriate)

Practical
(1) Is there a regional standard and/or implementation resource on the same topic already available and used by NPPOs, RPPOs or international organizations.
(2) Availability of expertise needed to develop the proposed standard and/or implementation resource.

Economic
(1) Estimated value of the plants protected.
(2) Estimated value of trade including new trade opportunities affected by the proposed standard and/or implementation resource (e.g. volume of trade, value of trade, the percentage of Gross Domestic Product of this trade) if appropriate.

Environmental
(1) Utility to reduce the potential negative environmental consequences of certain phytosanitary measures, for example reduction in global emissions for the protection of the ozone layer.
(2) Utility in the management of non-indigenous species which are pests of plants (such as some invasive alien species).

36 Agreed by CPM-13(2018) see Appendix 08 to report.
(3) Contribution to the protection of the environment, through the protection of wild flora, and their habitats and ecosystems, and of agricultural biodiversity.

*Strategic*

(1) Extent of support for the proposed standard and/or implementation resource (e.g. one or more NPPOs or RPPOs have requested it, or one or more RPPOs have adopted a standard on the same topic).

(2) Frequency with which the issue to be addressed, as identified in the submission emerges as a source of trade disruption (e.g. disputes or need for repeated bilateral discussions, number of times per year trade is disrupted).

(3) Relevance and utility to developing countries.

(4) Coverage (application to a wide range of countries/pests/commodities).

(5) Complements other standards and/or implementation resources (e.g. potential for the standard to be used as part of a systems approach for one pest, complement treatments for other pests).

(6) Conceptual standard and/or implementation resource to address fundamental concepts (e.g. treatment efficacy, inspection methodology).

(7) Urgent need for the standard and/or implementation resource.

3. IC SUB-GROUPS

Under rule 9 of the IC ROP, the IC may establish sub-groups to address specific implementation and capacity development issues. When doing this, the IC will determine, in their terms of reference the:

- tasks,
- duration,
- membership and
- reporting duties

The IC may dissolve subgroups when they are no longer required.

The IC has approved Rules of Procedure (ROP) that apply to all IC Sub-groups.

3.1 Implementation and Capacity Development Committee Sub-groups Rules of Procedure

**Rule 1. Membership**

Members of Implementation and Capacity Development Committee(IC) Sub-groups are selected from the IC, but may also be selected from outside of the IC when required. Members should have the necessary technical and subject matter experience, and should be able to participate and contribute to the proceedings. The IC Sub-group Lead is considered a member.

---

37 IC November 2018 agreed to the IC Sub-groups Rules of Procedure (ROP) and to recommend the ROP to CPM for adoption via the CPM Bureau. The CPM Bureau December 2018 agreed that the ROP was under the oversight of the IC and that the IC had the authority to approve the ROP and they did not need to be presented to the CPM.
Membership of Sub-groups should be reviewed by the IC on a regular basis and may be adjusted as necessary. The IC should take into account changes in the needs for scientific or other expertise or changes in the professional duties required of the members.

**Rule 2. Procedure for nomination and selection of Sub-groups members**

Members of Sub-groups are nominated and selected according to the following:

1. the IPPC Secretariat requests nominations for Contracting Parties (CPs) and Regional Plant Protection Organizations (RPPOs) through a call, as directed by the IC, requesting a signed Statement of Commitment and an updated Curriculum Vitae;
2. the call is primarily addressed to CPs and RPPOs, but may also be addressed to other international organizations and targeted industry stakeholder groups in cases where specific relevant expertise is required;
3. the IPPC Secretariat compiles and, summarizes the nominations, and then submits them to the IC. The IC selects the members based on the selection criteria from the terms of reference and their demonstrated expertise. This is communicated to the IPPC Secretariat. Nominees who are not selected will be informed by the IPPC Secretariat; and
4. the IPPC Secretariat maintains lists of Sub-group members on the IPP.

**Rule 3. IC Sub-group Lead**

The IC will select a Lead for each Sub-group from IC members and under exceptional circumstances, it may select someone who is not a member of the IC. Exceptional circumstances include cases where changes to the IC Sub-group Lead would jeopardize progress of the work of the Sub-group.

The IC Sub-group Lead is responsible for liaising between the IC and the Sub-group ensuring the Sub-group follows their terms of reference and guidance given by the IC.

In some cases, the IC may appoint an assistant IC Sub-group Lead.

**Rule 4. Participation and contribution of members to Sub-group meetings**

The IC may replace the Sub-group members who fail to attend two consecutive meetings or do not contribute to the work of the Sub-group. Replacement members may be drawn from the pool of nominations and selected as per Rule 2.4.

**Rule 5. Participation to meetings by observers**

Observers may attend a Sub-group meeting by invitation only. The Sub-group recommends which observers should be invited and requests approval from the IC. The Sub-group may determine that certain meetings, or part thereof, be conducted without observers, in consideration of the sensitivity or confidentiality of the subject.

Contracting parties or organizations hosting a meeting may send up to a maximum of two observers to attend a meeting.

**Rule 6. Meetings**
Members elect a Chairperson and a Rapporteur at each Sub-group meeting.

Sub-groups should meet virtually using E-mail, teleconferencing and other modern communication methods. Meetings should be planned well in advance, in consultation with the IC Sub-group Lead. The Sub-group may meet face-to-face, subject to availability of funds.

Sub-group members should work according to their Terms of Reference, or guidance given by the IC and follow IC approved procedures.

The Sub-group will elect a Rapporteur from its membership. Major discussion issues should be noted in the report and the rationale for conclusions should be recorded. The Rapporteur shall ensure that the report prepared by the IPPC Secretariat is an accurate record of the discussions and decisions of the meeting, assist the IPPC Secretariat in drafting, reviewing and finalizing the Sub-group meeting report. A report of each Sub-group meeting should be published on the IPP once approved by the rapporteur. The Rapporteur serves until the meeting report is finalized.

**Rule 7. Decision making**

Decisions should be taken by consensus and communicated to the IC by the relevant IC Sub-group Lead. Only Sub-group members can be involved in decision making. If consensus is not reached, contentious issues should be brought to the attention of the IC, with positions explained in the report, and the IC will provide guidance on how to proceed.

**Rule 8. Reporting**

An update on the activities of the Sub-group should be presented at an IC meeting by the IC Sub-group Lead. The update may advise the IC of specific actions that they are requested to take.

Out of session updates may be requested by the IC as necessary.

**Rule 9. Working Language**

English should be the working language of Sub-groups.

**Rule 10. Amendments**

Amendments to these Rules of Procedure shall be approved by the IC.

### 3.2 Guidelines for the organization of IC Sub-groups and expert groups

Once the CPM approves a topic (and the IC approves an Outline for the topic) or the IC establishes an IC Sub-group (and the IC approves their TOR), the IPPC Secretariat organizes a meeting, normally after resources are identified to hold the meeting and a date and venue have been set.

Contracting parties or organizations are encouraged to host these meetings. The meetings should be held in an area that could offer the group exposure to the issue(s) they will be addressing in their drafting. Hosting normally entails making all local logistical arrangements (local transport, conference facilities and arranging local dinners, lunches and coffee breaks...
as needed), arranging for a relevant field trip (normally half day), an official hosted reception and/or dinner and in some cases some funding to support the Secretariat (staff) and meeting costs (including travel costs).

**Procedure for nomination and selection of participants:**

- nominations are requested in response to a call opened by the IPPC Secretariat;
- nominations may be submitted by contracting parties (CPs), NPPOs or RPPOs, through their IPPC official contact points;
- nominees are requested to provide (online when possible) an up to date copy of their CV and provide a summary of their expertise (in line with the Outline or TOR and on a specific form provided by the Secretariat). In addition, experts must sign a Statement of Commitment which is also countersigned by their supervisor and the financial manager.
- the Secretariat reviews the nominations to ensure the proper documentation has been submitted. In addition, the documentation is reviewed and analyzed by the Secretariat, in consultation with the IC lead, for recommendation of experts to the IC.
- the IC reviews the recommendations and decides which nominees will be selected: when reviewing and selecting experts, the IC:
  - should aim to have members representing a wide geographic area (including developing country participation);
  - should have a IC lead, who is normally an IC member;
  - should allow the organizer to designate two observers who can attend the meeting, regardless of the group’s composition;
  - may have observers or invited experts as agreed by the IC
- lists of the IC Sub group members, including invited experts and observers approved by the IC, are made available on the IPP.

Participants are invited to a meeting where they work on the tasks approved by the IC. The participants address their tasks and a meeting report is prepared and submitted to the IC.

The organization of these meetings is normally done by the IPPC Secretariat, with varying levels of assistance from an organizer.

There is some need for flexibility and deviations from the procedures on a case-by-case basis for administrative contingencies.

**Funding**

All costs connected to the participation in the meeting should, wherever possible, be funded by the governments or employers of the participant. IC Sub group members may be entitled

---

to travel assistance, according to the *Criteria used for prioritizing participants to receive travel assistance to attend meetings organized by the IPPC Secretariat*[^39]

Participation of observers designated by the organizer is funded by the organizer.

**Location of the meeting**

The meetings may be held in Rome, Italy at FAO headquarters or at FAO offices located around the world (e.g. regional) or they can be arranged in other locations depending on the organizer.

For meetings organized outside FAO, the organizer should propose a location in a country deemed to be acceptable for the organization of UN meetings. The location should preferably be in a city that is easy to reach by international flights (e.g. that does not require several in-country flights or flight and train), but may be in another location provided logistical arrangements have been put in place. Meetings to be held in developing countries are encouraged.

**Meeting venue**

For meetings held at the FAO Headquarters in Rome or in other FAO Offices, the IPPC Secretariat, in general, uses FAO offices to make logistical arrangements.

For meetings organized outside FAO, the organizer is expected to find a suitable meeting room for the expert group to meet (usually Monday to Friday from 9:00 to 17:00) which provides for adequate space for the participants. The meeting venue is normally an office provided by the organizer but may be in a hotel meeting room.

In cases where FAO pays for the meeting venue, the organizer is requested to solicit estimates from three venues. When there is a “FAO preferred hotel programme (PHP)” in the city where the meeting will be held, the hotel chosen should be preferably a hotel on the FAO PHP and in this case, three quotes may not be needed. The estimates should include the costs, including taxes, gratuity and any other additional expense for:

- the meeting room per day
- two coffee breaks per day (when there are various options, these should be detailed)
- lunch (if so desired)
- projector and screen, and any additional items such as flip charts, white boards, water, printing and similar
- the cost of accommodation for a standard hotel room, both with and without breakfast.

**Meeting resources**

The usual meeting resources required for an expert group meeting include:

- A quiet room large enough to accommodate the meeting participants, with natural lighting where possible
- white boards, flip chart and marker pens

[^39]: Criteria used for prioritizing participants to receive travel assistance to attend meetings organized by the IPPC Secretariat: [https://www.ippc.int/en/publications/1036/](https://www.ippc.int/en/publications/1036/)
- adequate internet connection
- computer, projector and a screen
- coffee/tea making facilities for work breaks
- possibility to print documents and make copies (e.g. 20-30 pages long documents) for all participants.

If a printer in the meeting room has a high cost, other printing options should be investigated which may include printing at the hotel’s business center or in an external service provider. Coffee breaks should be arranged twice a day and they may include either just hot drinks or hot and cold drinks, and snacks. The decision on this should be made in conjunction with the IPPC Secretariat, when the Secretariat is funding the meeting. Otherwise, the organizer may determine the best option directly.

**Hotel bookings**

The organizer should identify a suitable hotel for participants for their accommodation, which may ideally be the hotel where the meeting is taking place or close to the meeting venue, so that people do not lose time in transports.

The organizer should assist in booking or pre-booking hotel rooms for participants. The hotel should be able to hold pre-booked rooms for a certain time. The IPPC Secretariat or FAO cannot be liable for hotel rooms (for instance in case of no show of some participants), except for hotel rooms for the IPPC Secretariat or experts from developing countries entitled to funding by the IPPC Secretariat (as per the Criteria used for prioritizing participants to receive travel assistance to attend meetings organized by the IPPC Secretariat. It is therefore essential that the block booking is made to allow for adequate flexibility for changes or cancellations, and that the hotel is arranged for well in advance.

For meetings at the FAO Headquarters in Rome, the IPPC Secretariat does not make hotel bookings. Names and addresses of accommodation are provided on the IPPC Procedure Manual for Implementation and Capacity Development page 51 of 159.

**Time schedule for the organisation of expert drafting group meetings**

The Secretariat, in consultation with potential organizers schedules meeting dates and venues and posts them on the IPP Calendar[^41].

**At least 3 months prior to the meeting**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The organizer:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>provides detailed local information to the IPPC Secretariat</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The IPPC Secretariat:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>invites meeting participants to the meeting, indicating the date and place of the meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>makes a call for discussion papers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[^40]: Names and addresses of accommodation: [https://www.ippc.int/en/publications/1035/](https://www.ippc.int/en/publications/1035/)

[^41]: Link to IPP Calendar: [https://www.ippc.int/en/year/calendar/](https://www.ippc.int/en/year/calendar/)
Meeting participants:
undertake necessary arrangements to obtain travel authorization from their authorities, if appropriate
ensure their visa and travel arrangements are completed in time

At least 6 weeks prior to the meeting

Meeting participants:
confirm attendance in the meeting and register for the meeting via the IPPC online registration system.
request financial assistance if needed, taking into account the Criteria used for prioritizing participants to receive travel assistance to attend meetings organized by the IPPC Secretariat.

At least 2 weeks prior to the meeting

The IPPC Secretariat:
posts all meeting documents
2.11.4 Roles of meeting organisers and participants

Roles and responsibilities

IPPC Secretariat
The IPPC Secretariat is expected to:

- plan a meeting date and seek an organizer
- provide resources for the meeting, if held on FAO premises
- approve budget being paid by the Secretariat for meetings organized outside the FAO premises
- send a letter of invitation to participants (especially for the purpose of obtaining visas) and interact with the FAO visa office if needed
- liaise with organizer, IC lead and meeting participants as appropriate
- arrange with the IC lead and the meeting participants for the production of discussion papers
- coordinate the organization of the meeting and be responsible for the delivery of the meeting report
- be responsible for the processing of the draft ISPM(s).

Organizer
The organizer is expected to:

- select the location for the meeting in consultation with the Secretariat, make local arrangements, book meeting rooms and arrange for coffee breaks
- assist in hotel bookings and obtaining visas, if needed (for instance, by liaising with national embassies or other government services involved, providing support letters as needed)
- arrange for local transportation as appropriate, including transfer from/to airport and from the hotel to the meeting venue (this would depend on the availability of public transports, reliable taxis, safety conditions and where the meeting room is located in respect to the hotels) or provide a suitable information
- work with the IPPC Secretariat to develop a local information document providing detailed information on: meeting venue, hotels (address and booking conditions), airport, local transportation, list of eating places in the vicinity of the venue/hotel, local conditions, maps as needed, medical information, safety, weather, etc. (the IPPC Secretariat will provide a template for this document to the organizer)
- have facilities to provide copies of working papers and of documents drafted during the meeting, as appropriate
- arrange (usually) for a half day field trip for the experts to visit something related to the topic of the meeting (usually on Wednesday afternoon); the field trip may be followed by a dinner for the group; a welcome cocktail may also be organized on Monday when the meeting starts
- Provide, where possible, a rapporteur (who could be regarded as a resource outside of the expert drafting group).

The IC lead is expected to:

- have a good understanding of the Outline or ToFR and explain the requirements of the Outline or ToFR. If some issues are unclear, the IC lead should interpret, to the best of their ability what is expected from the IC perspective
- liaise with the IPPC Secretariat to ensure that discussion papers are produced
- assist with the running of the meeting
- assist the IPPC Secretariat to complete the draft standard, when necessary
- assist the IPPC Secretariat in the delivery of the meeting report.

The duties of the IC lead and assistant lead are discussed in more detail in Guidelines on the role of IC lead and assistant lead (see section 2.7)

**Chairperson**

The Chairperson of the meeting is selected at the meeting. The function is: to keep the meeting running smoothly and ensure participation by all participants.

The Chairperson is expected to:

- act as facilitator for the meeting participants
- assist the IPPC Secretariat, IC lead and rapporteur to prepare the meeting report
- be involved, where appropriate, with the IC lead in incorporating the comments of the participants into the document being developed
- facilitate the meeting discussions and ensure everyone has a chance to speak
- find compromises, agreements and to summarize conclusions.

Members

The members should:

- Take responsibility for their travel and accommodation arrangements and visa requirements. Experts are expected to be in attendance for the entirety of the meeting and should plan to arrive before the meeting starts and depart after the meeting concludes. They should undertake whatever needs to be done in a timely manner so there are no urgent arrangements to be made by the organizers.
- prepare discussion papers, consulting with national or regional experts, as required
- actively participate in the expert drafting group meeting, and in e-mail or forum discussions prior to and after the meeting, if appropriate
- study discussion papers prior to the meeting and develop specific comments and text as appropriate
- in reflecting their individual viewpoints, aim to produce globally acceptable standards
- assist IC lead as needed, particularly when reviewing comments
- respond, as appropriate, to comments to draft ISPMs within the agreed time.

Rapporteur

This is an extremely important supporting function. Each meeting requires a rapporteur to ensure the meeting report accurately reflects the discussions. The rapporteur, where possible, should assist the IPPC Secretariat with the meeting report and should review the report, acting with the final clearance on its content.

Observers designated by the organizer

At least one of these observers should be the key contact for local matters. They would be expected to provide local information to participants and to the IPPC Secretariat, and make all local logistical arrangements (such as booking the meeting room and equipment, pre-booking the hotel rooms, booking restaurants as needed, taking people to the doctor if needed, etc.). In addition they should provide emergency support when needed.

Output of the meeting

The meeting should complete the drafting of the document and the meeting report.

In exceptional circumstances, the draft document may not be finalized and further discussions via e-mail are required. The IPPC Secretariat will then distribute the draft to the meeting participants and request them to submit comments within the agreed time. These discussions should be limited to one month after the meeting and the draft document should then be submitted to the IPPC Secretariat. Participants are requested not to share the draft document outside of the group until it is presented to the IC.
Where substantial work still needs to be done on the draft document, the IPPC Secretariat, in consultation with the IC lead and IC and subject to resources, may arrange for an additional meeting.

The meeting report should note major discussion points or contentious issues. When finalized, usually after the meeting, the report should be made available on the IPP.

The IC lead should be familiar enough with the issues of the draft(s) discussed in the meeting to be able to attend an IC meeting and discuss the draft with the IC.

Guidance on drafting documents is available in the IPPC Style Guide42.

3.3 Deadlines for posting expert drafting group meeting papers and report43

The following deadlines apply for posting on the IPP the meeting papers and reports for expert drafting group meetings:

- Papers: 2 weeks prior to the meeting
- Meeting reports: 8 weeks after the meeting.

---

43 2011-06 Bureau see Appendix 3 to report.
4. SPECIFIC IC SUB-GROUPS

The IC has established the following three Sub-groups:

- Dispute Avoidance and Settlement (DAS)
- Implementation, Review and Support System (IRSS)
- Sea Containers Task Force (SCTF)

4.1 Dispute Avoidance and Settlement (DAS)

4.1.1 Dispute Avoidance and Settlement Implementation and Capacity Development Committee (IC) Sub-group Terms of Reference

1. Purpose

The IC Sub-group will provide support, guidance and advice on phytosanitary related disputes to the IC and IPPC Secretariat in accordance with the relevant CPM procedures.

2. Duration

The IC Sub-group will operate until May 2020. Term duration may be lengthened upon agreement by the IC.

3. Membership

The IC Sub-group should consist of 4 to 6 members with combined technical, phytosanitary and if possible legal experience, considering wide geographic representation (including developing country participation).

4. Conflict of interest

The IC Sub-group will take the necessary measures to avoid any conflicts of interest that may arise from the operations of the IC Sub-group.

5. Tasks

The IC Sub-group operates under the guidance and supervision of the IC, and serves as a forum to:

5.1 Dispute avoidance:
1. Provide guidance on options for dispute avoidance.
2. Provide support and inputs for the development of advocacy and guidance materials to promote dispute avoidance.
3. Support capacity development activities which promote dispute avoidance.

---

IC Sub-group on DAS TOR approved by IC 2018-11 see Appendix 12 to report
4. Identify and analyze case studies and lessons learned from publicly available disputes and specific trade concerns to be used in the development of a work plan for dispute avoidance.

5. Provide advice on the actions or ways to promote dispute avoidance procedures.

6. Undertake other functions related to dispute avoidance as directed by the IC.

5.2 Dispute settlement:
1. Oversee the revision of the CPM adopted procedures for dispute settlement, as needed.

2. Review nominations of independent experts based on the relevant CPM procedures and make recommendations to the IC.

3. Provide advice on the actions or ways to promote the dispute settlement procedures.

4. Undertake other functions related to dispute settlement as directed by the IC.

6. Reporting
The IC Sub-group reports to the IC annually and if necessary, upon request.

7. Rules of Procedure
The IC Sub-group Rules of Procedure will apply to the IC Sub-group on Dispute Avoidance and Settlement.

8. Amendments
Amendments to these Terms of Reference, if required, shall be approved by the IC.

4.1.2 Dispute Settlement Procedures

IPPC Dispute Settlement Procedures

A. INTRODUCTION

1. At its Second Session in October 1999, the ICPM adopted general considerations and dispute settlement procedures proposed by the Informal Working Group on Dispute Settlement Procedures to fulfill one of the functions charged to the ICPM in its Terms of Reference (ICPM INF-2). The ICPM also agreed that the Informal Working Group would undertake to further elaborate certain aspects associated with the procedures as follows:

   a) undertake to develop rules and procedures for the approval of Expert Committee reports by the ICPM or its subsidiary body;
   b) analyze the need for the establishment of a subsidiary body on dispute settlement and make recommendations on structure, functions, and membership;
   c) undertake to develop rules and procedures for the establishment of expert rosters and the selection process;

---

d) develop standard formats for dispute settlement reports;

e) examine the possible roles and functions of regional plant protection organizations (RPPOs) in IPPC dispute settlement procedures;

f) develop standard terms of reference that may be used by the Expert Committee;

g) develop rules concerning the attendance of observers in Expert Committee procedures;

h) explore the possibilities for enhancing developing countries' ability to participate effectively in dispute settlement procedures;

i) consider guidelines concerning the sharing of expenses associated with dispute settlement;

j) address any other matters referred to it by the ICPM regarding dispute settlement.

2. The Informal Working Group met 9-12 May 2000 at FAO Headquarters in Rome. Representatives of the governments of Brazil, Finland, New Zealand, Portugal, and the United States were in attendance. Documents provided by the Chairperson (Finland) and the United States served as references. Discussions followed the outline of charges given to the group by the ICPM. Proposals from the meeting were subsequently reviewed, modified for correctness, and approved by the FAO Legal Office for submission to the ICPM as presented below.

B. General considerations

3. In considering the need for a subsidiary body of the ICPM devoted specifically to oversight, administration, and support of IPPC dispute settlement procedures, the Informal Working Group considered several factors. In particular, it noted that a subsidiary body would provide needed support to the role of the ICPM with regard to dispute settlement in the WTO and other organizations while generally strengthening and specializing the dispute settlement function of the IPPC. It would promote a high level of consistency and professionalism in procedures and reports, including all points in Expert Committee procedures (point 4 of the existing dispute settlement procedure). In addition, it is envisioned that a subsidiary body would reduce workload pressures on the Secretariat.

4. In considering the nature of a subsidiary body, the Informal Working Group suggests that the group should not be large, as it should be cost-effective and be able to respond quickly when necessary. It was considered that expertise and a balance of perspectives were essential elements. In particular, it was agreed that the group should be composed of individuals with the qualifications and commitment to assist in guiding a global phytosanitary dispute settlement system that considers the needs and perspectives of both developing and developed countries.

5. In considering options for the composition and structure of a subsidiary body, the Informal Working Group noted a number of possibilities including the option of using only the ICPM and Secretariat as is currently the practice. This was considered to be inadequate to meet future needs of Members if the IPPC dispute settlement procedures were to be made attractive as an option for dispute settlement. In particular it was considered difficult and awkward to manage many aspects of the dispute settlement procedures based on annual meetings of all Members. In addition, it was anticipated that direct support to the Secretariat would be minimal in such a scenario.
6. As an option it was considered that the Bureau of the ICPM could be used as the subsidiary body for dispute settlement. This was deemed more appropriate but was found to have the disadvantage of uncertainty regarding the level of interest and expertise that may be found in the Bureau and the membership would lack continuity beyond two years. Likewise, the idea of ad hoc working groups formed at ICPM meetings had limited appeal as this approach also did not encourage continuity or account for expertise.

7. The most viable options considered by the Informal Working Group were to either form a sub-group selected from the membership of the Standards Committee (proposed seven members) or establish a subsidiary body of the similar size directly from the membership of the ICPM (see recommendations below).

C. FUNCTIONS OF A SUBSIDIARY BODY ON DISPUTE SETTLEMENT

[See the SBDS Terms of Reference below for the functions.]

D. STRUCTURE AND MEMBERSHIP OF A SUBSIDIARY BODY

[See the SBDS Terms of Reference below for the Structure and the Rules of Procedure below for the membership.]

E. RULES OF PROCEDURE OF THE SUBSIDIARY BODY

[See the SBDS Rules of Procedure below.]

F. PROCEDURE FOR APPROVAL OF EXPERT COMMITTEE REPORTS

The procedure for approval of Expert Committee reports is as follows (see also Decision-making above):

(a) an initial report, including dissenting views, if any, is prepared by the Expert Committee;
(b) the Expert Committee may make the initial report available to the disputing governments for informal consultation;
(c) the initial report is transmitted to the Secretariat and the FAO Legal Office in English;
(d) comments from FAO are transmitted to the Expert Committee;
(e) a 2nd draft report is prepared by the Expert Committee, if necessary, considering comments from FAO;
(f) the 2nd draft report is submitted to the subsidiary body for approval (verifying that the steps of the Expert Committee procedure and standard review and reporting format have been followed); and
(g) the final report as approved by the subsidiary body is submitted by Expert Committee to the Director-General of FAO for distribution to the disputing parties.

G. EXPERT ROSTERS

22. Establishment of rosters. The expert roster is established and maintained by the Secretariat. The roster is composed of phytosanitary experts and other individuals with
expertise relevant to plant protection or the application of phytosanitary measures. Rosters are made available on request to official contact points.

23. Experts for the roster are nominated by ICPM Members through official contact points. The Secretariat may also seek or accept through official contact points other specialized expertise as necessary for additions to the roster. RPPOs or other organizations may provide advice in this regard.

24. Applications for inclusion on the roster are made by submission through contact points of a completed FAO Personal History Form (PHF) and/or Curriculum Vitae. Minimum information to be supplied includes:

- name, age and contact information;
- current position;
- nationality;
- language ability;
- period of availability;
- scientific and technical (including phytosanitary) background;
- professional experience; and
- knowledge, experience or qualifications with dispute settlement procedures.

25. The roster will be validated by the Secretariat every three years by requesting that the nominating organization or individual provide updated information. Experts may be removed from the roster based on a request by the expert or ICPM Members, or where information is not verified or updated when requested by the Secretariat.

H. SELECTION OF EXPERTS

26. Experts designated by the disputing parties. Each disputing party designates a representative for the Expert Committee. Where several parties are involved in a dispute, parties initiating or responding to the dispute consult to choose only one expert (ensuring that the Expert Committee consists of only two experts nominated by disputing parties and only five members total).

27. Selection of independent experts

(a) Criteria used by the IPPC Secretariat. In selecting independent experts to propose for an Expert Committee, the IPPC Secretariat considers the following factors:

i) scientific/technical background relevant to the dispute;
ii) independence (no financial or other personal interest in the outcome of the dispute); and
iii) ability to serve in his/her individual capacity as an expert.

The Secretariat should avoid nominating experts from the disputing parties, recognizing that at times it may be necessary to nominate experts from the disputing parties to obtain the most appropriate expertise.
(b) Selection procedure. The Secretariat and parties propose independent experts for selection by parties. Where parties cannot agree on experts, the subsidiary body may nominate experts. Where the parties cannot agree on experts nominated by the subsidiary body, no expert committee can be formed.

I. FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

28. Costs associated with specific dispute settlement procedures between parties. Parties determine the distribution of all costs when developing the Terms of Reference for the dispute. It is recommended that parties adopt a flexible attitude toward the provision of resources to facilitate the dispute settlement process, including the provision of assistance to developing countries to increase the possibilities for their use of IPPC dispute settlement procedures.

29. Costs associated with the experts include:

i) administration and arrangements for expert meetings;

ii) interpretation/translation where necessary;

iii) travel and subsistence (includes fees and salaries for the three independent experts unless agreed otherwise).

J. RULES FOR OBSERVERS IN THE EXPERT COMMITTEE PROCEDURE

30. The disputing parties and the Chairman of the Expert Committee agree on observers to be included and the rules of conduct for observers in Expert Committee proceedings. Where there is no agreement on the number and type of observers, no observers are allowed. Where the presence of observers is agreed, but there is not agreement on the conduct of such observers, observers will only be allowed to attend but cannot participate.

K. ENHANCING PARTICIPATION OF DEVELOPING COUNTRIES

31. The ICPM and disputing parties are encouraged to consider the special needs of developing countries, in particular to identify technical assistance for dispute settlement. Dispute settlement procedures of the IPPC may involve:

- Secretariat assistance subject to available resources;

- developed countries voluntarily provide all or partial funding for dispute settlement with developing countries when the developed country has initiated the dispute; and

- Training on dispute settlement procedures may be added to other training activities.

L. ROLE OF RPPO'S

32. RPPOs may have any role in dispute settlement that is agreed by disputing parties and the RPPO. It is recommended that RPPOs assuming such a role develop the capability to adequately administer such procedures.

33. In the case of IPPC Expert Committee procedures, RPPOs may:

- assist in obtaining nominations for expert rosters;

- assist with administrative support and provision of facilities or resources for dispute settlement among parties within their region;
M. GENERAL FORMAT FOR EXPERT COMMITTEE TERMS OF REFERENCE

34. If Parties do not agree on the Terms of Reference for the Expert Committee, no Expert Committee can be established.

35. Principle Terms of Reference. The Expert Committee is required to:

- obtain a signed agreement between parties on the procedure;
- arrange for the presentation of information;
- evaluate the information and formulate recommendations; and
- prepare IPPC Dispute Settlement Expert Committee Report

36. Elements of these tasks:

   a) Obtain a signed agreement between parties which covers the following:

      i) Identification of parties and issues
         1) identify party(ies) initiating the dispute settlement procedures;
         2) identify party responding to the dispute;
         3) identify Expert Committee and Chairperson;
         4) identify observers (according to Rule I);
         5) initiating party identifies and defines the issue(s) at dispute, specifying the points alleged to be in conflict with the interpretation or application of the IPPC or ISPMs; and
         6) Parties identify tasks of the expert committee – clarify expectation.

      ii) Proceedings:
         1) means of presentation of information;
         2) language(s) to be used for documents and discussion (note: report must be in English);
         3) conduct of Observers;
         4) distribution of costs (subject to provisions of Section H);
         5) location and facilities;
         6) administrative support arrangements, including whether/how proceedings are recorded; and
         7) timetable, including submission of information, number of meetings, and presentation of report.

   b) Arrange for presentation of information.

      The Expert Committee solicits the submission of information from disputing parties. Methods of presentation may include documents only, and/or verbal presentations as agreed in advance. The Expert Committee may seek additional information from the disputing parties or other sources, as it deems necessary and contingent upon explicit agreement of the disputing parties.

   c) Evaluate information and formulate recommendations:
i) review scientific and other information;
ii) assess relationship of the issue and information to the specified provisions of the IPPC and ISPMs; and
iii) formulate conclusions and recommendations as required.

d) Prepare IPPC Dispute Settlement Expert Committee Report

The Expert Committee prepares the IPPC Dispute Settlement Expert Committee Report with the following elements:

Executive summary
Introduction
  o identify disputing parties;
  o statement of background and issue(s) at dispute;
Technical aspects of the dispute
  o summary of positions of disputing parties;
  o summary of Expert Committee analyses of scientific and technical aspects
  o assessment of the relationship of the issue to the specified provisions of the IPPC and ISPMs
  o conclusions of the Expert Committee
Dissenting views (if any)
Recommendations
  o proposal(s) for resolution and options if appropriate
Attachments
  o ToR
  o identity of Expert Committee
  o list of documents and source (if not confidential)
  o other information deemed useful by the Expert Committee

N. FORMAT FOR IPPC SECRETARIAT REPORTS ON FORMAL CONSULTATIONS AND OTHER DISPUTE SETTLEMENTS THAT MEMBERS WISH TO HAVE RECORDED

37. Results of consultations: The Secretariat’s report on the results of informal or formal consultations includes the following elements:
   − statement of background and issue(s) under consultation;
   − identity of consulting parties;
   − summary of positions of consulting parties; and
   − outcome.

38. Other disputes Members wish to have recorded: Reports of the Secretariat on other disputes Members wish to have recorded by the ICPM follow the format for the IPPC Dispute Settlement Expert Committee Report described above and is based on information supplied by Members in this format.
TERMS OF REFERENCES FOR THE SUBSIDIARY BODY ON DISPUTE SETTLEMENT (SBDS)\textsuperscript{46}

The SBDS was dissolved by CPM-12 (2017) but these TOR are maintained as they are referred to in the CPM Dispute Settlement Procedure.

1. **Scope of the Subsidiary Body on Dispute Settlement**

   The Subsidiary Body on Dispute Settlement manages the dispute settlement functions of the CPM and provides assistance to the CPM with regard to dispute settlement in the WTO and other organizations.

2. **Objective**

   The main objective of the Subsidiary Body on Dispute Settlement is the oversight, administration and support of the IPPC dispute settlement procedures.

3. **Structure of the Subsidiary Body on Dispute Settlement**

   The Subsidiary Body on Dispute Settlement consists of 7 members, one member drawn from each of the FAO Regions.

4. **Functions of the Subsidiary Body on Dispute Settlement**

   The Subsidiary Body on Dispute Settlement has the following functions:

   1. Provide guidance to the Secretariat and disputing parties in selecting appropriate dispute resolution methods and may assist in conducting or administering consultation, good offices, mediation, or arbitration.
   2. Propose nominations for independent experts using Expert Committee procedures (see the report of the second session of the ICPM, Appendix IX, Section 4 and the report of the third session of the ICPM, Appendix XI, Section H, paragraph 27b) where the disputing parties cannot agree on experts proposed by the Secretariat.
   3. Approve reports of Expert Committees including verification of all points in Expert Committee procedures (see the report of the second session of the ICPM, Appendix IX, Section 4 and the report of the third session of the ICPM, Appendix XI, Section F); and
   4. Undertake other functions as directed by the CPM, which may include:
      a) assist the Secretariat with requests from WTO or other organizations;
      b) report on IPPC dispute settlement activities as well as dispute settlement activities undertaken or completed by other organizations that have implications for the phytosanitary community;
      c) assist in identifying appropriate experts (e.g. for WTO dispute settlement);
      d) assist in review and maintenance of expert rosters; and
      e) identify appropriate training opportunities.

\textsuperscript{46} CPM 04 (2009) see Appendix 16 to report
5. **IPPC Secretariat**

The Secretariat provides administrative, technical and editorial support as required by the Subsidiary Body on Dispute Settlement. The Secretariat is responsible for reporting and record keeping regarding the dispute settlement activities.

**RULES OF PROCEDURE FOR THE SUBSIDIARY BODY ON DISPUTE SETTLEMENT (SBDS)**

The SBDS was dissolved by CPM-12 (2017) but this ROP is maintained as they are referred to in the CPM Dispute Settlement Procedure.

**Rule 1. Membership**

Membership of the SBDS is open to contracting parties. Members serve for terms of two years, with a maximum of six years unless a region submits a request to the CPM for an exemption to allow a member from within its region to serve an additional term. In that case, the member may serve an additional term. Regions may submit requests for additional exemptions for the same member on a term-by-term basis. Partial terms served by replacements shall not be counted as a term under these Rules.

**Rule 2. Replacement of members**

Each FAO region shall, following its own procedures, nominate a potential replacement for members of the SBDS and submit it to the CPM for confirmation. Once confirmed, potential replacements are valid for the same period of time as specified in Rule 1. These potential replacements should meet the qualifications for membership set forth in these Rules.

A member of the SBDS will be replaced by a confirmed potential replacement from within the same region if the member resigns, no longer meets the qualifications for membership set forth in these Rules, or fails to attend two consecutive meetings of the SBDS.

The national IPPC contact point should communicate to the Secretariat any circumstances where a member from its country needs to be replaced. The Secretariat should then inform the relevant FAO regional chair.

A replacement will serve through the completion of the term of the original member, and may be nominated to serve additional terms.

**Rule 3. Chair**

The subsidiary body shall elect its Chairperson and Vice-Chairperson from among its membership.

**Rule 4. Qualifications of subsidiary body members**

Experts shall have:

1. experience in phytosanitary systems;
2. familiarity with the IPPC and International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures;

---

<sup>47</sup> CPM04 (2009) see Appendix 16 to report
3. experience with regulations/legislation; and
4. preferably some form of dispute settlement or conflict resolution knowledge, qualifications and/or experience.

**Rule 5. Sessions**

Meetings to accomplish the functions of the SBDS, in particular for the review and approval of Expert Committee reports and the development of reports for the CPM, shall be set by the SBDS in consultation with the Secretariat as required. The subsidiary body will normally work by mail, facsimile and e-mail, and in the most cost-effective manner within the available resources.

A meeting of the SBDS shall not be declared open unless there is a quorum. The presence of a majority of the members of the SBDS is necessary to constitute a quorum.

**Rule 6. Observers**

Meetings of the subsidiary body are generally open according to Rule VII of the Rules of Procedure for the CPM, but the subsidiary body may determine that certain meetings or business need to be conducted without observers, in particular where confidential or controversial information is involved.

**Rule 7. Decision-making**

The subsidiary body shall strive for consensus on all decisions but may vote where necessary using a 2/3 majority to take decisions. Decisions shall include dissenting opinions where requested.

**Rule 8. Amendments**

Amendments to the functions and procedures of the subsidiary body will be promulgated by the CPM as required.

**Rule 9. Confidentiality**

The subsidiary body shall exercise due respect for confidentiality where sensitive information is identified by disputing parties.

**4.2 Implementation, Review and Support System (IRSS)**

The purpose of the IC Sub-group is to monitor and evaluate the work undertaken under the IRSS project and prepare work plans and reports for the IC review and approval. As the IRSS works on the cross-cutting issues the IC Sub-group on IRSS advises on the IRSS work programme based on the ideas solicited from the CPM Bureau, Standards Committee and RPPOs.

**4.2.1 Implementation Review and Support System Implementation and Capacity Development Committee (IC) Sub-group Terms of Reference**

1. Purpose

---
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The IC Sub-group on Implementation Review and Support System (IRSS) will prepare IRSS work plans in collaboration with the IPPC Secretariat, monitor and evaluate the work undertaken under the IRSS project and prepare reports for the IC review and approval. In addition, the IRSS Sub-group will develop its own work plans and provide reports on its meetings and activities to the IC.

2. Duration
The IC Sub-group will operate until the end of the third IRSS cycle (planned March 2021).

3. Membership
The IC Sub-group will be composed of members with the necessary technical and subject matter experience in phytosanitary issues. The IC Sub-group will be composed of five members: two selected from the IC (one of which will be the IC lead) and one representative from each of the following groups: the Bureau, the SC and RPPOs.

4. Tasks
The IC Sub-group operates under the guidance and supervision of the IC, and serves as a forum to:

(1) Solicit from the Bureau, SC, RPPOs, and IPPC Secretariat ideas for IRSS activities for consideration by the IC and set priorities

(2) Review and recommend to the IC:
   - annual Work Plan for IRSS including how to address priority topics adopted by the Commission on Phytosanitary Measures (CPM)
   - annual IRSS progress reports
   - IRSS reports to donors, prior to submission
   - the project proposal for sequential cycles of the IRSS project

(3) Provide direction and guidance for the IRSS work, as necessary

(4) Monitor and evaluate the implementation of IRSS activities, making recommendations to the IC

5. Reporting
The IC Sub-group reports to the IC annually and if necessary, upon request.

6. Rules of Procedure
The IC Sub-groups Rules of Procedure will apply to the IC Sub-group on IRSS.

7. Amendments
Amendments to these Terms of Reference, if required, shall be approved by the IC.

4.3 Sea Containers Task Force
Sea containers are a significant pathway for the potential entry of pests, as they move large volumes of internationally traded goods and personal effects. Entry and spread of pests to new areas via sea containers could result in important control and eradication costs, losses in yields.
and access to export markets, and threaten food security, agriculture, as well as the environment.

The CPM-12 (2017), discussed and endorsed the Complementary Action Plan for Assessing and Managing the Pest Threats Associated with Sea Containers and noted the priority actions to be undertaken, including the establishment of the International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC) Sea Containers Task Force (SCTF).

**4.3.1 Sea Containers Task Force Implementation and Capacity Development Committee (IC) Sub-group Terms of Reference**

**1. Purpose**
The Sea Containers Task Force (SCTF) will supervise the actions contained in the Sea Container Complementary Action Plan for Assessing and Managing the Pest Threats Associated with Sea Containers, under the oversight of the IC.

**2. Duration**
The SCTF will operate until a final report is submitted to CPM-16 (2021).

**3. Membership**
SCTF members should have experience relevant to the pest risks on sea containers and their management. The membership consists of nominated members.
The SCTF nominated members may be drawn from contracting parties, RPPOs, international organizations, as follows:
- Up to three representatives of contracting parties
- One representative from the CPM Bureau
- One Lead from the IC
- One representative of the SC
- One representative from the International Maritime Organization (IMO)
- One representative from the RPPOs
- One representative from the World Customs Organization (WCO)
- One Former Sea Container Expert Working Group (EWG) member

**4. Observers**
The IC may invite observers from the following organizations:
- One representative from the World Bank (WB)
- One representatives from industry
- One representative from the Container Owners Association (COA)
- One representative from World Shipping Council (WSC)
- One representative from Global Shippers Forum (GSF)

---
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5. Tasks
The SCTF operates under the guidance and supervision of the IC, and will undertake the following tasks:
1. Measuring the impact of the CTU code through:
   1.1. The development of a joint IPPC/International Maritime Organization (IMO)/industry protocol for the collection of data related to contamination of sea containers to be completed by CPM-16 (2021)
   1.2. Monitoring the uptake and implementation of the IMO/ILO/United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE) Code of Practice for Packing of Cargo Transport Units through:
      1.2.1. Industry reporting
      1.2.2. NPPO monitoring
   1.3. Verifying the efficacy of the CTU code in ensuring the arrival of clean sea container through:
      1.3.1. Monitoring for pest contamination and freedom of soil by NPPOs;
      1.3.2. Assisting NPPOs manage pest risks associated with sea containers;
2. Increasing awareness of pest risks of sea container through:
   2.1. Publication of the data of the Sea Container Task Force;
   2.2. A request for countries having data on contamination of sea containers to make it publically available;
   2.3. Calling for and publication of pest risk management guidance material for sea containers;
   2.4. Encouraging NPPOs to inform industry on the risks and possible international actions to manage pest risks associated with sea containers;
3. Providing information on pest risks of sea containers and their management;
4. Coordinating with contracting parties, regional plant protection organizations (RPPOs), industry and other international organizations;
5. Establishing a mechanism for contracting parties to report to Commission on Phytosanitary Measures (CPM) on their progress and achievements;
6. Providing advice on how the Cargo Transport Unit (CTU) code or any other instrument could be updated;

6. Reporting
The SCTF reports to the IC annually and if necessary, upon request. A final report of the SCTF will be prepared in time to be reviewed and approved by the IC to submit it to CPM-16 (2021).

7. Rules of Procedure
The IC Sub-group Rules of Procedure will apply to the SCTF.

8. Amendments
Amendments to these Terms of Reference, if required, shall be approved by the IC.
4.3.2 Complementary Action Plan for assessing and managing the pest threats associated with sea containers\textsuperscript{31}

The CPM Bureau proposes a number of actions to reduce the pest risks associated with sea containers, pending extra-budgetary resources provided by contracting parties (CPs) or industry. These actions will measure the impact of the IMO/ ILO/UNECE Code of Practice for Packing of Cargo Transport Units (CTU Code) during the next five years, increase awareness of pest risks of sea containers and information to assist NPPOs better manage these risks, and establish oversight and governance arrangements for their implementation.

The Bureau encourages CPs or industry to provide resources to the IPPC Secretariat to facilitate this work, and suggested that the funding model of the ePhyto project could be applied to progress it.

(i) **Measuring the impact of the CTU shipping code through:**
- The development of a joint IPPC/IMO/industry protocol for the collection of data related to contamination of sea containers to be completed by CPM-16 (2021);
- Monitoring the uptake and implementation of the IMO/ILO/UNECE Code of Practice for Packing of Cargo Transport Units (CTU Code) through:
  - industry reporting
  - NPPO monitoring;
- Verifying the efficacy of the CTU Code in ensuring the arrival of clean sea container through:
  - monitoring for pest contamination and freedom of soil by NPPOs;
- Assisting NPPOs manage pest risks associated with sea containers,

(ii) **Increasing awareness of pest risks of sea container through**
- publication of the data of the Expert Working Group (EWG) by the IPPC Secretariat;
- a request by the IPPC Secretariat for countries having data on contamination of sea containers to make it publicly available;
- calling for and publication of pest risk management guidance material for sea containers;
- encouraging NPPOs to inform industry on the risks and possible international actions to manage pest risks associated with sea containers;
- ensuring that any regulations on sea containers that are developed and implemented by NPPOs are based on pest risk analysis and consistent with Recommendation CPM 10/2015_01 on Sea Containers.

**Oversight and governance**

Establishment of a Task Force that will operate under the oversight of the CDC/IC, to supervise the above actions and complement them with any other actions through:

- providing information on pest risks of sea containers and their management;
- coordinating with CPs, RPPOs, industry and other international organizations;
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• establishing a mechanism for CPs to report to CPM on their progress and achievements;
• providing advice on how the CTU Code or any other instrument could be updated;
• providing, through the CDC/IC, updates on its activities to be presented annually to the CPM, as well as a final report for presentation to CPM-16 (2021).

The Bureau will select members and invited experts to take part in the task force. Members of the task force should be nominated by contracting parties or RPPOs and have expertise in IPPC matters and sea container logistics. At least one member of the task force should be an EWG member on sea containers. In addition, industry experts and representatives of relevant international organizations could also be part of the task force as invited experts.

The Task Force should have members from CPs knowledgeable in IPPC matters and sea container logistics. It should have industry experts and other relevant international organizations. The Task Force may consult experts on sea containers, such as ex EWG members, as required.

5. IC SPECIFIC TEAMS

For more established activities, more focused tasks, the IC decided to establish IC Teams that would support the IPPC Secretariat or work together in small teams. Often the tasks, duration, membership and reporting duties can be simply stated in one or two sentences.

The IC has established only once IC team to deal with National Reporting Obligations.

5.1 National Reporting Obligations (NRO)\textsuperscript{52}

The purpose of the International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC) is “international cooperation in controlling pests of plants and plant products and in preventing their international spread, and especially their introduction into endangered areas” (Preamble of the Convention). The IPPC was first adopted in 1951 and underwent a major revision in 1997.

The Convention includes numerous provisions regarding reporting obligations, so called National Reporting Obligations (NROs), which help Contracting Parties achieve the objectives of the Convention. All countries that signed the Convention are obliged to and are responsible for the implementation of all reporting obligations. All reporting obligations have the same legal value and are of equal importance.

The reason for having NROs is to ensure that a minimum amount of official phytosanitary information is available that can be used as the basis for ensuring safe trade, safeguarding food security and protecting the environment from plant pests. In order to be most useful the phytosanitary information should be accurate, up-to-date, clearly presented, consistent with the IPPC guidance and in a format that is easily accessible and understandable.

Timely and accurate reporting should:

- facilitate safe trade and increase market access;
- facilitate the protection of cultivated and wild plants by preventing the introduction and spread of pests;
- enable importing countries to more accurately determine phytosanitary requirements;
- allow countries to ensure increased protection of the world’s biodiversity, environment and ensure food security;
- contribute to a decrease in the number of interceptions and rejections of (non-compliant) consignments;
- facilitate the resolution of instances of non-compliance between countries through an agreed non-compliance feedback system;
- positively contribute to the avoidance of phytosanitary disputes;
- establish transparent communication which will facilitate cooperation and coordination between IPPC Contracting Parties;
- build trust in bilateral relationships between IPPC Contracting Parties over time;
- provide an indication of an establishment of the National Plant Protection Organization and its effective functioning.

There are seven public and six bilateral National Reporting Obligations. Public NROs should be reported via the International Phytosanitary Portal (IPP – https://www.ippc.int). The Commission on Phytosanitary Measures (CPM), a governing body of the Convention, agreed that the International Phytosanitary Portal is the preferred mechanism through which Contracting Parties meet their NROs. Bilateral NROs should be communicated directly between Contracting Parties, however they could also be displayed on the IPP if a country wishes to do so.

The IPP is an internet-based information system designed to hold phytosanitary information published in accordance with the Convention and the decisions of the Commission on Phytosanitary Measures. The majority of the information on the IPP, including all NRO reports, is available to all users of the site. However, only officially nominated individuals with password-protected user accounts have the ability to enter and edit information, ensuring its accuracy and validity.

All IPPC Official Contact Points once appointed to that function receive access to the IPP together with editing rights to upload and update all required reports. Therefore, IPPC Official Contact Points are in practice responsible for keeping their country’s information on the IPP up to date.

Contracting Parties can post other information (apart from National Reporting Obligations) on the IPP that they deem beneficial to other Contracting Parties, but meeting NROs should be given priority.
As regards the countries that are not IPPC Contracting Parties they are also encouraged to use the IPP.

In April 2016, CPM-11 adopted General and Specific IPPC National Reporting Obligations Procedures (Annex III) that Contracting Parties should follow while reporting NROs. They are based on recommendations and inputs provided by the National Reporting Obligations Advisory Group taking into account the IPPC provisions and the previous CPM decisions.

When countries do not meet their NROs, some of the following consequences may occur:

- The lack of an IPPC contact point isolates countries and prevents them from fully participating in and benefitting from interaction with the international plant protection community – this will indirectly affect trade, food security and the protection of the environment.
- When countries do not satisfy reporting obligations related to pests or measures, or provide information that is inaccurate, unclear or incomplete, it may be difficult, and even impossible, to come to agreement, or possible agreements may be delayed, on the measures required for safe trade or protecting food security and the environment.
- Lack of information on pest status or regulated pests could lead to unwarranted protective measures.
- Unwarranted protective measures, or lack of technical justification for protective measures, resulting from poor or lack of phytosanitary information, could lead to lengthy trade negotiations, limited market access and/or potential disputes.
- Inaccurate or unclear information about pest status or regulated pests could also result in ineffective measures. Ineffective measures could result in dissemination of pests with negative consequences for agricultural and environmental resources.
- Some countries perceive official reporting as an indication of successful and efficiently functioning NPPO. If lack of reporting is observed it can lead to loss of or reduction of trust in non-reporting countries.
- Not meeting their NROs is perceived by some countries as a possible attempt to hide phytosanitary issues from trading partners or neighbours by the non-reporting countries.

In total there are 13 National Reporting Obligations:

- Designation of an Official IPPC Contact Point;
- Description of the NPPO;
- Phytosanitary requirements, restrictions and prohibitions;
- List of entry points;
- List of regulated pests;
- Pest reports;
- Organizational arrangements for plant protection;
- Rationale for phytosanitary requirements, restrictions and prohibitions;
- Significant instances of non-compliance with phytosanitary certification;
- The result of investigation regarding significant instances of non-compliance with phytosanitary certification;
- Information on the pest status;
- Emergency action;
- Technical and biological information necessary for pest risk analysis.

5.1.1 Oversight mechanism for National Reporting Obligation activities

1 Reporting
The IPPC Secretariat presents an annual report to the Implementation and Capacity Development Committee (IC) in May of each year outlining the National Reporting Obligations (NRO) activities that have been completed, specifically related to the maintenance of the current system and awareness raising. Trends and figures on national reports will also be presented to the IC.

If, there is a sudden change in reporting or a specific need is identified, the IC may determine how to address the issue, including the establishment of a NRO IC Sub-group if necessary.

A report from the IPPC Secretariat will be a standing item on the May IC agenda and if urgent matters arise, the IPPC Secretariat may seek additional advice or guidance from the IC via e-Decision or at its November meeting.

The IC may request a progress report as needed.

2 NRO work plan
The IPPC Secretariat will present an annual work plan to the IC in May of each year. The IC provides advice on these activities (current or planned), revises the work plan as needed and approves it.

5.1.2 Other NRO approved procedures

General and Specific IPPC NRO Procedures

General and Specific IPPC NRO Procedures were adopted during the CPM-11(2016). They contain recommendations of the National Reporting Obligations Advisory Group and decisions taken by CPM in previous years. The tables form Appendix 9 to the Report from CPM-11.

6. ADDITIONAL USEFUL PROCEDURES - INTRODUCTION

Other procedures may be adopted by the CPM, approved by the IC or developed by the IPPC Secretariat. For the convenience of the IC, these are gathered and presented here.

6.1 Framework for Standards and Implementation

The CPM-11 (2016) adopted the Framework for Standards and Implementation and agreed that it is a working document which will be periodically updated, and provides transparency of existing or proposed standards and tools for implementation and assists with the identification of gaps and it would be a means of capturing agreed priorities for standards and

---
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implementation facilitation tools that are separately approved by CPM. The CPM also agreed the framework is updated and maintained by the Secretariat, with responsibility for review and amendment resting jointly with the SC and CDC/IC and reviewed by Strategic Planning Group (SPG) and then presented to the CPM for endorsement.

At the IC May meetings, the IC reviews the framework to update the implementation part by adding newly developed implementation resources since the last May IC meeting and changing the status of the development of the implementation resources according to their progress. The IC assigns a champion for the framework to work with the SC champion and Secretariat.

In the CPM-13 (2018), CPs and RPPOs were requested to use the Framework as a reference when responding to the Call for Topics. The CPM also requested that the Task Force on Topics use the Framework for standards and implementation when reviewing submissions in response to the Call for Topics.

The Framework for Standards and Implementation is publicly available on the IPP\textsuperscript{55}.
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ANNEX 1: The International Plant Protection Convention

PREAMBLE

The contracting parties,

- recognizing the necessity for international cooperation in controlling pests of plants and plant products and in preventing their international spread, and especially their introduction into endangered areas;
- recognizing that phytosanitary measures should be technically justified, transparent and should not be applied in such a way as to constitute either a means of arbitrary or unjustified discrimination or a disguised restriction, particularly on international trade;
- desiring to ensure close coordination of measures directed to these ends;
- desiring to provide a framework for the development and application of harmonized phytosanitary measures and the elaboration of international standards to that effect;
- taking into account internationally approved principles governing the protection of plant, human and animal health, and the environment; and
- noting the agreements concluded as a result of the Uruguay Round of Multilateral Trade Negotiations, including the Agreement on the Application of Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures;

have agreed as follows:

ARTICLE I

Purpose and responsibility

1. With the purpose of securing common and effective action to prevent the spread and introduction of pests of plants and plant products, and to promote appropriate measures for their control, the contracting parties undertake to adopt the legislative, technical and administrative measures specified in this Convention and in supplementary agreements pursuant to Article XVI.

2. Each contracting party shall assume responsibility, without prejudice to obligations assumed under other international agreements, for the fulfilment within its territories of all requirements under this Convention.

3. The division of responsibilities for the fulfilment of the requirements of this Convention between member organizations of FAO and their member states that are contracting parties shall be in accordance with their respective competencies.

4. Where appropriate, the provisions of this Convention may be deemed by contracting parties to extend, in addition to plants and plant products, to storage places, packaging, conveyances, containers, soil and any other organism, object or material capable of harbouring or spreading plant pests, particularly where international transportation is involved.

ARTICLE II
Use of terms

1. For the purpose of this Convention, the following terms shall have the meanings hereunder assigned to them:

“Area of low pest prevalence” - an area, whether all of a country, part of a country, or all or parts of several countries, as identified by the competent authorities, in which a specific pest occurs at low levels and which is subject to effective surveillance, control or eradication measures;

“Commission” - the Commission on Phytosanitary Measures established under Article XI;

“Endangered area” - an area where ecological factors favour the establishment of a pest whose presence in the area will result in economically important loss;

“Establishment” - perpetuation, for the foreseeable future, of a pest within an area after entry;

“Harmonized phytosanitary measures” - phytosanitary measures established by contracting parties based on international standards;

“International standards” - international standards established in accordance with Article X, paragraphs 1 and 2;

“Introduction” - the entry of a pest resulting in its establishment;

“Pest” - any species, strain or biotype of plant, animal or pathogenic agent injurious to plants or plant products;

“Pest risk analysis” - the process of evaluating biological or other scientific and economic evidence to determine whether a pest should be regulated and the strength of any phytosanitary measures to be taken against it;

“Phytosanitary measure” - any legislation, regulation or official procedure having the purpose to prevent the introduction and/or spread of pests;

“Plant products” - unmanufactured material of plant origin (including grain) and those manufactured products that, by their nature or that of their processing, may create a risk for the introduction and spread of pests;

“Plants” - living plants and parts thereof, including seeds and germplasm;

“Quarantine pest” - a pest of potential economic importance to the area endangered thereby and not yet present there, or present but not widely distributed and being officially controlled;

“Regional standards” - standards established by a regional plant protection organization for the guidance of the members of that organization;

“Regulated article” - any plant, plant product, storage place, packaging, conveyance, container, soil and any other organism, object or material capable of harbouring or spreading pests, deemed to require phytosanitary measures, particularly where international transportation is involved;

“Regulated non-quarantine pest” - a non-quarantine pest whose presence in plants for planting affects the intended use of those plants with an economically unacceptable impact and which is therefore regulated within the territory of the importing contracting party;

“Regulated pest” - a quarantine pest or a regulated non-quarantine pest;

“Secretary” - Secretary of the Commission appointed pursuant to Article XII;
“Technically justified” - justified on the basis of conclusions reached by using an appropriate pest risk analysis or, where applicable, another comparable examination and evaluation of available scientific information.

2. The definitions set forth in this Article, being limited to the application of this Convention, shall not be deemed to affect definitions established under domestic laws or regulations of contracting parties.

ARTICLE III

Relationship with other international agreements

Nothing in this Convention shall affect the rights and obligations of the contracting parties under relevant international agreements.

ARTICLE IV

General provisions relating to the organizational arrangements for national plant protection

1. Each contracting party shall make provision, to the best of its ability, for an official national plant protection organization with the main responsibilities set out in this Article.

2. The responsibilities of an official national plant protection organization shall include the following:
   (a) the issuance of certificates relating to the phytosanitary regulations of the importing contracting party for consignments of plants, plant products and other regulated articles;
   (b) the surveillance of growing plants, including both areas under cultivation (inter alia fields, plantations, nurseries, gardens, greenhouses and laboratories) and wild flora, and of plants and plant products in storage or in transportation, particularly with the object of reporting the occurrence, outbreak and spread of pests, and of controlling those pests, including the reporting referred to under Article VIII paragraph 1(a);
   (c) the inspection of consignments of plants and plant products moving in international traffic and, where appropriate, the inspection of other regulated articles, particularly with the object of preventing the introduction and/or spread of pests;
   (d) the disinestation or disinfection of consignments of plants, plant products and other regulated articles moving in international traffic, to meet phytosanitary requirements;
   (e) the protection of endangered areas and the designation, maintenance and surveillance of pest free areas and areas of low pest prevalence;
   (f) the conduct of pest risk analyses;
   (g) to ensure through appropriate procedures that the phytosanitary security of consignments after certification regarding composition, substitution and reinfestation is maintained prior to export; and
   (h) training and development of staff.
3. Each contracting party shall make provision, to the best of its ability, for the following:
   (a) the distribution of information within the territory of the contracting party regarding regulated pests and the means of their prevention and control;
   (b) research and investigation in the field of plant protection;
   (c) the issuance of phytosanitary regulations; and
   (d) the performance of such other functions as may be required for the implementation of this Convention.

4. Each contracting party shall submit a description of its official national plant protection organization and of changes in such organization to the Secretary. A contracting party shall provide a description of its organizational arrangements for plant protection to another contracting party, upon request.

ARTICLE V

Phytosanitary certification

1. Each contracting party shall make arrangements for phytosanitary certification, with the objective of ensuring that exported plants, plant products and other regulated articles and consignments thereof are in conformity with the certifying statement to be made pursuant to paragraph 2(b) of this Article.

2. Each contracting party shall make arrangements for the issuance of phytosanitary certificates in conformity with the following provisions:
   (a) Inspection and other related activities leading to issuance of phytosanitary certificates shall be carried out only by or under the authority of the official national plant protection organization. The issuance of phytosanitary certificates shall be carried out by public officers who are technically qualified and duly authorized by the official national plant protection organization to act on its behalf and under its control with such knowledge and information available to those officers that the authorities of importing contracting parties may accept the phytosanitary certificates with confidence as dependable documents.
   (b) Phytosanitary certificates, or their electronic equivalent where accepted by the importing contracting party concerned, shall be as worded in the models set out in the Annex to this Convention. These certificates should be completed and issued taking into account relevant international standards.
   (c) Uncertified alterations or erasures shall invalidate the certificates.

3. Each contracting party undertakes not to require consignments of plants or plant products or other regulated articles imported into its territories to be accompanied by phytosanitary certificates inconsistent with the models set out in the Annex to this Convention. Any requirements for additional declarations shall be limited to those technically justified.

ARTICLE VI

Regulated pests
1. Contracting parties may require phytosanitary measures for quarantine pests and regulated non-quarantine pests, provided that such measures are:
   (a) no more stringent than measures applied to the same pests, if present within the territory of the importing contracting party; and
   (b) limited to what is necessary to protect plant health and/or safeguard the intended use and can be technically justified by the contracting party concerned.

2. Contracting parties shall not require phytosanitary measures for non-regulated pests.

**ARTICLE VII**

Requirements in relation to imports

1. With the aim of preventing the introduction and/or spread of regulated pests into their territories, contracting parties shall have sovereign authority to regulate, in accordance with applicable international agreements, the entry of plants and plant products and other regulated articles and, to this end, may:
   (a) prescribe and adopt phytosanitary measures concerning the importation of plants, plant products and other regulated articles, including, for example, inspection, prohibition on importation, and treatment;
   (b) refuse entry or detain, or require treatment, destruction or removal from the territory of the contracting party, of plants, plant products and other regulated articles or consignments thereof that do not comply with the phytosanitary measures prescribed or adopted under subparagraph (a);
   (c) prohibit or restrict the movement of regulated pests into their territories;
   (d) prohibit or restrict the movement of biological control agents and other organisms of phytosanitary concern claimed to be beneficial into their territories.

2. In order to minimize interference with international trade, each contracting party, in exercising its authority under paragraph 1 of this Article, undertakes to act in conformity with the following:
   (a) Contracting parties shall not, under their phytosanitary legislation, take any of the measures specified in paragraph 1 of this Article unless such measures are made necessary by phytosanitary considerations and are technically justified.
   (b) Contracting parties shall, immediately upon their adoption, publish and transmit phytosanitary requirements, restrictions and prohibitions to any contracting party or parties that they believe may be directly affected by such measures.
   (c) Contracting parties shall, on request, make available to any contracting party the rationale for phytosanitary requirements, restrictions and prohibitions.
   (d) If a contracting party requires consignments of particular plants or plant products to be imported only through specified points of entry, such points shall be so selected as not to unnecessarily impede international trade. The contracting party shall publish a list of such points of entry and communicate it to the Secretary, any regional plant protection organization of which the contracting party is a member, all contracting parties which the contracting party believes to be directly affected, and other contracting parties upon request. Such restrictions on points of entry shall not be made unless the plants, plant
products or other regulated articles concerned are required to be accompanied by phytosanitary certificates or to be submitted to inspection or treatment.

(e) Any inspection or other phytosanitary procedure required by the plant protection organization of a contracting party for a consignment of plants, plant products or other regulated articles offered for importation, shall take place as promptly as possible with due regard to their perishability.

(f) Importing contracting parties shall, as soon as possible, inform the exporting contracting party concerned or, where appropriate, the re-exporting contracting party concerned, of significant instances of non-compliance with phytosanitary certification. The exporting contracting party or, where appropriate, the re-exporting contracting party concerned, should investigate and, on request, report the result of its investigation to the importing contracting party concerned.

(g) Contracting parties shall institute only phytosanitary measures that are technically justified, consistent with the pest risk involved and represent the least restrictive measures available, and result in the minimum impediment to the international movement of people, commodities and conveyances.

(h) Contracting parties shall, as conditions change, and as new facts become available, ensure that phytosanitary measures are promptly modified or removed if found to be unnecessary.

(i) Contracting parties shall, to the best of their ability, establish and update lists of regulated pests, using scientific names, and make such lists available to the Secretary, to regional plant protection organizations of which they are members and, on request, to other contracting parties.

(j) Contracting parties shall, to the best of their ability, conduct surveillance for pests and develop and maintain adequate information on pest status in order to support categorization of pests, and for the development of appropriate phytosanitary measures. This information shall be made available to contracting parties, on request.

3. A contracting party may apply measures specified in this Article to pests which may not be capable of establishment in its territories but, if they gained entry, cause economic damage. Measures taken against these pests must be technically justified.

4. Contracting parties may apply measures specified in this Article to consignments in transit through their territories only where such measures are technically justified and necessary to prevent the introduction and/or spread of pests.

5. Nothing in this Article shall prevent importing contracting parties from making special provision, subject to adequate safeguards, for the importation, for the purpose of scientific research, education, or other specific use, of plants and plant products and other regulated articles, and of plant pests.

6. Nothing in this Article shall prevent any contracting party from taking appropriate emergency action on the detection of a pest posing a potential threat to its territories or the report of such a detection. Any such action shall be evaluated as soon as possible to ensure that its continuance is justified. The action taken shall be immediately reported to contracting
parties concerned, the Secretary, and any regional plant protection organization of which the contracting party is a member.

**ARTICLE VIII**

**International cooperation**

1. The contracting parties shall cooperate with one another to the fullest practicable extent in achieving the aims of this Convention, and shall in particular:
   
   (a) cooperate in the exchange of information on plant pests, particularly the reporting of the occurrence, outbreak or spread of pests that may be of immediate or potential danger, in accordance with such procedures as may be established by the Commission;
   
   (b) participate, in so far as is practicable, in any special campaigns for combatting pests that may seriously threaten crop production and need international action to meet the emergencies; and
   
   (c) cooperate, to the extent practicable, in providing technical and biological information necessary for pest risk analysis.

2. Each contracting party shall designate a contact point for the exchange of information connected with the implementation of this Convention.

**ARTICLE IX**

**Regional plant protection organizations**

1. The contracting parties undertake to cooperate with one another in establishing regional plant protection organizations in appropriate areas.

2. The regional plant protection organizations shall function as the coordinating bodies in the areas covered, shall participate in various activities to achieve the objectives of this Convention and, where appropriate, shall gather and disseminate information.

3. The regional plant protection organizations shall cooperate with the Secretary in achieving the objectives of the Convention and, where appropriate, cooperate with the Secretary and the Commission in developing international standards.

4. The Secretary will convene regular Technical Consultations of representatives of regional plant protection organizations to:
   
   (a) promote the development and use of relevant international standards for phytosanitary measures; and
   
   (b) encourage inter-regional cooperation in promoting harmonized phytosanitary measures for controlling pests and in preventing their spread and/or introduction.

**ARTICLE X**

**Standards**

1. The contracting parties agree to cooperate in the development of international standards in accordance with the procedures adopted by the Commission.
2. International standards shall be adopted by the Commission.

3. Regional standards should be consistent with the principles of this Convention; such standards may be deposited with the Commission for consideration as candidates for international standards for phytosanitary measures if more broadly applicable.

4. Contracting parties should take into account, as appropriate, international standards when undertaking activities related to this Convention.

**ARTICLE XI**

**Commission on Phytosanitary Measures**

1. Contracting parties agree to establish the Commission on Phytosanitary Measures within the framework of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO).

2. The functions of the Commission shall be to promote the full implementation of the objectives of the Convention and, in particular, to:
   
   (a) review the state of plant protection in the world and the need for action to control the international spread of pests and their introduction into endangered areas;
   
   (b) establish and keep under review the necessary institutional arrangements and procedures for the development and adoption of international standards, and to adopt international standards;
   
   (c) establish rules and procedures for the resolution of disputes in accordance with Article XIII;
   
   (d) establish such subsidiary bodies of the Commission as may be necessary for the proper implementation of its functions;
   
   (e) adopt guidelines regarding the recognition of regional plant protection organizations;
   
   (f) establish cooperation with other relevant international organizations on matters covered by this Convention;
   
   (g) adopt such recommendations for the implementation of the Convention as necessary; and
   
   (h) perform such other functions as may be necessary to the fulfilment of the objectives of this Convention.

3. Membership in the Commission shall be open to all contracting parties.

4. Each contracting party may be represented at sessions of the Commission by a single delegate who may be accompanied by an alternate, and by experts and advisers. Alternates, experts and advisers may take part in the proceedings of the Commission but may not vote, except in the case of an alternate who is duly authorized to substitute for the delegate.

5. The contracting parties shall make every effort to reach agreement on all matters by consensus. If all efforts to reach consensus have been exhausted and no agreement is reached, the decision shall, as a last resort, be taken by a two-thirds majority of the contracting parties present and voting.
6. A member organization of FAO that is a contracting party and the member states of that member organization that are contracting parties shall exercise their membership rights and fulfil their membership obligations in accordance, *mutatis mutandis*, with the Constitution and General Rules of FAO.

7. The Commission may adopt and amend, as required, its own Rules of Procedure, which shall not be inconsistent with this Convention or with the Constitution of FAO.

8. The Chairperson of the Commission shall convene an annual regular session of the Commission.

9. Special sessions of the Commission shall be convened by the Chairperson of the Commission at the request of at least one-third of its members.

10. The Commission shall elect its Chairperson and no more than two Vice-Chairpersons, each of whom shall serve for a term of two years.

**ARTICLE XII**

**Secretariat**

1. The Secretary of the Commission shall be appointed by the Director-General of FAO.

2. The Secretary shall be assisted by such secretariat staff as may be required.

3. The Secretary shall be responsible for implementing the policies and activities of the Commission and carrying out such other functions as may be assigned to the Secretary by this Convention and shall report thereon to the Commission.

4. The Secretary shall disseminate:
   (a) international standards to all contracting parties within sixty days of adoption;
   (b) to all contracting parties, lists of points of entry under Article VII paragraph 2(d) communicated by contracting parties;
   (c) lists of regulated pests whose entry is prohibited or referred to in Article VII paragraph 2(i) to all contracting parties and regional plant protection organizations;
   (d) information received from contracting parties on phytosanitary requirements, restrictions and prohibitions referred to in Article VII paragraph 2(b), and descriptions of official national plant protection organizations referred to in Article IV paragraph 4.

5. The Secretary shall provide translations in the official languages of FAO of documentation for meetings of the Commission and international standards.

6. The Secretary shall cooperate with regional plant protection organizations in achieving the aims of the Convention.

**ARTICLE XIII**

**Settlement of disputes**
1. If there is any dispute regarding the interpretation or application of this Convention, or if a contracting party considers that any action by another contracting party is in conflict with the obligations of the latter under Articles V and VII of this Convention, especially regarding the basis of prohibiting or restricting the imports of plants, plant products or other regulated articles coming from its territories, the contracting parties concerned shall consult among themselves as soon as possible with a view to resolving the dispute.

2. If the dispute cannot be resolved by the means referred to in paragraph 1, the contracting party or parties concerned may request the Director-General of FAO to appoint a committee of experts to consider the question in dispute, in accordance with rules and procedures that may be established by the Commission.

3. This Committee shall include representatives designated by each contracting party concerned. The Committee shall consider the question in dispute, taking into account all documents and other forms of evidence submitted by the contracting parties concerned. The Committee shall prepare a report on the technical aspects of the dispute for the purpose of seeking its resolution. The preparation of the report and its approval shall be according to rules and procedures established by the Commission, and it shall be transmitted by the Director-General to the contracting parties concerned. The report may also be submitted, upon its request, to the competent body of the international organization responsible for resolving trade disputes.

4. The contracting parties agree that the recommendations of such a committee, while not binding in character, will become the basis for renewed consideration by the contracting parties concerned of the matter out of which the disagreement arose.

5. The contracting parties concerned shall share the expenses of the experts.

6. The provisions of this Article shall be complementary to and not in derogation of the dispute settlement procedures provided for in other international agreements dealing with trade matters.

**ARTICLE XIV**

**Substitution of prior agreements**

This Convention shall terminate and replace, between contracting parties, the International Convention respecting measures to be taken against the *Phylloxera vastatrix* of 3 November 1881, the additional Convention signed at Berne on 15 April 1889 and the International Convention for the Protection of Plants signed at Rome on 16 April 1929.

**ARTICLE XV**

**Territorial application**

1. Any contracting party may at the time of ratification or adherence or at any time thereafter communicate to the Director-General of FAO a declaration that this Convention shall extend to all or any of the territories for the international relations of which it is responsible, and this Convention shall be applicable to all territories specified in the declaration as from the thirtieth day after the receipt of the declaration by the Director-General.
2. Any contracting party which has communicated to the Director-General of FAO a declaration in accordance with paragraph 1 of this Article may at any time communicate a further declaration modifying the scope of any former declaration or terminating the application of the provisions of the present Convention in respect of any territory. Such modification or termination shall take effect as from the thirtieth day after the receipt of the declaration by the Director-General.

3. The Director-General of FAO shall inform all contracting parties of any declaration received under this Article.

ARTICLE XVI
Supplementary agreements

1. The contracting parties may, for the purpose of meeting special problems of plant protection which need particular attention or action, enter into supplementary agreements. Such agreements may be applicable to specific regions, to specific pests, to specific plants and plant products, to specific methods of international transportation of plants and plant products, or otherwise supplement the provisions of this Convention.

2. Any such supplementary agreements shall come into force for each contracting party concerned after acceptance in accordance with the provisions of the supplementary agreements concerned.

3. Supplementary agreements shall promote the intent of this Convention and shall conform to the principles and provisions of this Convention, as well as to the principles of transparency, non-discrimination and the avoidance of disguised restrictions, particularly on international trade.

ARTICLE XVII
Ratification and adherence

1. This Convention shall be open for signature by all states until 1 May 1952 and shall be ratified at the earliest possible date. The instruments of ratification shall be deposited with the Director-General of FAO, who shall give notice of the date of deposit to each of the signatory states.

2. As soon as this Convention has come into force in accordance with Article XXII it shall be open for adherence by non-signatory states and member organizations of FAO. Adherence shall be effected by the deposit of an instrument of adherence with the Director-General of FAO, who shall notify all contracting parties.

3. When a member organization of FAO becomes a contracting party to this Convention, the member organization shall, in accordance with the provisions of Article II paragraph 7 of the FAO Constitution, as appropriate, notify at the time of its adherence such modifications or clarifications to its declaration of competence submitted under Article II paragraph 5 of the FAO Constitution as may be necessary in light of its acceptance of this Convention. Any contracting party to this Convention may, at any time, request a member organization of FAO that is a contracting party to this Convention to provide information as to which, as between the member organization and its member states, is responsible for the implementation of any
particular matter covered by this Convention. The member organization shall provide this information within a reasonable time.

**ARTICLE XVIII**

Non-contracting parties

The contracting parties shall encourage any state or member organization of FAO, not a party to this Convention, to accept this Convention, and shall encourage any non-contracting party to apply phytosanitary measures consistent with the provisions of this Convention and any international standards adopted hereunder.

**ARTICLE XIX**

Languages

1. The authentic languages of this Convention shall be all official languages of FAO.

2. Nothing in this Convention shall be construed as requiring contracting parties to provide and to publish documents or to provide copies of them other than in the language(s) of the contracting party, except as stated in paragraph 3 below.

3. The following documents shall be in at least one of the official languages of FAO:
   (a) information provided according to Article IV paragraph 4;
   (b) cover notes giving bibliographical data on documents transmitted according to Article VII paragraph 2(b);
   (c) information provided according to Article VII paragraph 2(b), (d), (i) and (j);
   (d) notes giving bibliographical data and a short summary of relevant documents on information provided according to Article VIII paragraph 1(a);
   (e) requests for information from contact points as well as replies to such requests, but not including any attached documents;
   (f) any document made available by contracting parties for meetings of the Commission.

**ARTICLE XX**

Technical assistance

The contracting parties agree to promote the provision of technical assistance to contracting parties, especially those that are developing contracting parties, either bilaterally or through the appropriate international organizations, with the objective of facilitating the implementation of this Convention.

**ARTICLE XXI**

Amendment

1. Any proposal by a contracting party for the amendment of this Convention shall be communicated to the Director-General of FAO.
2. Any proposed amendment of this Convention received by the Director-General of FAO from a contracting party shall be presented to a regular or special session of the Commission for approval and, if the amendment involves important technical changes or imposes additional obligations on the contracting parties, it shall be considered by an advisory committee of specialists convened by FAO prior to the Commission.

3. Notice of any proposed amendment of this Convention, other than amendments to the Annex, shall be transmitted to the contracting parties by the Director-General of FAO not later than the time when the agenda of the session of the Commission at which the matter is to be considered is dispatched.

4. Any such proposed amendment of this Convention shall require the approval of the Commission and shall come into force as from the thirtieth day after acceptance by two-thirds of the contracting parties. For the purpose of this Article, an instrument deposited by a member organization of FAO shall not be counted as additional to those deposited by member states of such an organization.

5. Amendments involving new obligations for contracting parties, however, shall come into force in respect of each contracting party only on acceptance by it and as from the thirtieth day after such acceptance. The instruments of acceptance of amendments involving new obligations shall be deposited with the Director-General of FAO, who shall inform all contracting parties of the receipt of acceptance and the entry into force of amendments.

6. Proposals for amendments to the model phytosanitary certificates set out in the Annex to this Convention shall be sent to the Secretary and shall be considered for approval by the Commission. Approved amendments to the model phytosanitary certificates set out in the Annex to this Convention shall become effective ninety days after their notification to the contracting parties by the Secretary.

7. For a period of not more than twelve months from an amendment to the model phytosanitary certificates set out in the Annex to this Convention becoming effective, the previous version of the phytosanitary certificates shall also be legally valid for the purpose of this Convention.

**ARTICLE XXII**

**Entry into force**

As soon as this Convention has been ratified by three signatory states it shall come into force among them. It shall come into force for each state or member organization of FAO ratifying or adhering thereafter from the date of deposit of its instrument of ratification or adherence.

**ARTICLE XXIII**

**Denunciation**

1. Any contracting party may at any time give notice of denunciation of this Convention by notification addressed to the Director-General of FAO. The Director-General shall at once inform all contracting parties.
2. Denunciation shall take effect one year from the date of receipt of the notification by the Director-General of FAO.
ANNEX

Model Phytosanitary Certificate

No. __________

Plant Protection Organization of ________________________________________________________

TO: Plant Protection Organization(s) of __________________________________________________

I. Description of Consignment

Name and address of exporter: __________________________________________________________

Declared name and address of consignee: ______________________________________________

Number and description of packages: __________________________________________________

Distinguishing marks: ________________________________________________________________

Place of origin: _________________________________________________________________________

Declared means of conveyance: _______________________________________________________

Declared point of entry: ______________________________________________________________

Name of produce and quantity declared: ________________________________________________

Botanical name of plants: ____________________________________________________________

This is to certify that the plants, plant products or other regulated articles described herein
have been inspected and/or tested according to appropriate official procedures and are
considered to be free from the quarantine pests specified by the importing contracting party
and to conform with the current phytosanitary requirements of the importing contracting party,
including those for regulated non-quarantine pests.

They are deemed to be practically free from other pests.*

II. Additional Declaration

[Enter text here]

III. Disinfestation and/or Disinfection Treatment

Date ________ Treatment ___________ Chemical (active ingredient) ______________________

Duration and temperature _____________________________________________________________

Concentration _______________________________________________________________________

Additional information __________________________________________________________________

Place of issue _________________________________________________________________

(Stamp of Organization) Name of authorized officer _____________________________________

Date ________ ________________________________________________________________

(Signature)

________________________________

No financial liability with respect to this certificate shall attach to ____________ (name of Plant
Protection Organization) or to any of its officers or representatives.*

* Optional clause
Model Phytosanitary Certificate for Re-Export

No. __________

Plant Protection Organization of _______________________ (contracting party of re-export)

TO: Plant Protection Organization(s) of ____________________ (contracting party(ies) of import)

I. Description of Consignment

Name and address of exporter: ___________________________________________________________

Declared name and address of consignee: _____________________________________________

Number and description of packages: __________________________________________________

Distinguishing marks: ______________________________________________________________

Place of origin: _____________________________________________________________________

Declared means of conveyance: ______________________________________________________

Declared point of entry: _____________________________________________________________

Name of produce and quantity declared: ______________________________________________

Botanical name of plants: _____________________________________________________________________

This is to certify that the plants, plant products or other regulated articles described above ____________ were imported into (contracting party of re-export) ____________ from ______________ (contracting party of origin) covered by Phytosanitary Certificate No. ____________, *original ☐ certified true copy ☐ of which is attached to this certificate; that they are packed ☐ repacked ☐ in original ☐ *new ☐ containers, that based on the original phytosanitary certificate ☐ and additional inspection ☐, they are considered to conform with the current phytosanitary requirements of the importing contracting party, and that during storage in _______________ (contracting party of re-export), the consignment has not been subjected to the risk of infestation or infection.

* Insert tick in appropriate ☐ boxes

II. Additional Declaration

III. Disinfestation and/or Disinfection Treatment

Date ________ Treatment ___________ Chemical (active ingredient) ________________________

Duration and temperature _____________________________________________________________________

Concentration _____________________________________________________________________________

Additional information _______________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________________________

Place of issue ___________________________________________________________________________

(Stamp of Organization) Name of authorized officer ________________________________

Date ________ __________________________ (Signature)

________________________________________________________________________________________
No financial liability with respect to this certificate shall attach to ____________ (name of Plant Protection Organization) or to any of its officers or representatives.*

* Optional clause
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ANNEX 2: Rules of Procedure of the Commission on Phytosanitary Measures\textsuperscript{56}

Rule I: Membership

Membership of the Commission on Phytosanitary Measures (hereafter referred to as “the Commission”) consists of all contracting parties to the International Plant Protection Convention (hereafter referred to as “the IPPC”).

Before the opening of each session of the Commission, each contracting party (hereafter referred to as “member of the Commission”) shall communicate to the Director-General (hereafter referred to as “the Director-General”) of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (hereafter referred to as “the Organization”) the names of all the persons (the head of the delegation, as well as alternates, experts and advisers) appointed by such member of the Commission to represent it during the session mentioned above. For the purpose of these Rules, the term “delegates” means the persons so appointed.

Rule II: Officers

The Commission shall elect a Chairperson, a Vice-Chairperson and other persons from among the delegates to form a Commission Bureau of seven persons, so that each FAO region is represented. The Commission shall elect a rapporteur for each regular session from among the delegates. No delegate shall be eligible without the concurrence of the respective head of delegation. The Commission Bureau shall be elected under the FAO Rules and Regulations at the end of a regular session and shall hold office for a term of two years. Subject to the agreement of the region concerned, an individual member shall be eligible for re-election for another two consecutive terms. In exceptional circumstances, an FAO region may submit a request to the CPM for an exception to allow a member to serve an additional term(s). The Chairperson, or in the absence of the Chairperson, a Vice-Chairperson, shall preside at all meetings of the Commission and shall exercise such other functions as may be required to facilitate the work of the Commission. A Vice-Chairperson acting as a Chairperson shall have the same powers and duties as the Chairperson. The purpose of the Commission Bureau is to provide guidance to the Commission on the strategic direction, financial and operational management of its activities in cooperation with others as approved by the Commission. Detailed Rules of Procedure for the Bureau are attached in Annex I which shall constitute an integral part of these Rules of Procedure.

The Chairperson shall declare the opening and closing of each plenary meeting of the session. He/she shall direct the discussions in plenary meetings, and at such meetings ensure observance of these Rules, accord the right to speak, put questions and announce decisions. He/she shall rule on points of order and, subject to these Rules, shall have complete control over the proceedings at any meetings. He/she may, in the course of the discussion of an item, propose to the Commission the limitation of the time to be allowed to speakers, the number of times each delegation may speak on any question, the closure of the list of speakers, the suspension or adjournment of the meeting, or the adjournment or closure of the debate on the item under discussion.

The Chairperson, or a Vice-Chairperson acting as Chairperson, shall not vote but may appoint an alternate, associate or adviser from his/her delegation to vote in his/her place (see Annex I for the RoP of the CPM Bureau and Annex II for the Guidelines for Rotation of the CPM Chairperson and Vice-Chairperson and Nomination of Bureau).

The Chairperson, in the exercise of his/her functions, remains under the authority of the Commission.

**Rule III: Secretary**

The Secretary of the IPPC shall be responsible for implementing the activities assigned to the Secretariat in accordance with the policies of the Commission. The Secretary shall report to the Commission on the activities assigned to the Secretariat.

**Rule IV: Sessions**

The Commission shall hold one regular session each year. Special sessions shall be held as considered necessary by the Commission or at the written request of at least one third of the members of the Commission.

Sessions of the Commission shall be convened by the Chairperson of the Commission, after consultation with the Director-General.

Notice of the date and place of each session of the Commission shall be communicated to all the members of the Commission at least two months before the session.

Each member of the Commission shall have one representative, head of delegation, who may be accompanied by one or more alternates, experts and advisers. An alternate, expert or adviser shall not have the right to vote except when substituting for the head of delegation.

Meetings of the Commission shall be held in public unless the Commission decides otherwise.

A majority of the members of the Commission shall constitute a quorum.

**Rule V: Agenda and documents**

The Director-General, in consultation with the Chairperson of the Commission, shall prepare a provisional agenda.

The first item on the provisional agenda shall be the adoption of the Agenda.

Any member of the Commission may request the Director-General to include specific items in the Provisional Agenda.

The Provisional Agenda shall normally be circulated by the Director-General at least two months in advance of the session to all members of the Commission and to all observers invited to attend the session.

Any member of the Commission, and the Director-General, may, after the despatch of the Provisional Agenda, propose the inclusion of specific items on the Agenda with respect to matters of an urgent nature. These items should be placed on a supplementary list, which, if time permits before the opening of the session, shall be dispatched by the Director-General to
all members of the Commission, failing which the supplementary list shall be communicated to the Chairperson for submission to the Commission.

After the Agenda has been adopted, the Commission may, by a two-thirds majority of the members of the Commission present and voting, amend the Agenda by the deletion, addition or modification of any item. No matter referred to the Commission by the Conference or Council of the Organization may be omitted from the Agenda.

Documents to be submitted to the Commission at any Session shall be furnished by the Director-General to all members of the Commission and to observers invited to the session, at the time the Agenda is dispatched or as soon as possible thereafter.

Formal proposals relating to items on the Agenda and amendments thereto introduced during a session of the Commission shall be made in writing and handed to the Chairperson, who shall arrange for copies to be circulated to all delegates.

**Rule VI: Voting procedures**

Subject to the provisions of Article II of the Constitution of the Organization, each member of the Commission shall have one vote.

The Commission shall make every effort to reach agreement on all matters by consensus. If all efforts to reach consensus have been exhausted and no agreement has been reached, the decision shall, as the last resort be taken by a two-thirds majority of the members of the Commission present and voting.

For the purpose of these Rules, the phrase “members present and voting” means members of the Commission casting an affirmative or negative vote. Members who abstain from voting or cast a defective ballot are considered as not voting.

Upon the request of any member of the Commission, voting shall be by roll-call vote, in which case the vote of each member shall be recorded.

When the Commission so decides, voting shall be by secret ballot.

The provisions of Rule XII of the General Rules of the Organization shall apply *mutatis mutandis* to all matters not specifically dealt with under this Rule.

**Rule VII: Observers**

Regional plant protection organizations (RPPOs) recognized by the Commission under article IX of the IPPC shall participate only as observers in all meetings of the Commission.

Countries can participate as observers in meetings of the Commission as follows:
- Any Country that is not a contracting party but is a Member of FAO, as well as the United Nations, any of its specialized agencies and the International Atomic Energy Agency, may upon request communicated to the IPPC Secretary and endorsement by the CPM Bureau, participate as an observer in meetings of the Commission.
- Any Country that is not a Member of FAO or an IPPC contracting party, but is a Member of the United Nations, any of its specialized agencies or the International Atomic Energy Agency may, upon request communicated to the FAO Director...
General, and subject to the relevant provisions of the Basic Texts of the Organization, be invited to participate as an observer in meetings of the Commission.

- Any Country that is not a Member of FAO or a member of the United Nations, any of its specialized agencies or the International Atomic Energy Agency shall not be permitted to send observers to meetings of the Commission.

International organizations, whether intergovernmental or non-governmental, may, subject to the relevant provisions of the Basic Texts of the Organization participate as observers in meetings of the Commission. Relations with the concerned organization shall be dealt with by the Director-General, FAO, taking into account guidance given by the Commission.

i. Intergovernmental organizations (IGOs):
   - IGOs should meet the following criteria: it should have been set up by an intergovernmental convention (a convention to which the parties are States); the governing body of the organization should be composed of members designated by governments; the income of the organization should be made up mainly, if not exclusively, of contributions from governments.
   - IGOs that have established formal relations with FAO may, upon request communicated to the IPPC Secretary and endorsement by the Bureau, participate as observers in meetings of the Commission.
   - IGOs that have not established formal relations with FAO may, upon request communicated to the IPPC Secretary, participate as observers in meetings of the Commission if, in the judgment of the IPPC Secretary and the CPM Bureau, there are concrete reasons for allowing their participation which would forward the work of the Commission.

ii. International non-governmental organizations (INGOs):
   - INGOs that have been granted formal status by FAO may participate in meetings of the Commission.
   - INGOs that have not been granted formal status by FAO may, upon request communicated to the IPPC Secretary, participate as observers in meetings of the Commission if, in the judgment of the IPPC Secretary and the CPM Bureau, there are concrete reasons for allowing their participation which would forward the work of the Commission.
   - INGOs that have not been granted formal status by FAO shall be examined in light of the following criteria: they should be international in structure and scope of activity, and representative of the specialized field of interest in which they operate; they should be concerned with matters covering a part or all of the Commission’s field of activity; they should have aims and purposes in conformity with the IPPC; they should have a permanent directing body and Secretariat, authorized representatives and systematic procedures and machinery for communicating with its membership in various countries; and they should have been established at least three years before they request participating in the meetings of the Commission.

Observers to CPM meetings may: i) participate in the discussions, subject to the approval of the Chairperson of the Commission and without the right to vote; ii) receive the documents
other than those of a restricted nature, and iii) circulate, without abridgement, the views of the organization or country which they represent on particular items of the agenda.

CPM Bureau meetings are not open to observers.

Each CPM Subsidiary Body shall establish its own rules on observers which shall conform to these Rules and the relevant provisions of the FAO Basic Texts.

**Rule VIII: Records and reports**

At each session, the Commission shall approve a report embodying its views, recommendations and conclusions, including, when requested, a statement of minority views. Such other records, for its own use, as the Commission may on occasion decide, shall also be maintained.

The report of the Commission shall be transmitted at the close of each session to the Director-General who shall circulate it to all members of the Commission and observers that were represented at the session, for their information, and, upon request, to other Members and Associate Members of the Organization.

Recommendations of the Commission having policy, programme or financial implications for the Organization shall be brought by the Director-General to the attention of the Conference and/or of the Council of the Organization for appropriate action.

Subject to the provisions of the preceding paragraph the Director-General may request members of the Commission to supply the Commission with information on action taken on the basis of recommendations made by the Commission.

**Rule IX: Subsidiary bodies**

The Commission may establish such subsidiary bodies as it deems necessary for the accomplishment of its functions.

The terms of reference and procedures of the subsidiary bodies shall be determined by the Commission.

Membership in these subsidiary bodies shall consist of selected members of the Commission, or of individuals appointed in their personal capacity as respectively determined by the Commission.

The representatives of subsidiary bodies shall be specialists in the fields of activity of the respective subsidiary bodies.

The establishment of subsidiary bodies shall be subject to the availability of the necessary funds in the relevant chapter of the approved budget of the Organization. Before taking any decision involving expenditure in connection with the establishment of subsidiary bodies, the Commission shall have before it a report from the Director-General on the administrative and financial implications thereof.

Each subsidiary body shall elect its own officers, unless appointed by the Commission.

**Rule X: Development and adoption of International Standards**
The procedures for the development and adoption of international standards are set out in
the Annex III to these Rules and shall form an integral part thereof.

Notwithstanding the provisions of Rule VI.2, where consensus is not reached on a proposal
for the adoption of a standard which has been introduced before the Commission for the first
time, the proposed standard shall be referred back to the appropriate body of the
Commission, together with its comments thereon, for further consideration.

**Rule XI: Expenses**

Expenses incurred by delegates when attending sessions of the Commission or of its
subsidiary bodies, as well as the expenses incurred by observers at sessions, shall be borne
by their respective governments or organizations. Developing countries delegates may
request financial assistance to attend sessions of the Commission or its subsidiary bodies.

Any financial operations of the Commission and its subsidiary bodies shall be governed by
the appropriate provisions of the Financial Regulations of the Organization.

**Rule XII: Languages**

Pursuant to Rule XLVII of the General Rules of the Organization, the languages of the
Commission and its subsidiary bodies shall be the languages of the Organization.

Any representative using a language other than one of the languages of the Commission
shall provide for interpretation into one of the languages of the Commission.

**Rule XIII: Amendment and suspension of the rules**

Amendment of or additions to these Rules may be adopted by a two-thirds majority of the
members of the Commission present and voting, provided that not less than 24 hours’ notice
of the proposal for the amendment or the addition has been given.

Any of the above Rules of the Commission, other than Rule I.1, Rule IV.2 and 6, Rule V.6,
Rule VI.1 and 2, Rule VII, Rule VIII.3 and 4, Rule IX.2 and 5, Rule XI, Rule XIII.1 and
Rule XIV may be suspended by a two thirds majority of the members of the Commission
present and voting, provided that not less than 24 hours’ notice of the proposal for
suspension has been given. Such notice may be waived if no representative of the members
of the Commission objects.

**Rule XIV: Entry into force**

These Rules and any amendments or additions thereto shall come into force upon approval by
the Director-General of the Organization.
RULES OF PROCEDURE FOR THE BUREAU OF THE
COMMISSION ON PHYTOSANITARY MEASURES

Rule 1. Purpose of the Bureau

The purpose of the Bureau is to provide guidance to the CPM on the strategic direction, financial and operational management of its activities in cooperation with others as approved by CPM.

As appropriate, members of the Bureau will also assist the CPM in its administrative and operational duties. The Bureau provides continuity in the management of the CPM and, through representation of all FAO regions, facilitates the expression of all viewpoints on strategic, administrative and procedural matters on an ongoing basis.

Rule 2. Functions of the Bureau

(1) The Bureau shall have the following functions:
- Ensuring the efficient implementation of the CPM work programme in coordination with the Secretariat.
- Making recommendations to improve CPM management and delivery of strategic directions, financial and operational activities.
- Assisting with the administrative, and operational duties of the CPM in areas such as:
  - delivery of the IPPC Strategic Framework
  - financial planning and management
  - Providing advice, guidance and strategic direction to subsidiary and other bodies in between plenary sessions of the CPM, in accordance with CPM decisions.
- Addressing specific issues assigned to it by the CPM.

Rule 3. Membership

The members of the Bureau shall be elected by the CPM as per Rule II of the Rules of Procedure of the CPM.

FAO regions select their candidates for membership of the Bureau on the basis of the procedures agreed within each region.

Rule 4. Replacement of members

FAO regions shall nominate replacements for members of the Bureau and submit them to the CPM for election. Replacements should be eligible to be members as set forth in these Rules. Each FAO region shall select a maximum of two replacements for CPM election. If a member of the Bureau, other than the Chairperson, becomes unavailable for a meeting their respective
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replacement may substitute them during that specific meeting. If a member of the Bureau becomes unavailable on a long term basis, for unavoidable reasons, resigns or no longer meets the qualifications required for being member of the Bureau, the replacement will substitute the member of the Bureau for the remainder of the term of office for which he/she has been elected. The replacement should be from the same region as the member of the Bureau being replaced.

Rule 5. Chairperson

The Chairperson of the CPM shall be the Chairperson of the Bureau.

Rule 6. Meetings

Bureau meetings shall be convened by the IPPC Secretary. Four members of the Bureau shall constitute a quorum. The Bureau shall meet at least twice a year. The IPPC Secretary may also convene meetings of the Bureau as necessary to enable any outstanding specific activities to be undertaken before the following CPM session or scheduled Bureau meeting.

In the absence of the Chairperson, the Vice Chairperson will chair the meeting.

Meetings of the Bureau shall be closed unless otherwise determined by the Bureau. The Bureau may invite experts to provide advice or information on specific matters. The IPPC Secretary or a representative designated by him/her shall attend the meetings of the Bureau.

Rule 7. Decision making

Decisions will be made by consensus. Situations where consensus cannot be reached shall be described in the meeting reports detailing all positions maintained and presented to the CPM for guidance and appropriate action.

Rule 8. Documentation, records and reports

The Secretariat is responsible for coordinating the activities of the Bureau and providing administrative, technical and editorial support, as required by the Bureau.

The Secretary, in consultation with the Chairperson of the CPM, shall prepare a provisional agenda for the Bureau meetings and make it available to members of the Bureau preferably four weeks prior to the beginning of each meeting.

The Secretariat shall make meeting documents available to Bureau members as soon as possible after the preparation of the provisional agenda.

The Secretariat shall keep the records of the Bureau and minutes of the Bureau meetings. A report should be available within one month after each meeting and posted on the International Phytosanitary Portal.

The Chairperson shall submit a yearly report to the CPM on the activities of the Bureau.

Rule 9. Language

The business of the Bureau shall be conducted in English, unless otherwise decided by the Bureau.
Rule 10. Amendment

These Rules and amendments or additions thereto shall be adopted by two thirds majority of the members of the Commission present and voting, provided that not less than 24 hours notice of the proposal for the amendment or addition has been given.
ANNEX II

GUIDELINES FOR ROTATION OF THE CPM CHAIRPERSON AND VICE-CHAIRPERSON AND NOMINATION OF BUREAU

Rotation of the CPM Chairperson and Vice-Chairperson
Chairperson of the Commission on Phytosanitary Measures will be rotated among the seven (7) FAO regions in the following sequence: Asia, Southwest Pacific, Latin America and the Caribbean, Africa, North America, Near East and Europe, followed by a grouping that would include only the four (4) largest regions (those regions with the largest number of countries): Asia, Latin America and the Caribbean, Europe, Africa, and then followed by the first seven listed above, and so forth. The rotation scheme would thus be: 7-4-7-4.

Following the rotation scheme identified above, the region which is next in line for occupying the position of the Chairperson will propose a candidate for the Vice-Chairperson. In the following term the region occupying the position of the Vice-Chairperson will propose a candidate for the position of the Chairperson.

Selection and Nomination of Bureau members
When selecting candidates, regions should take due account of the need for competences relevant to participation in the Bureau. Candidates should be selected on the basis of individual qualifications and experience relevant to the mandate of the CPM and where appropriate on the basis of their potential to take on the chairing of the CPM.

In putting forward candidates for the Bureau, regions should consider the individual’s experience and expertise on technical and operational IPPC issues and their capacity to contribute to CPM and Bureau activities and functions. In particular, consideration should be given to the individual’s:

- Knowledge of the IPPC purpose, objectives, strategies, functions, roles and operational and internal processes.
- Understanding of IPPC related international organizations, for example: WTO-SPS and its related standard setting bodies, CBD, etc.
- Experience in financial management.
- Knowledge of national phytosanitary systems, regulations and practices.
- Experience in guiding or directing the operations of an organization or governance body to accomplish its mission, goals and objectives.
- Communication and collaboration skills including the ability to clarify, summarize and seek consensus.
- Experience in chairing and facilitating large fora, including supporting decision-making, negotiation and enabling compromise in such fora.
- Ability to act in an impartial and objective way.
- Ability to be flexible and resilient.

58 These guidelines were adopted by CPM-8 (2013) as Attachment II, but for logic sequencing the IPPC Secretariat renumbered them Annex II.
The following considerations would be desirable:

- The role of Chairperson is a substantial one and a candidate should be prepared to devote a significant amount of time and energy to fulfill the responsibilities attached to this role. The employer should provide the time and where appropriate, the necessary resources to enable the Chairperson to fulfill the responsibilities attached to this role. Vice-Chairpersons should have the same competence and expertise, as the Chairperson, but may have less experience.

- The candidates for Bureau membership (including Chairperson and Vice-Chairpersons) should be employed by an NPPO.

- Candidates for Chairperson should have served for at least one term (two years) in the Bureau.

- It may be desirable that the Chairperson has served previously as a Vice-Chairperson.

These guidelines are not intended to set precedents for other FAO or Article XIV bodies and are neither intended to establish nor recognise the FAO regions mentioned therein and their rotational weightings.
ANNEX III

IPPC STANDARDS SETTING PROCEDURE
The text of Annex III is reported under 2.1 of this document and hence deleted here to reduce the number of pages.
ANNEX 3: IPPC Strategic Framework 2012-2019

(CPM-7 (2012) Report, Appendix 6)

Executive summary

The International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC) is a critical instrument for promoting joint actions, international cooperation and leadership in the plant protection area. The IPPC will become increasingly important in the years ahead as the primary international framework for addressing the challenges posed by globalization and the transboundary movement of injurious plant pests and diseases, collectively called pests under the IPPC.

To meet the challenge of protecting global plant resources – including agriculture, forests, natural habitats, biodiversity, and food production – there is an urgent need to strengthen the infrastructure supporting the IPPC to help prevent the spread of plant pests. In particular, the IPPC needs to strengthen its capacity to generate international standards; establish and promote effective information exchange systems among members; address the technical capacity of all member countries to implement the convention and adopted standards, especially developing member countries; and provide a sufficient and sustainable administrative support structure to meet its members’ needs and priorities.

National plant protection organizations (NPPOs) are facing a similar challenge. The rapid growth in the volume and diversity of food and agricultural products in international trade is creating significant new demands on plant protection officials which are proving difficult to meet.

The vision of the IPPC is: Protecting global plant resources from pests.

The mission is: To secure cooperation among nations in protecting global plant resources from the spread and introduction of pests of plants, in order to preserve food security, biodiversity and to facilitate trade.

The strategic objectives are to:

A. protect sustainable agriculture and enhance global food security through the prevention of pest spread;
B. protect the environment, forests and biodiversity from plant pests;
C. facilitate economic and trade development through the promotion of harmonized scientifically based phytosanitary measures; and
D. develop phytosanitary capacity for members to accomplish A, B and C.

A key to achieving the objectives in this strategic framework will not only be members’ commitment to global collaboration through the IPPC but more significantly the willingness of governments and perhaps non-governmental stakeholders to support and help fund IPPC programmes and infrastructure in the years ahead.

Introduction
Today, the International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC) has become particularly significant and relevant in the light of evolving phytosanitary risks associated with the spread of pests, and the need to protect plant resources and biodiversity, to ensure food security, and to support the safe expansion of global trade and economic growth opportunities. However, a gap exists between the role the IPPC can and should play in global plant protection and the actual resources available to it to meet these new international challenges.

The ubiquitous and growing threats posed by plant pests to agricultural and rural communities, to plant biodiversity and to natural habitats and ecosystems around the world remain major problems to agriculturalists, foresters and conservers of the environment. New pests are constantly being identified and known pests are becoming more widespread and damaging because of trade and climate change, so the battle with pests is on-going. In addition, in the global context, new challenges constantly appear which change the functional environment of the IPPC and demand new responses from the Commission on Phytosanitary Measures (CPM).

The IPPC’s strategic objectives for the next 8 years take into account this changing global context, and encompass key IPPC initiatives and actions that are designed to support the world’s needs and demands for:

- a safe and secure food supply,
- a protected environment,
- sustainable trade and economic growth, and
- a coordinated capacity development programme.

Ultimately, combined with its recognition by the World Trade Organisation (WTO) Agreement on the Application of Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures as the international standard setting body responsible for plant health standards, delivering on these objectives will lead to the IPPC being recognized and valued around the world as the premier international framework for protecting agriculture and the environment from plant pests, ensuring global food security, and fostering safe trade and economic growth opportunities for all member countries. The key to achieving these objectives will be the members’ commitment to global collaboration through the IPPC and a willingness to support IPPC programmes and infrastructure in the years ahead.

With respect to protecting plant resources, the IPPC contributes to:

- protecting farmers and foresters from the introduction and spread of new pests;
- protecting food security;
- protecting the natural environment, plant species and diversity;
- protecting producers and consumers from costs associated with combating and eradicating pests.

**The International Plant Protection Convention**

The IPPC was agreed in 1951 and is the primary international treaty for protecting global plant resources (including forests, non-cultivated plants and biodiversity) from plant pests and for facilitating the safe movement of plants and plant products in international trade. The IPPC is deposited with and administered through the Food and Agriculture Organization.
of the United Nations (FAO). Today, the IPPC consists of 175+ contracting parties and other countries which voluntary adhere to the Convention.

The Convention was amended in 1979 and 1997. The amendments of 1997 were particularly significant in that they included provisions for a Secretariat, a Commission on Phytosanitary Measures (governing body) and a phytosanitary standard setting mechanism. Since 1997, the demands on the IPPC for increased work in developing plant health standards, providing technical assistance, and providing global pest information have increased at a rate that has far outstripped its resources and funding. After 60 years of the implementation of the IPPC, the work programme has matured and a new phase of the IPPC implementation needs development.

**Plant Pests**

The introduction or outbreak of plant pests has significantly affected food security and/or had significant negative economic impact (see Box 1). A vast range of plant pests and diseases (collectively called pests under the IPPC) threaten global food production (including animal feed), the culture of forests and the wild flora of the natural environment. Some historical impacts of plant pests are well known, such as *Phytophthora infestans* on potatoes in Ireland, *Hemileia vastatrix* on coffee in Sri Lanka and Brazil, *Viteus vitifoliae* on grapes in Europe and the United States, *Microcyclus ulei* on rubber in Brazil, *Puccinia graminis* on wheat in North America, *Ophiostoma ulmi* on elm in Europe and the United States and *Lymantria dispar* in the forests of the west coast of North America.

Although the impacts of pests range from negligible to extremely high, it is often difficult to fully assess these impacts. If pests can be prevented from establishing in an area, the resources used in prevention are invariably significantly lower than those needed for long-term control, containment, eradication (if possible) after introduction, or the consequences of doing nothing.

**Box 1: Examples of major pests not previously recorded in an area**

*Prostephanus truncates*, the larger grain borer, was accidentally introduced from Central America into Tanzania in the late 1970s, and spread to other countries in the region. In West Africa it was first found in Togo in the early 1980s. It has now spread to many African countries becoming the most destructive pest of stored maize and dried cassava in both West and East Africa. In the more tropical countries of Africa, the larger grain borer destroyed up to 70–80 percent of stored maize grains and 30–40 percent of cassava. The IPPC is now working on an International Standard for Phytosanitary Measures (ISPM) on the international movement of grain which may help to reduce the risk of the occurrence of this type of pest introduction.

*Anoplophora glabripennis*, the Asian longhorned beetle (ALB), is considered an invasive species in North America, because it is a serious threat to many species of deciduous hardwood trees. This pest arrived in North America in the 1980s in wood packing material. If it becomes established in the United States it could have a significant impact on natural forests, the forest products industry, and urban environment, with an estimated death toll of 1.2 billion trees if it were to spread nationwide. In the eastern USA alone, four million jobs depend on forests that are vulnerable to the ALB. The IPPC introduced ISPM 15: 2009 “Regulation of wood packaging material in international trade” to minimize the likelihood of such a pest introduction through wood packaging again.
**Puccinia graminis tritici**, Ug99. The risk posed by this fungus that is deadly to the world’s second largest crop, wheat, continues to rise. The killer fungus, Ug99, causes stem rust disease, which can destroy entire wheat fields. Two new aggressive forms of the fungus were found in South Africa for the first time in 2010, raising concerns that it could spread. More than a billion people in developing countries rely on wheat for their food and income. (See [http://pulitzercenter.org/blog/untold-stories/global-threat-wheat-killer-rises](http://pulitzercenter.org/blog/untold-stories/global-threat-wheat-killer-rises).) The search for resistance could be mentioned but the threat and impact will continue for many years and could cause devastation in many countries.

**Liberibacter** spp, Huanglongbing, also known as citrus greening disease, is considered the worst disease of citrus caused by a vectored pathogen. Transmission is by *Diaphorina citri*. The disease has affected crops in China, India, Sri Lanka, Malaysia, Indonesia, Myanmar, the Philippines, Pakistan, Thailand, Japan (the Ryukyu Islands), Nepal, Mauritius, and Afghanistan. Areas outside Asia have also reported the disease, including Saudi Arabia, Brazil and, most recently, the United States, Mexico, Belize and other countries in Central America. Citrus greening greatly reduces citrus production, destroys the economic value of the fruit and kills trees.

**Lobesia botrana**, European grapevine moth (EGVM), is the number one pest of grapes where it has been introduced. It is a pest of economic importance in Europe, the Mediterranean, southern Russia, Japan, the Middle East, Near East, and the northern and western areas of Africa. It has been reported from the wine areas of Chile (2008), the United States (California) (2009) and Argentina (2010). Without control, crop damage can be significant, in some cases leading to losses of 80–100 percent. A preliminary economic analysis of California shows that the presence of EGVM will severely impact grape and stone fruit production in that state, impacting local communities, the state’s economy, and domestic and international trade with reduced availability of fresh and processed commodities. In California alone, grape production threatened by this pest was valued at USD 2.9 billion in 2008. The Chilean government since its first detection in the country (2008) has carried out a programme of official control of the pest with a budget of approximately USD 10 million annually.

**Ceratitis capitata**, Mediterranean fruit fly, is a significant pest of fruit and vegetables, having an enormous negative impact on horticultural production. It was detected in Mexico for the first time in 1977. A Mexico–United States programme was established the following year to prevent further introductions from Central America. Without the on-going control and eradication programme in place in Mexico, potential losses would be around USD 4.2 million in lost fruit and vegetables and costs of pesticides needed to manage this pest. In addition, there would be an estimated USD 25.8 million in lost export sales and USD 17.5 million in indirect impacts (diminished public health in the rural areas, lost employment in the horticultural sector, and environmental harm).

Global Context for the Strategic Framework

The world has changed significantly since the IPPC first came into force in 1952. The broad policy issues and international trends likely to influence or constrain regulatory policies and the programmes which will affect international plant protection in years to come are varied and complex. They largely arise from four main themes: the global economic and trade situation; environment and natural resources (including climate change); demographic trends; and food security. The regulatory policy and phytosanitary challenges ahead are shaped by these issues.59

---

59 A broader overall context is described in *The Director-General’s medium term plan 2010-13 and programme of work and budget 2010-11* (Paper C 2009/15 for the Thirty-sixth Session of the FAO Conference, 18–23 November 2009) and in the *Strategic Framework 2010-2019* (Paper C 2009/3 for the above Conference).
Global Economic and Trade Situation

In addition to current globalization issues, trade analysts have noted major changes in trading patterns in recent years. In several countries consumer demand has diminished; cash, investor confidence, credit and consumption have decreased, with a chilling effect on international trade. The global financial crisis has caused a number of countries to become more inwardly focused and concerned about their domestic employment and fiscal situation.

In the future, to maintain and create jobs, it is expected that many governments will continue to look to foreign markets and promote exports as part of their broader economic growth strategy. In parallel, countries that have not traditionally been heavy exporters are expected to be new sources of fast-growing, value-added agricultural and food products. Developing economies are emerging and will continue to emerge in Africa, Asia, Latin America and other regions and have increasing influence on global economic policies.60

Trade is expected to expand as trade capacity and interest increases among nations, including marketing opportunities that benefit rural and agricultural sectors within countries. This continued reliance on international and regional trade for stimulating economic growth, including trade in food and agricultural products, will put increasing pressure on the IPPC and national plant protection organizations (NPPOs) to effectively manage the pest risks inherent in these new or expanding trade flows as well as to develop the necessary international standards, knowledge base and technical guidance to ensure safe trade. In addition, the reduction in the role of governments will increase the need for private sector involvement and management.

Environment and Natural Resources

The impact of climate change in the 21st century is likely to be wide-ranging. The situation is complex but a number of factors are worth mention:

Whatever approach governments take to the challenge of climate change, policies to minimize harm to the environment will be a priority but these would have to be balanced with the need to maintain and expand sustainable food production in order to ease poverty and feed their populations.

Governments of an increasing number of countries are seeking domestic energy security through alternatives to fossil fuels, including through the production of biofuels.

The ozone damaging effects of methyl bromide are now well known and documented, and alternative phytosanitary measures are encouraged (see IPPC Recommendation 1).

The options of chemical treatments for pest management will be considerably reduced when taking into account their impacts on the environment and natural resources.

The need to ensure effective and efficient use of water in agriculture could influence where and what type of food is being produced.

This increasing concern with climate change and protecting the environment will compel the IPPC and NPPOs to be increasingly aware of the potential changing distribution

of pests with the changing climate. The promoting of environmentally friendly measures to reduce the effects of plant pests on food production and the environment and to allow the safe movement of commodities in trade will be needed.

**Demographic Trends**

Increasing urbanization and rural migration to cities is a global demographic trend. This large-scale shift from rural to urban living may jeopardize the productivity of rural communities, a matter that national governments may seek to address through rural development programmes emphasizing sustainable, safe and locally produced and marketed foods and other plant products.

Population growth rates in developing countries are generally greater than those of developed countries. Over the next 30 years, economic power will shift to developing countries where the future global middle class and consumers will be found.61

These demographic shifts, including migration, are expected to alter the food and dietary cultures in countries around the world resulting in new patterns of food consumption and food demands. The shifts will result in new types of food products, including horticultural goods, being shipped, legally and illegally, to new markets and locations.

**Food Security**

Food security – the availability of and access to adequate food supplies – has many dimensions, including climate change, plant pests (including invasive alien species), trade, food aid, new production technologies and rural development. The trend of increased land utilization by emerging nations will further impact on food security, particularly in the developing world where phytosanitary regulatory frameworks lack capacity. Food aid will continue to feature high on the agenda of countries and international organizations as a humanitarian response to disasters around the world.

Developed countries are being encouraged to pursue opportunities for capacity development, technical assistance and trade promotion62. However, increasing trade, rather than aid, should lead to greater independence and wealth in developing countries. National regulatory agencies may expect increasingly to be called upon to provide expertise in areas such as capacity development, pest and disease control, marketing and trade, use of new (manufacturing) technologies, and in this way, contribute to the global food security agenda. However, if developing countries are not adequately prepared to meet demands for these services their ability to contribute to the global food security agenda will continue to be compromised.

The growing food security concerns and the availability of future food to growing populations around the world present massive problems to many countries. But the IPPC can

---


62 WTO SPS Agreement, Article 9, “Technical Assistance”, which states “Members agree to facilitate the provision of technical assistance to other Members, especially developing country Members”, and the Doha Development Round.
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Access to Scientific Competence and Information

A problem affecting many countries is the decreasing availability of the scientifically based phytosanitary expertise that is vital for sustaining public policy components of agricultural and trade development. A large part of this is the so-called taxonomic impediment, which refers to the shrinking government investment in staff, funds and training that has led to a loss of taxonomic expertise, tools and services. This not only affects phytosanitary services but also the diagnostic services involved in the protection of the environment and biodiversity. The members of the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) have set up a funding system, the Global Taxonomy Partnership Fund, to increase funding to strengthen the institutional delivery of taxonomic services. (Box 2 provides references discussing the problem of phytosanitary competence.)

Box 2: Sources of information concerning phytosanitary expertise


The IPPC can play a critical role in terms of providing a global venue where networks, partnerships, and associations can be developed as they relate to scientific and phytosanitary expertise and resources. Through such networks, IPPC member countries can seek out and leverage phytosanitary expertise that may be available in other institutions or other countries or regions.

In addition, the IPPC provides knowledge management services to:

- Allow national governments, regional plant protection organizations (RPPOs) and the Secretariat meet their reporting obligations as determined by the IPPC;
- Support policy and decisions makers;
- Improve access to scientific information;
- Objectively analyze national capacities and global trends;
- Improve transparency and trust between trading partners;
- Provide the information management needs for the whole of the IPPC work programme.

Regulatory Policy Challenges

With increasing volumes and diversity of trade, new and emerging market access opportunities, and decreasing human and financial resources to carry out phytosanitary regulatory programmes, NPPOs will need to concentrate their efforts on reviewing existing policies to meet the changing global context and risks. Such efforts to review and update national phytosanitary policies will also help ensure continued public confidence in plant
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protection regulations and programmes at the national level, and provision of resources to fund those programmes.

At the same time private stakeholders should be more involved and accept responsibility for phytosanitary issues. Both the government and the industry should have strong incentives for adopting risk-limiting behaviour. Closer collaboration between government and industry could lead to better prospects of tackling the raising plant health risks posed by globalization and climate change. In general, plant health policy frameworks should take into account efforts made by growers and traders in activities that contribute to protection of plant health when applying official tasks. In this way governmental resources could be used more effectively, paying most attention to areas of highest risk. This could also be an incentive for growers and producers to pay more attention to plant health issues and more responsibility in sharing between public and private sector. In the interaction between government and stakeholders other than legal instrumentation could be considered.

Increasing concerns about environmental protection, invasive alien species, and threats to biodiversity mean that environmental protection is an increasingly influential factor in trade and plant production policy. Policy shifts may be expected as interest in protecting natural plant resources and the broader agro-ecosystem gains attention in national governments and at the international level.

With the potential phasing-out of methyl bromide under the Montreal Protocol, NPPOs are increasingly turning to combinations of alternative pest management measures (e.g. systems approaches). These integrated approaches are being used more widely to counter increasing public concern on human health and on environmental grounds about traditional pesticide-based means of dealing with pest outbreaks and to allow countries to meet their obligations under the Montreal Protocol.

Specifically from a plant protection point of view, new technologies will provide NPPOs with more tools to facilitate inspections and certification of commodities, improve pest diagnosis, and enhance the traceability of commodities and rapid and effective communication. Regulatory policies should encourage the use of these tools.

**Diminishing Resources for Collective Action**

Since 1997, demands on and expectations of the IPPC and its Secretariat have increased at a rate that has outstripped the resources and funding available to advance the CPM agreed collective action needed at the global level to prevent the spread of pests and protect global plant resources.

The gap continues to widen between the role the IPPC can and should play in global plant protection and the actual resources available to it to meet the burgeoning pest and disease threats. Today’s global economic situation of governmental deficits, slowed economic growth and weak job markets will continue to limit governments’ ability to commit new or additional resources at the international level. These global economic realities will be a serious limiting factor for the IPPC and its capacity to implement and achieve the goals in this strategic plan.

Therefore, a key to achieving the objectives in this strategic framework will not only be members’ commitment to global collaboration through the IPPC but more significantly the
willingness of governments and perhaps non-governmental stakeholders to support and help fund IPPC programmes and infrastructure in the years ahead.

**The IPPC within FAO’ Strategic Framework**

The FAO Constitution (Articles I and XIV) identifies FAO’s major role as a neutral forum for members to negotiate international instruments. These include multilateral agreements, codes, good practices, international standards, action plans, or other collective measures necessary to achieve a common goal (poverty and hunger reduction) or purpose in global agriculture (sustainable agricultural production and protection of food security) or the conservation and protection of the world’s natural resources.

This core function as a neutral global forum facilitates and supports contracting parties’ efforts to develop regional and international legal instruments and implementation of their resulting national obligations. The IPPC is one such legal intergovernmental instrument in FAO, which brings phytosanitary (plant health) officials from around the world to work together to prevent the spread of pests and protect global plant resources.

FAO’s three overarching global goals are:

- **reduction of the absolute number of people suffering from hunger**, progressively ensuring a world in which all people at all times have sufficient safe and nutritious food that meets their dietary needs and food preferences for an active and healthy life
- **elimination of poverty and the driving forward of economic and social progress for all**, with increased food production, enhanced rural development and sustainable livelihoods
- **sustainable management and utilization of natural resources**, including land, water, air, climate and genetic resources, for the benefit of present and future generations.

The IPPC, as an FAO Article XIV body (Article XIV includes conventions developed and accepted by FAO members and funded by FAO), plays a critical role in supporting each of these overarching goals through its programmes, standards, and actions aimed at preventing food losses and protecting natural resources from the ubiquitous threat of plant pests. An aspect of the mandate of the IPPC is an integral part of the strategic objective entitled Sustainable intensification of crop production of the FAO Strategic Framework.

Core FAO functions as they relate to facilitating and supporting intergovernmental cooperation and joint actions are also reflected in the primary activities of the IPPC, specifically those relating to:

(2) stimulating the generation, dissemination and application of information and knowledge, including statistics i.e. knowledge management;
(3) negotiating international instruments, setting norms, standards and voluntary guidelines, supporting the development of national legal instruments and promoting their implementation;
(4) promoting technical support for technology transfer; catalyse change; and develop capacity, particularly for rural institutions; and
undertaking advocacy and communication, to mobilize political will and promote global recognition of required actions in areas of FAO’s mandate. Each of the primary functions above is reflected in the IPPC’s programme of work as a major contribution to the global food security agenda. This includes:

(6) knowledge management, including information exchange, related to pest occurrence, outbreaks, and sharing of other official plant protection information among countries;

(7) international standards for plant health (recognized by the WTO as science-based benchmarks to guide safe trade in plant commodities) and guidelines for the safe expansion of trade in food and agricultural commodities;

(8) capacity development aimed at helping countries safeguard their and their neighbours’ plant resources;

(9) advocacy of the IPPC to raise its profile and influence among contracting parties on managing the global pest situation; and

(10) non-binding phytosanitary dispute settlement forum for members.

FAO recognizes in its medium-term plan 2010–2013 the development and implementation of internationally recognized standards and action plans, including the preparation of draft standards for technical review and their development at the intergovernmental level. This is dependent upon the IPPC Secretariat support to the appropriate bodies. In other words, sufficient and sustainable IPPC Secretariat staffing is a prerequisite for achieving the IPPC and FAO’s strategic goals. The IPPC Secretariat plays a vital and necessary role in supporting the development of international plant health standards, the implementation of an active information exchange programme among members, the implementation of capacity development and training programmes, and a non-binding dispute settlement service.

FAO provides core funding for the IPPC but in addition, a resource mobilization programme is essential to ensure sustainable and adequate resources for a professional base of IPPC Secretariat staff that can adequately and sustainably deliver the IPPC work programme. The agenda of the IPPC will be influenced by the changing global economic and trade situation, environment and natural resources considerations, demographic trends, food security policies and priorities and regulatory policy challenges. The governing body of the IPPC (the CPM) and the IPPC Secretariat will continue to strive to prioritize its work and adopt new tools related to monitoring and evaluating its programmes and activities for maximum efficiency and best results.

**IPPC STRATEGIC FRAMEWORK**

The IPPC has been and remains a key FAO instrument among its members for ensuring food security, conservation of plant resources, and phytosanitary capacity development. This IPPC strategic framework brings the IPPC’s activities into closer alignment with the FAO strategic goals and the new FAO Results Based Management (RBM) system.

**Vision of the IPPC**

*Protecting global plant resources from pests.*

**Mission of the IPPC**
To secure cooperation among nations in protecting global plant resources from the spread and introduction of pests of plants, in order to preserve food security, biodiversity and to facilitate trade.

**Strategic objectives**

The IPPC’s strategic objectives for 2012–2019 are to:

A. protect sustainable agriculture and enhance global food security through the prevention of pest spread;

B. protect the environment, forests and biodiversity from plant pests;

C. facilitate economic and trade development through the promotion of harmonized scientifically based phytosanitary measures; and

D. develop phytosanitary capacity for members to accomplish a), b) and c).

The strategic objectives and the means for accomplishing them over the next 8 years are described below. Each strategic objective has a number of organisation results to be achieved. The success in the delivery of these results will depend on whether appropriate and sufficient resources are available. Within the framework of the IPPC the RPPOs play a critical role in advancing the implementation of the convention at a regional level. The RPPOs are important partners to the IPPC in terms of developing concrete actions in the prevention of spread of pests that can affect agriculture, food security and biodiversity and in the implementation of capacity building programmes. For this reason an effective partnership with RPPOs is essential for achieving the following strategic objectives.

A. **Protect sustainable agriculture and enhance global food security through the prevention of pest spread**

The projected population growth (and better income prospects in many areas) will spur higher demand for food now and in the future. Demographic trends may exert pressure on the food security situation globally but particularly in developing regions, such as those in sub-Saharan Africa. Overall, FAO estimates that global agricultural output needs to expand by about 70 percent to meet the food needs of the population expected in 2050. Crop production is expected to continue to account for over 80 percent of the world’s food. Over 70 percent of the crop production increase needed to achieve this will have to come from intensification on existing or shrinking arable land area, while not compromising the capacity to produce even more food in the medium term. Crop production intensification strategies must be more sustainable than current or historical ones i.e. they must value and enhance ecosystem services such as soil nutrient dynamics, pollination, pest population control, and water conservation. They must also build on elements that include integrated pest management, conservation agriculture, access to and sustainable use of plant genetic resources, while also reducing soil, air and water pollution. Countries and regions must enhance their capacities to monitor, detect, and prepare rapid responses to pest outbreaks, so that these pests do not threaten other regions and trading partners.63

---

Fully functioning NPPOs and RPPOs safeguard agriculture, environment and natural resources from the negative impacts of pests, and thereby contribute to enhanced food security and open up trade opportunities for countries. In close cooperation with relevant stakeholders an effective national system for the prevention of the introduction and spread of pests needs to be in place, based on the shared responsibilities of both government and the private sector. For this reason, the IPPC and International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures (ISPMs) provide the framework for the effective operation of an NPPO or an RPPO, e.g. the establishment and operation of an import regulatory system, how to conduct pest risk analysis, and guidelines for surveillance, pest status and pest eradication. The ISPMs also include diagnostic protocols that facilitate the identification of major pests of plants and plant products as well as treatments or integrated measures to provide pest management options. In the future it is expected that standards will increasingly become more commodity- and pest-specific.

The International Phytosanitary Portal (IPP – https://www.ippc.int) is the core tool for information exchange of the IPPC. While providing the information management needs for the whole of the IPPC work programme, it specifically provides information, through a pest reporting system, on the incidence of pests of plants and plant products. Planned developments will enhance pest alert communications among members through increased capacity and access to electronic reporting systems including through RPPOs.

This strategic objective will strongly support the FAO objective of improving the sustainable intensification of crop production.64

Organizational results

A1 – Pests are detected, reported and eradicated or controlled by means of improved inspection, monitoring, surveillance, diagnosis, pest reporting and pest response systems.

A2 – NPPOs are assisted in managing pest problems, for improving sustainable intensification, with the production of technical resources on standards implementation where appropriate. Information on such management programmes is shared between countries.

A3 – The movement of food commodities and basic horticultural products is facilitated by relevant ISPMs.

A4 – Food security is enhanced by aligning the IPPC capacity development strategy on developing national phytosanitary capacity with FAO and other programmes.

Protect the environment, forests and biodiversity from plant pests

There is an increasing awareness of the importance of invasive alien species, which can and do have a significant and devastating impact on the terrestrial and marine and freshwater environments, agriculture and forests. Whereas the CBD addresses biodiversity and

---

64 FAO. 2011. Save and Grow: a policymaker’s guide to the sustainable intensification of smallholder crop production. Rome, Italy.
the environment in general, the IPPC deals specifically with those invasive alien species that are pests of plants and provides guidance for protection against them.

The IPP provides the means for countries to provide and share basic phytosanitary information such as national pest lists. This type of information enables regulatory agencies to undertake risk analyses and establish measures where necessary.

The usefulness and visibility of the IPP, will be expanded to share IPPC-related information among member countries and stakeholders about recommended phytosanitary practices for specific kinds of crop, pest control measures, research findings, other national pest-related information and other related FAO/Partner information. The pest reporting system within the IPP supplies essential information, and is of significant value to environmental protection agencies, and this system will be expanded with time and resources.

The IPPC standards and the IPPC framework can be applied to address the needs of the environmental community as it relates to plant biodiversity and emerging problems associated with invasive alien species that are plant pests. The IPPC standards on pest risk analysis, for example, can be essential and important tools for the assessment of environmental pest risks when applied.

The IPPC Secretariat and its members work with their counterparts in the Convention of Biological Diversity (CBD) to identify common issues and develop standards and other means to address problems of interest to both organisations.

Many other ISPMs have elements directed to protection of biodiversity, for example, the standard concerning the treatment of wood packaging material is aimed at risk limitation of tree pests that can affect biodiversity or commercial forests. The IPPC is proposing the development of a number of other standards dealing with the potential movement of invasive alien species important to the protection of biodiversity. These will deal with minimizing pest movement by sea containers and air containers and reducing the pest risk of waste material from ships.

Capacity development programmes dealing with environmental challenges will be included in the support programmes developed by the IPPC Secretariat.

Organizational results

B1 – The environment protection and forestry sectors, both domestically and internationally, is provided with sufficient information and tools concerning new pests and their distribution. The knowledge management tools will include pest risk analysis assistance and pest management techniques.

B2 – NPPOs and RPPOs are supported in recognizing that protection of wild plants and biodiversity is part of their responsibilities and cooperate with agencies working in the environmental sector.

B3 – Appropriate standards, recommendations and other technical resources that underpin the protection of the environment and help to limit the impact of climate change are developed.

B4 – Countries are able to protect their natural plant resources against pests as supported by capacity development.
B. Facilitate economic and trade development through the promotion of harmonized scientifically based phytosanitary measures

Trade is an increasingly important part of many national economies, and trade-related capacity development and standards development need to be strengthened to help countries define their policies and develop systems to take advantage of new trade opportunities. At the same time, the rising import dependency for some developing countries means that they need effective regulatory systems or frameworks to safeguard their agriculture and the environment.

The IPP contains market access-related information for the export of plants and plant products. For the development of viable export systems, a functioning NPPO is needed to ensure that phytosanitary import requirements are met. ISPMs provide guidelines on pest lists, pest status, the establishment of pest free areas, pest free places of production and production sites, and areas of low pest prevalence. ISPMs also describe export certification systems and the use of phytosanitary certificates. ISPMs for specific pests and specific commodities could relieve NPPOs of the need to conduct PRAs and recommend phytosanitary measures for specific plant products, and thus facilitate safe trade amongst countries. The development of an electronic IPPC certification system is being pursued.

The standard setting system, in particular how it develops and adopts diagnostic protocols and phytosanitary treatments, has been criticized for it slowness. The IPPC keeps the process under review with the intent to develop more efficient procedures for standard setting.

Regarding capacity development, the setting up of efficient and recognized systems for the export of plant material, with surveillance and inspection systems and appropriate phytosanitary certification, is a most effective means of assisting a developing country to develop and maintain an export industry.

The IPPC has a major role in the WTO-SPS framework and works on areas of common interest with the World Animal Health Organisation (OIE) and Codex Alimentarius in respect of the implementation of standards which facilitate trade.

ISPMs also provide guidance on the establishment of import verification systems. Capacity development is essential in this area to ensure safe trade and the protection of agriculture and the environment from the introduction of new pests that could negatively impact national food security.

Import or export requirements can be an area of disagreement between countries. The dispute settlement systems of the IPPC could help resolve such challenges.

Organizational results

C1 – Countries evaluate and upgrade their phytosanitary certification systems to take account of the revised standards.
C2 – Safe trade is facilitated by the provision in the IPPC of a forum for discussion of plant health issues and by the development of pest-specific or commodity-based ISPMs along with associated phytosanitary measures.
C3 – Consultative mechanisms in the dispute settlement systems are utilized and reported.

D. Develop phytosanitary capacity of members to accomplish a), b) and c)
The increased participation of smallholders in value chains can contribute significantly to poverty reduction and rural development. Any reduction in production losses underpins the success of these value chains. For example, it is imperative to guard against pest attack, to reduce the costs of protection of crops from pest outbreaks, and to eliminate product contamination that could prohibit or complicate market access. Continual improvements in plant protection and import and export systems are imperatives for developing countries to capture trade opportunities.

This frequently includes the development of a fully functioning and sustainable NPPO. To do this requires information, training, and resources such as laboratories and equipment. The IPPC has developed the phytosanitary capacity evaluation (PCE) tool that helps countries assess their phytosanitary capabilities and needs and plan their own development priorities. Assistance to developing countries to enhance their participation in the IPPC activities, including the IPPC Standard setting process and information exchange, is provided by the IPPC in the form of their funded attendance at workshops and meetings. Regional workshops on draft standards are held each year to allow officials of developed and developing countries to discuss the draft ISPMs that are in the development phase. The IPPC has recently adopted a long-term strategy for capacity development. This is receiving strong support and is being guided by a team made up of representatives from each FAO region.

The IPPC has developed an Implementation Review and Support System (IRSS) which includes a review of the implementation of the IPPC and ISPMs by members (using a triennial questionnaire and feedback system) and an “IPPC Help Desk” that is made available to IPPC members.

### Organizational results

**D1** – Developing countries are assisted in capacity development programmes by identifying their needs and priorities using a Phytosanitary Capacity Evaluation tool.

**D2** – Countries cooperate and collaborate with aid agencies to develop capacity development programmes in developing countries by means of mechanisms established by the IPPC.

**D3** – The Implementation Review and Support System is fully implemented. This provides information on the implementation of the IPPC and its standards, and the challenges that members are dealing with, including problems with the implementation of standards.

**D4** – Information resources are made available to allow countries to improve national phytosanitary capacity.

### Functional objectives

**X. Effective collaboration with members and stakeholders**

This functional objective links the services provided by the IPPC and its Secretariat to the organizational results by cooperation with sponsors, members supporting the IPPC trust fund, members providing assistance in kind and by means of effective liaison all those involved in capacity development programmes.

Raising the awareness among, and appropriate engagement of, stakeholders (e.g. relevant international organisations, industry, forestry agents, traders, the general public) is of
vital importance to increase the sense of urgency and responsibility of all partners involved to protect the world’s plant resources against plant pests.

Organizational results
X1 – The programmes of the IPPC are sustainably funded as a result of an effective resource mobilization strategy and strong commitment from FAO.
X2 – The profile of the IPPC is raised by the development and implementation of a strong advocacy programme and dynamic communication plan.
X3 – The IPPC develops major activity based strategic plans with associated short- to medium-term plans, including the agreement of priorities, based on the strategic framework.

Y. Efficient and effective administration

The IPPC Secretariat plays a fundamental role in facilitating global dialogue and cooperation in protecting plant health. This plant protection function directly supports global food security, the protection of plant resources including biodiversity, and the safe movement and marketing of agricultural products. Hence, a top administrative and organizational priority is to strengthen the capacity of the IPPC Secretariat toward greater effectiveness and efficiency of the group.

Organizational results
Y1 – The Secretariat is efficient and highly productive.
Y2 – The finances of the IPPC Secretariat are well managed in a transparent and informative manner.
Y3 – The IPPC analyzes, and if appropriate, develops and exercises a degree of greater financial and administrative authority while remaining within the framework of FAO.
Y4 – The IPPC expands the IPP to support all agreed activities of the IPPC work programme, particularly advocacy, resource mobilization, standard setting, information exchange and capacity development.

Core functions

The core functions of the IPPC are:
A. setting standards and recommendations and technical guidance including diagnostic protocols and phytosanitary treatments
B. providing a means for the dissemination of information and knowledge on pests and phytosanitary issues
C. coordinating the development of technical support for national phytosanitary capacity
D. providing dispute settlement facilitation
E. providing support for the implementation of the IPPC, and its standards
F. undertaking resource mobilization and advocacy activities to promote the activities of the IPPC and to garner funds for these activities.

The strategic objectives, functional objectives and core functions relate closely to those of the FAO. The activities under the strategic objectives are ordered under these core functions when described in the medium-term plan.
A. Standard Setting

The development and adoption of standards, recommendations, diagnostic protocols and phytosanitary treatments is currently the major role of the CPM and the IPPC Secretariat. FAO provides a neutral forum for members to negotiate such international instruments as the IPPC. IPPC standards are recognized by the World Trade Organization (WTO) as international benchmarks for trade in plant commodities.

B. Information Exchange

Knowledge management and dissemination includes information on pest occurrence, pest outbreaks, pest distribution, pest spread, control measures, surveillance results, emergency programmes and other technical resources. This information exchange is essential for the implementation of the Convention and its standards. The Secretariat publishes the standards and specifications, recommendations and other technical resources. The IPP is the agreed means for this purpose. Its maintenance and continued development is vital for the work of members.

Communication and advocacy are increasingly important in the work of the IPPC and this needs to become a core component of the IPPC knowledge management system that will result in increased awareness and benefits of the IPPC, and hence generate increased support for the work of the IPPC.

C. Capacity Development

As noted earlier, this function is essential for the implementation of the Convention and its standards, particularly so for developing countries. A long-term strategy and operational plans for capacity development has been developed to provide a comprehensive schema to use in furthering the work of the IPPC in this area.

D. Dispute Settlement Facilitation

Along with the development of a manual for the use of members, the Secretariat has worked informally in this area on a number of problems. Therefore, it is considered essential to retain the availability of a dispute settlement mechanism for members for possible future use.

E. The Implementation of the IPPC, its Standards and Recommendations

An implementation programme called the Implementation Review and Support System (IRSS) has been established. It involves two mechanisms: a Helpdesk to answer questions regarding capacity development and assist with programme development; and an assessment of the phytosanitary capabilities of countries utilizing information gathered from the PCE tool, RPPOs, IPPC Secretariat information exchange programme, and that gathered from members using a specially designed questionnaire.

F. Advocacy and Resource Mobilization

The development of advocacy materials and programmes is necessary for the progress of the IPPC and its standards. The IPPC has to develop a stronger profile with a much wider audience. Also, the development of mechanisms for resource mobilization is essential to develop further funding resources.
CONCLUSION: IPPC OVER THE NEXT EIGHT YEARS

Globalization has created many opportunities and challenges for farmers, foresters, plant health officials, and others involved in or concerned with food production and plant protection issues. New and changing patterns associated with international trade, climate, and demographics are expected to effect the global distribution of plant pests, thus creating new threats to food security, agricultural and rural communities, plant biodiversity, natural habitats and ecosystems around the world.

A core contribution of the IPPC to managing these global challenges is developing and maintaining an effective and credible forum where plant protection officials can communicate, debate, and cooperate in joint actions and measures to address long term and newly emerging global plant health issues. The expanding IPPC membership over the past decade reflects a majority view on the necessity and benefit of such coordination at the global level. However, this collaboration and coordination does not occur in a vacuum. Structures, systems, and mechanisms need to be established and maintained to foster these intergovernmental and international relationships. The IPPC is that key international structure for ensuring cooperation in plant health, including collaboration among contracting parties, RPPOs and other stakeholders.

Looking ahead, the IPPC contracting parties, RPPOs and the IPPC Secretariat will focus on the following key themes over the next 8 years:

1. Enhance its contribution to the global food security agenda through new and updated standards aimed at preventing pest spread in trade and active information exchange programmes related to communicating pest occurrence, outbreaks, and sharing of other critical pest information among countries.
2. Enhance IPPC actions and measures aimed at safeguarding the environment, forests, and biodiversity against plant pests. The IPPC will continue working closely with countries and other international organizations such as the CBD to address the threat of invasive alien species. IPPC standards will be developed to address the needs of the environmental community as it relates to plant biodiversity and emerging problems associated with invasive alien species which are plant pests.
3. Support the safe expansion of food and agricultural trade. Trade is an important part of many countries’ economic growth strategy. The IPPC will develop the necessary standards to support and guide this expanding trade among countries as well as operate an IPPC Help Desk to assist countries develop their plant health-regulatory systems to take advantage of new regional and international trade opportunities.
4. Develop the phytosanitary capacity of members through the assessment of NPPOs’ capacities and needs, and the subsequent development of prioritized assistance programmes. This will be coupled with strategies for identifying potential donor organizations involved in capacity building and development.
5. Actively review and support the broad implementation of IPPC and its standards through an Implementation Review and Support System. This includes use of the IPPC Helpdesk to assist with capacity development programmes and a
mechanism to assess the phytosanitary capabilities of countries from information gathered by specifically designed means.

6. Implement cost-effective approaches to its work and adopt new approaches for prioritizing, monitoring and evaluating IPPC programmes and activities. A top organizational priority is to strengthen the capacity of the IPPC Secretariat toward greater effectiveness and efficiency of the staff.

7. Continuously explore possible additional roles of IPPC.

The evidence is clear from the growth in IPPC membership, increasing participation of countries in IPPC events and activities, and increasing interest by industry groups in the IPPC standards setting programme that countries are eager to collaborate with one another in addressing global plant protection issues and advancing the objectives of the Convention. However, achieving these goals and advancing the cause of plant protection in the years ahead will ultimately depend on commitments and priorities of governments and other parties to provide the necessary resources to the IPPC and its Secretariat to carry out this critical work.
ANNEX 4: IPPC National Phytosanitary Capacity Development Strategy

NATIONAL PHYTOSANITARY CAPACITY BUILDING STRATEGY

1. Introduction
A strategy is a plan of action designed to work towards a vision, or a future desired situation ideally starting from a known current situation or starting point. A strategy facilitates decision making and provides a framework for effective action.

Vision statement
NPPOs able to effectively and sustainably service the needs of their country in the protection of plants and plant products and the facilitation of trade.

Achievement of this vision would result in:
   a) All contracting parties implementing the ISPMs they need.
   b) All contracting parties meet their obligations under the IPPC.
   c) The IPPC reflects the goals of all its members.
   d) Phytosanitary capacity of contracting parties evolving in response to changing circumstances
   e) Phytosanitary issues embedded in policy
   f) Effective regional cooperation

2. Situation analysis
An analysis of the current capacity of IPPC member countries to implement the IPPC and to fulfil their obligations as IPPC members, provides the justification and a starting point for the phytosanitary capacity building strategy. Various phytosanitary capacity situation analyses have been carried out over the past two or three years for a variety of purposes. The results of these analyses provide at least a partial situation description of the current capacity of IPPC member countries and the capacity of the IPPC community overall (encompassing the CPM, the IPPC Secretariat, the NPPOs, and the contracting parties) to build further capacity among its members.

- The independent evaluation of the workings of the IPPC and its institutional arrangements analyzed the technical assistance activities of the IPPC Secretariat, the decisions and follow-up of (I)CPM decisions, and made recommendations regarding technical assistance and strengthening phytosanitary capacity. The evaluation included the observations that: there have been no priorities set for capacity building activities by the IPPC Secretariat; staff resources in the Secretariat were not sufficient to carry out TCP projects and provide follow up; scarce Secretariat resources were used for non-core IPPC capacity building activities; there was little donor involvement in phytosanitary capacity building projects. The evaluation recommended that IPPC should not be involved with phytosanitary capacity building projects, except for core activities such as training workshops for the implementation of standards, IPPC meeting attendance and support to the International Phytosanitary Portal. The CPM rejected the recommendation and decided to develop a phytosanitary capacity building strategy.

- The discussion paper prepared by the World Trade Organization for the OEWG-BNPC (Open ended working group on building national phytosanitary capacity which met in 2008) on building national phytosanitary capacity showed that plant protection projects are typically last on the list

when it comes to disbursements related to training. It also noted that the confidentiality of the results of the PCE tool limits its usefulness from the perspective of coordinating technical cooperation activities.

- The evaluation carried out by CABI of the PCE showed that the PCE is a valuable tool in assessing a country’s phytosanitary capacity, but falls short in several areas and is not always used as the basis for national development plans.

- The OEWG-BNPC (2008) noted that:
  - There is often poor communication on the importance of plant protection within countries; national governments may set policies and priorities that are not in line with the objective of preventing the spread of plant pests; public/private partnerships are useful and essential to the sustainability of plant protection programs; regional approaches work; there is a need for information of new and emerging plant pest issues.
  - “Plant protection” and “plant quarantine” do not capture attention in the way that “biosecurity” does.
  - Other agreements such as the SPS agreement have a significant impact on the work of the IPPC.
  - The low profile of IPPC internationally and of plant protection programs nationally, resulting in a perceived non-importance of plant protection, has resulted in few available resources and difficulty in acquiring resources, both for the Secretariat and to carry out the work programme of the IPPC.

- The OEWG-BNPC (2008) recognized that:
  - Implementation of standards can be complex, involving many different areas. Currently there is a gap between the development of standards and their implementation.
  - The proposed implementation review and support system, in particular the establishment of a help desk for the IPPC has not progressed.
  - Not all RPPOs are equal and activities suggested to be carried out by RPPOs will not all be carried out to the desired level.
  - There are a range of other geopolitical groupings that are relevant to the IPPC.
  - The capacity levels of countries are very different. Thus a one-size-fits-all approach will not work.
  - Phytosanitary capacity building is going on, but often the different initiatives are not well coordinated. There is a need to find out where the gaps are and prevent duplication.
  - The lack of resources are a significant limiting factor to capacity building.
  - The availability of expertise to develop and deliver capacity building is sometimes a limiting factor.

3. Draft Strategy

The table below summarizes the proposed National Phytosanitary Capacity Building Strategy as amended by the open ended working group which met in December 2009 (OEWG-BNPC, Dec 2009). The six strategic areas are the components of a global strategy with stakeholders at national, regional and international level, each with a role to play. In some areas the Secretariat has a lead role to play, while in others, such as national phytosanitary planning, the Secretariat can support or assist an activity led by another stakeholder. The strategy is further elaborated in corresponding logical frameworks and workplans prepared for each strategic area identified.
Revised summary of strategic areas showing goals (January 2009)

|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------|------------|
| 1. National phytosanitary planning | 1. National phytosanitary planning (and management) | • Enhanced national phytosanitary systems planning, management and leadership. | • develop methods and tools to help countries assess and prioritize their phytosanitary needs, including gap analysis | • implement PCE improvements from the CABI review  
  • review the OIE-PVS (and IICA phytosanitary PVS tool) and use as basis to develop a new more comprehensive gap analysis process for phytosanitary needs (including stakeholders; peer review step etc.)  
  • support preparation of national phytosanitary action plans (NPAPs)  
  • develop tools and guidelines for preparing NPAPs  
  • encourage inclusive approaches for preparing NPAPs  
  • follow up on assessment with national phytosanitary capacity strategy |
| 2. Standard setting and implementation | 2a. Participation in standard setting | • Capacity of contracting parties to participate in IPPC standard setting improved. | • enhance countries’ effective participation in CPM (and in the standard setting process) | • assess participation of countries at CPM  
  • develop orientation programme for new CPM delegates to participate in CPM (immediately prior to CPM)  
  • facilitate regional discussion on CPM positions (in region or immediately prior to CPM), and coordination during meetings  
  • continue regional draft standards workshops  
  • encourage and support participation in expert working groups, technical panels |
| | 2b. Standards implementation | • Contracting parties (and non-contracting parties) are able to implement ISPMs in line with their needs. | • establish and adopt standards implementation review and support system (IRSS) | • develop guidelines/tips for implementation  
  • provide help desk  
  • develop training materials, deliver training, feedback mechanisms from workshops  
  • develop list of experienced facilitators for implementing ISPMs  
  • develop tools for sharing experiences  
  • regional draft standards workshops |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3. Coordination and communication</td>
<td>3a. Communication and coordination</td>
<td>• Coordinated phytosanitary capacity development are addressing priority needs.</td>
<td>• collect, collate and disseminate information on plant protection programmes and existing capacity building providers and projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• define exactly what information to collect from whom (countries, donors, through linkages, all other partners)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• take advantage of existing databases, projects, CPM meeting reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• advise countries and donors on possible synergies and opportunities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• collaboration with partners (implementation and supervision agreements, initiatives, etc) – Standards and Trade Development Facility (STDF) projects, World Bank missions, Centers of Phytosanitary Excellence (COPE), etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• create mechanism for matchmaking for mentoring, coaching and assistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3b. Pest information</td>
<td>• Capability to provide plant pest information enhanced.</td>
<td>• document world plant pest status (emerging issues), including regional perspectives (annual report as an advocacy tool)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• determine resource needs for IPPC secretariat related to capacity building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• assess current resources available to IPPC to deliver capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 5. Advocacy

- **Improved capacity to promote national phytosanitary systems**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategic Areas</th>
<th>Outcome/Purpose (OEWG-BNPC, Dec. 2009)</th>
<th>Goals</th>
<th>Activities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Strategic Areas refined (OEWG-BNPC, Dec. 2009) | 5. Advocacy | • Improved capacity to promote national phytosanitary systems | • Adopt “Paris principles” for phytosanitary capacity building activities (national commitment, etc) | • OEWG/sub group to draft principles for effective phytosanitary capacity building for approval by CPM  
• SPTA reviews principles  
• CPM 5 adopts principles |

- Help countries ‘embed’ phytosanitary considerations in policy and national development strategies
- Assist phytosanitary authorities to communicate effectively with other institutions within their country, with other countries and with regional organizations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategic Areas</th>
<th>Outcome/Purpose (OEWG-BNPC, Dec. 2009)</th>
<th>Goals</th>
<th>Activities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Strategic Areas refined (OEWG-BNPC, Dec. 2009) | 6. Monitoring and evaluation | • Capacity development actively monitored, evaluated and lessons learned acted upon. | • Develop approaches for impact assessment for phytosanitary capacity building (in accordance with “Paris principles” and regarding IPPC strategy) | • Ensure involvement of all stakeholders (including creating networks for sustainability, involving universities, public-private partnerships, etc)  
• Link to other national initiatives |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• monitoring to assess impact of capacity building activities (review and evaluation)</td>
<td>• develop, test and adopt criteria for ‘seal of approval’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• monitor and continuously improve IPPC capacity building programmes</td>
<td>• promote with donors and countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• develop IPPC ‘seal of approval’ for capacity building programmes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Logical Framework for the IPPC National Phytosanitary Capacity Development Strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact/Goal</th>
<th>Key Indicator</th>
<th>Means of Verification</th>
<th>Assumptions / Risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Cooperation between nations in protecting the world’s cultivated and natural plant resources from the spread and introduction of pests of plants, while minimizing interference with the international movement of goods and people | • Reduction of the absolute number of people suffering from hunger  
• Increased food production  
• Sustainable management and utilization of natural resources | Global poverty and hunger indices (World Bank, FAOSTAT, etc.) | Global economic crisis continues diverting resources from aid to agricultural productivity in Developing countries |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome/Purpose</th>
<th>Key Indicators</th>
<th>Means of Verification</th>
<th>Assumptions / Risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| NPPOs able to effectively and sustainably service the needs of their country in the protection of plants and plant products and the facilitation of trade | 1. Number of IPPC standards implemented per country  
2. Phytosanitary capacity evolving in response to changing circumstances  
3. Number of CPs with phytosanitary issues embedded in national phytosanitary policies | 1. IRSS data; RPPO reports; NPPO reports  
2. PCE data; NPPO annual reports; RPPO reports; Independent evaluation reports.  
3. Policy review reports | Global conflicts, natural disasters and compounded effects at the national level by the global economic crisis diverts funds from NPPOs |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outputs</th>
<th>Key Indicator</th>
<th>Means of Verification</th>
<th>Assumptions / Risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1. Enhanced national phytosanitary systems planning, management and leadership. | 1. Application of the PCE tool  
2. National strategies or action plans approved  
3. Number of CPs with managers trained in management best practices  
4. Number of emergency pest response plans develop by CPs | 1. PCE data; NPPO annual reports; RPPO reports; Independent evaluation reports.  
2. IRSS data; RPPO reports; NPPO reports | The IPPC and partners can develop tools, but NPPOs may not be able or have local support to apply them. |

| 2. Capacity of contracting parties to participate in IPPC standard setting improved. | 1. Number of CPs attending CPM and key IPPC meetings  
2. Level of feedback of CPs after meetings | 1. IRSS data; RPPO reports; REC and other partners’ reports  
2. IPCC meeting reports; Survey feedback reports | Governments do not allocate funding to ensure participation |

| 3. Contracting parties (and non-contracting parties) are able to implement ISPMs in line with their needs. | 1. Number of ISPMs reported by CPs to be fully implemented  
2. Number of guidelines, manuals, SOPs, training and advocacy materials being adopted and used by CPs  
3. CPs budgets reflect the adequacy of country programmes  
4. Level of global, regional and national investments to improve implementation of ISPMs  
5. Level of participation and investments in global, regional and national trainings specific to ISPMs  
6. Level of funding channelled through the IPPC for capacity development | 1. IRSS data; RPPO reports; REC and other partners’ reports  
2. IPCC reports; Survey feedback reports  
3. PCE data; NPPO annual reports; Audit reports; Independent evaluation reports.  
4. IPCC helpdesk data and M&E data.  
5. IPCC projects and activities database data;  
6. Partners’ financial reports | Global conflicts, natural disasters and compounded effects at the national level by the global economic crisis de-prioritizes the importance of the NPPOs and funding is reduced for effective implementation of ISPMs. |

---


67 Refers to the Contracting Parties of the International Plant Protection Convention, which, at time of preparation of this document, numbers 179.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outputs</th>
<th>Key Indicator</th>
<th>Means of Verification</th>
<th>Assumptions / Risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Number coordinated global, regional and national projects implemented per year</td>
<td>1. IRSS data; IPPC and Partners’ reports</td>
<td>Partners disregard the need for coordination due to other priorities or policies and continue to implement capacity building resulting in duplicated work and resources.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Number of functional coordination mechanisms reported to be implemented by CPs</td>
<td>2. Targeted survey feedback reports</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Number of inter-organizational (donors, technical assistance providers, RECs etc.) meetings held per year addressing phytosanitary issues at global, regional or national level</td>
<td>3. PCE data; NPPO annual reports; Audit reports; Independent evaluation reports.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Degree of CP satisfaction on the assistance provided by the IPPC helpdesk</td>
<td>4. IPPC helpdesk data.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. IPPC projects database and activities data</td>
<td>5. IPPC projects database and activities data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Rate of pest reporting by CPs and RPPOs through the IPPC portal</td>
<td>1. IPP data; IPPC and Partners’ reports</td>
<td>NPPOs refuse to notify pests status for fear of reprisals such as trade prohibitions or restrictions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Number of functional diagnostic laboratories at regional or national levels reported by CPs or RPPOs</td>
<td>2. Targeted survey feedback reports</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Rate of change of number pest specimens hosted in national collections</td>
<td>3. PCE data; NPPO annual reports; Audit reports; Independent evaluation reports.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Number of pest notifications reported through pest early warning systems</td>
<td>4. IPPC helpdesk data.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Number of inter-organizational (donors, technical assistance providers, RECs etc.) meetings held per year for resource mobilization to address phytosanitary issues at global, regional or national level</td>
<td>1. IRSS data; IPPC and Partners’ reports</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Degree of change over previous years of budgetary support to IPPC</td>
<td>2. Targeted survey feedback reports</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Degree of self sustainability of NPPOs of CPs</td>
<td>3. PCE data; NPPO annual reports; Audit reports; Independent evaluation reports.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Degree of change over previous years of budgetary support to NPPOs</td>
<td>4. IPPC projects database and activities data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Partners’ financial reports</td>
<td>5. Partners’ financial reports</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Number of policies and legislation developed, updated or enforced, at regional and national levels, with phytosanitary issues embedded.</td>
<td>1. IRSS data; IPPC and Partners’ reports</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Number of guidelines, documentation, training and other materials addressing advocacy developed and used at global, regional and national levels</td>
<td>2. Targeted survey feedback reports</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Level of participation and investments in global, regional and national trainings specific to policy makers, senior government officials and private sector stakeholders</td>
<td>3. PCE data; NPPO annual reports; Audit reports; Independent evaluation reports.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Number of public-private sector partnerships reported by CPs</td>
<td>4. IPPC projects database and activities data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Partners’ financial reports</td>
<td>5. Partners’ financial reports</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Degree of uptake of M&amp;E methods by CPs</td>
<td>1. IRSS data; RIPPO reports; REC and other partners’ reports</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Number and type of reviews conducted by CPs, RPPOs and other phytosanitary agencies</td>
<td>2. IPPC reports; Survey feedback reports</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Number of excellence awards provided by the IPPC</td>
<td>3. NPPO annual reports; Audit reports; Independent evaluation reports.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. IPPC global capacity development strategy updated every 6 years</td>
<td>4. IPPC M&amp;E data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. IPPC projects and activities database data</td>
<td>5. IPPC projects and activities database data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Country level data may not be shared readily for fear that such information may impact trade opportunities for some countries.
The IPPC Capacity Development Work plan

Strategic Area 1: National PS Planning and Management

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity No.</th>
<th>Output</th>
<th>Sub-activities</th>
<th>Lead Entity</th>
<th>Supported by</th>
<th>Funding Options</th>
<th>Notes/Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ST1/O1/A1.1</td>
<td>1. Fit-for-purpose tools and processes for phytosanitary systems(PS) planning.</td>
<td>Identifying and review tools for PS capacity evaluation</td>
<td>IPPC Sec</td>
<td>NPPO, RPPO</td>
<td>IPPC Sec, FAO-TCP, Donors</td>
<td>Donors includes bilateral, multilateral, regional and sub-regional funded projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST1/O1/A1.2</td>
<td>1. Fit-for-purpose tools and processes for phytosanitary systems(PS) planning.</td>
<td>Develop new or revise existing fit for purpose tools</td>
<td>IPPC Sec</td>
<td>NPPO, RPPO</td>
<td>IPPC Sec, RPPO, FAO-TCP, Donors</td>
<td>Other activities are depended on tools being revised or developed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST1/O1/A1.3</td>
<td>1. Fit-for-purpose tools and processes for phytosanitary systems(PS) planning.</td>
<td>Development of IPPC core training materials</td>
<td>IPPC</td>
<td>NPPO, RPPO</td>
<td>IPPC Sec, RPPO, FAO-TCP, Donors</td>
<td>Other activities are depended on tools being revised or developed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST1/O2/A2.1</td>
<td>2. Critical competencies available in the national phytosanitary system to undertake national planning, management and provide leadership to the NPPO.</td>
<td>Regional or national policy discussions including planning management and leadership on the mandate of the NPPO</td>
<td>RPPO</td>
<td>NPPO, IPPC Sec and others</td>
<td>RPPO, National, IPPC Sec, FAO-TCP, Donors, others</td>
<td>National activities based on needs and priorities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST1/O2/A2.2</td>
<td>2. Critical competencies available in the national phytosanitary system to undertake national planning, management and provide leadership to the NPPO.</td>
<td>Training (project management, proposal writing, administrative and management, leadership, staff training programme development)</td>
<td>NPPO</td>
<td>IPPC Sec, RPPO, STDF</td>
<td>National, IPPC Sec, RPPO, FAO-TCP, Donors, others</td>
<td>National activities based on needs and priorities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST1/O2/A2.3</td>
<td>2. Critical competencies available in the national phytosanitary system to undertake national planning, management and provide leadership to the NPPO.</td>
<td>Undertake baseline study on planning and management requirements in the national phytosanitary system including stakeholder engagement</td>
<td>NPPO</td>
<td>RPPO, IPPC Sec.</td>
<td>National, IPPC Sec, RPPO, FAO-TCP, Donors</td>
<td>National activities based on needs and priorities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST1/O2/A2.4</td>
<td>2. Critical competencies available in the national phytosanitary system to undertake national planning, management and provide leadership to the NPPO.</td>
<td>Develop mentoring opportunities to support national phytosanitary planning and management</td>
<td>NPPO</td>
<td>RPPO, IPPC Sec, STDF, others</td>
<td>National, IPPC Sec, RPPO, FAO-TCP, Donors</td>
<td>National activities based on needs and priorities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST1/O3/A3.1</td>
<td>3. Best practice for national phytosanitary action plans developed.</td>
<td>Develop national phytosanitary action plans and HR plans and succession planning</td>
<td>NPPO</td>
<td>RPPO, Others, IPPC Sec.</td>
<td>National, IPPC Sec, RPPO, FAO-TCP, Donors</td>
<td>National activities based on needs and priorities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST1/O3/A3.2</td>
<td>3. Best practice for national phytosanitary action plans developed.</td>
<td>Develop national emergency response plans for regulated pests that incorporate regional Emergency response plans, where they exist</td>
<td>NPPO</td>
<td>RPPO, Others, IPPC Sec.</td>
<td>National, IPPC Sec, RPPO, FAO-TCP, Donors</td>
<td>National activities based on needs and priorities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Strategic Area 2: Participation in Standard Setting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity No.</th>
<th>Output</th>
<th>Sub-activities</th>
<th>Lead entity</th>
<th>Supported by</th>
<th>Funding options</th>
<th>Notes/Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ST2/O1/A1.1</td>
<td>1. Enhanced regional coordination of inputs into the standard setting process</td>
<td>RPPO coordinate discussion for a/workshops on draft standards, new topics, specifications and CPM preparation</td>
<td>RPPO</td>
<td>IPPC Sec, RECs, Other integration organizations</td>
<td>FAO, Donors, IPPC Sec</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST2/O1/A1.2</td>
<td>Training RPPOs staff and regional experts on participation in all stages of the standard setting process (e.g. topics, specifications, representation on the SC and in other technical bodies, draft standards)</td>
<td>IPPC Sec</td>
<td>RPPOs, Experts</td>
<td>IPPC Sec, FAO, Donors, RPPOs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST2/O1/A1.3</td>
<td>Training RPPOs staff and regional experts to facilitate/coordinate standard setting meetings</td>
<td>IPPC Sec</td>
<td>RPPOs, Experts, RPPOs</td>
<td>IPPC Sec, FAO, Donors, RPPOs</td>
<td>Linked to budgeted workshops that the IPPC holds. This expenditure represents an additional days cost to the normal 5 day meeting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST2/O2/A2.1</td>
<td>2. Enhanced involvement of stakeholders at national level</td>
<td>Hold multi-stakeholder discussion, fora, training, workshops, web on draft ISPMs, new topics, specifications, CPM, etc</td>
<td>NPPO</td>
<td>RPPOs, RECs Other organizations, IPPC Sec, FAO</td>
<td>Donors, NPPO, RPPO, FAO, IPPC Sec</td>
<td>A meeting of a few hours prior to start of the CPM.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST2/O3/A3.1</td>
<td>3. Quality of contracting parties participation improved</td>
<td>Hold orientation programme for new CPM delegates</td>
<td>IPPC Sec</td>
<td>RPPOs, FAO</td>
<td>Donors, NPPO, RPPO, FAO, IPPC Sec</td>
<td>A meeting of a few hours prior to start of the Subsidiary Body's meeting (e.g. Bureau, SPTA, EWG, TP, SC etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST2/O3/A3.2</td>
<td>Peer/coaching/mentoring for new members of subsidiary bodies of the IPPC</td>
<td>IPPC Sec</td>
<td>RPPOs, FAO</td>
<td>Donors, NPPO, RPPO, FAO, IPPC Sec</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST2/O3/A3.3</td>
<td>Support X participation in EWGs and Technical panels (Max 2 technical standards per year)</td>
<td>IPPC Sec</td>
<td>RPPOs, NPPOs</td>
<td>Donors, NPPO, RPPO, FAO, IPPC Sec</td>
<td>Only done for technical standards for which the members of an EWG or TP need a global view of the topic at hand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST2/O3/A3.4</td>
<td>Conduct in depth discussion with all stakeholders, on draft ISPMs</td>
<td>NPPO</td>
<td>RPPOs</td>
<td>Donors, NPPO, RPPO, FAO, IPPC Sec</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Review of draft standards at national level</td>
<td>NPPO</td>
<td>RPPO, RECs and other organizations</td>
<td>IPPC Sec</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST2/O3/A3.5</td>
<td>Engage government to commit the human and financial resources for the NPPO to participate in the standard setting process and formalize its commitments using relevant instruments</td>
<td>NPPO</td>
<td>RPPO, RECs and other organizations</td>
<td>Donors, NPPO, RPPO, FAO, IPPC Sec</td>
<td>This activity can be combined with other workshops or conducted independently</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST2/O3/A3.6</td>
<td>Monitoring and evaluation</td>
<td>CPM</td>
<td>NPPOs, RPPOs, IPPC Sec, Donors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Annual reports</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Midterm review</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>External evaluation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity No.</td>
<td>Output</td>
<td>Sub-activity</td>
<td>Lead Entity</td>
<td>Supported by</td>
<td>Funding Options</td>
<td>Notes/Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST3/01/A1</td>
<td>Enhanced involvement of stakeholders at national level</td>
<td>Identifying implementation issues associated with adopted and draft standards (Approx 5 per year).</td>
<td>NPPO</td>
<td>RPPOs, RECs Other organizations, IPPC Sec, FAO</td>
<td>Donors, NPPO, RPPO, FAO, IPPC Sec</td>
<td>Implementation requirements guideline prepared that goes out with each draft standard sent for country consultation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Accompanying draft implementation guidelines with draft standards (Approx 5 per year).</td>
<td>IPCC Sec/Expert</td>
<td>Experts, RPPOs, NPPOs</td>
<td>Donors, NPPO, RPPO, FAO, IPPC Sec</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A. Prepare guideline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B. Circulate / review guideline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C. Distribute guideline at regional workshops</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST3/02/A2</td>
<td>Improved understanding of implementation requirements of specific standards</td>
<td>Develop manuals; guidelines; factsheets; capacity needs assessment tools for implementing specific standards Implementation of 34 standards@ 2011</td>
<td>NPPO</td>
<td>NPPO, RPPOs, Donors, IPPC Sec, FAO, Others</td>
<td>NPPO, RPPOs, Donors, IPPC Sec, FAO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Development of new tools for implementation</td>
<td>IPCC/Experts RPPO, NPPO</td>
<td>RPPOs, NPPOs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Training on implementation of ISPMs</td>
<td>RPPO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST3/02/A2.1</td>
<td>Support provided for implementation of priority ISPMs</td>
<td>National level Implementation of 34 standards @ 2011</td>
<td>Experts, NPPO</td>
<td>RPPO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Regional level</td>
<td>RPPO/Experts IPPC Sec</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Workshops</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Higher level education</td>
<td>RPPO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Establishment of centers of excellence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Establishment of mentoring system for countries to help each other</td>
<td>RPPO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST3/02/A2.2</td>
<td>Mobilize resources for implementation of standards</td>
<td></td>
<td>NPPO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST3/02/A2.3</td>
<td>Develop advocacy materials</td>
<td></td>
<td>IPPC Sec/FAO</td>
<td>RPPOs, RECs and other organizations, SPTA</td>
<td>NPPOs, Donors, STDF, RPPOs, RECs and other organizations, IPPC Sec</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Advocate and Communicate</td>
<td></td>
<td>NPPO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Develop communication materials</td>
<td></td>
<td>IPPC Sec/FAO</td>
<td>RPPOs, RECs and other organizations, SPTA</td>
<td>NPPOs, Donors, STDF, RPPOs, RECs and other organizations, IPPC Sec</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Develop planning tools for specific ISPMs identified by the NPPOs</td>
<td></td>
<td>IPPC Sec/FAO</td>
<td>RPPOs, RECs and other organizations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Develop resource plan</td>
<td></td>
<td>NPPO</td>
<td>RPPOs, RECS and other organizations, SPTA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST3/03/A3</td>
<td>Level of implementation of ISPMs is appropriate for national needs</td>
<td>Staffing</td>
<td>NPPO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>donor matching</td>
<td></td>
<td>IPPC Sec</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Abbreviations: NPPO = National Plant Protection Organization, RPPO = Regional Plant Protection Organization, RECs = Regional Economic Commissions, IPPC = International Plant Protection Convention, FAO = Food and Agriculture Organization, SPTA = Strategic Plan for the Tropics, STDF = Strategic Trade Development Fund.*
| Level of implementation of ISPMs is appropriate for national needs | mentoring (see Activity 2.2) | NPPO | RPPOs, RECS and other organizations, IPPC Sec | Implement IRSS programme | IPPC Sec | RPPO, NPPO, RECS and other organizations | STDF, WTO, FAO, IPPC | 3000 |

**Note:** The document provides a table for capacity development strategies, focusing on the implementation of International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC) measures. It lists activities, responsible parties, and the level of implementation.
## Strategic Areas 4: Communication and Coordination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity No.</th>
<th>Output</th>
<th>Sub-activity</th>
<th>Lead Entity</th>
<th>Supported by</th>
<th>Funding Options</th>
<th>Notes/Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ST4/O1/A1</td>
<td>Information and resources of international, regional and national bodies identified, managed and coordinated.</td>
<td>Establish baseline</td>
<td>IPPC and RPPOs</td>
<td>NPPOs and RPPOs</td>
<td>Donors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST4/O1/A1.1</td>
<td>Build ICT system accessible to donors and recipients with limited general access</td>
<td>Establish &quot;help desk&quot; which is empowered to facilitate and maintain partnering between donors and recipients</td>
<td>IPPC</td>
<td>NPPOs and RPPOs</td>
<td>Donors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST4/O1/A1.2</td>
<td>Develop and conduct periodic survey of capacity development programs to populate the system</td>
<td>Train users on system operations.</td>
<td>IPPC</td>
<td>NPPOs and RPPOs</td>
<td>Donors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST4/O1/A1.3</td>
<td>Methods and pathways for communication used</td>
<td>Establish &quot;help desk&quot; which is empowered to facilitate and maintain partnering between donors and recipients</td>
<td>IPPC</td>
<td>NPPOs and RPPOs</td>
<td>Donors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST4/O2/A2.1</td>
<td>Each successive CPM encourages the use of help desk</td>
<td>Contracting parties or RPPOs reports information to IPPC on ongoing or planned projects in member nations</td>
<td>CPM</td>
<td>NPPOs and RPPOs</td>
<td>Donors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST4/O2/A2.2</td>
<td>Methods and pathways for communication used</td>
<td>Each successive CPM encourages the use of help desk</td>
<td>CPM</td>
<td>NPPOs and RPPOs</td>
<td>Donors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST4/O3/A3.2</td>
<td>Mechanism and synergies for coordination used</td>
<td>Contracting parties or RPPOs reports information to IPPC on ongoing or planned projects in member nations</td>
<td>RPPO</td>
<td>NPPOs and RPPOs</td>
<td>Donors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST4/O4/A4.1</td>
<td>Competencies for resource mobilization and management identified and supported through the national phytosanitary action plan</td>
<td>National networking mechanism established</td>
<td>NPPO</td>
<td>NPPOs and RPPOs</td>
<td>Donors</td>
<td>Initial development activity may require IPPC intervention for providing information of what is required - regional travel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST4/O4/A4.2</td>
<td>National coordination committee (SPS/biosecurity/trade facilitation, etc) established to engage other ministries/departments in cooperative activities that can benefit plant health efforts</td>
<td>National coordination committee (SPS/biosecurity/trade facilitation, etc) established to engage other ministries/departments in cooperative activities that can benefit plant health efforts</td>
<td>NPPO</td>
<td>NPPOs and RPPOs</td>
<td>Donors</td>
<td>Initial development activity may require IPPC intervention for providing description of what is required - regional travel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST4/O4/A5.1</td>
<td>Develop linkages between and among other regional and other multinational organizations</td>
<td>Develop linkages between and among other regional and other multinational organizations</td>
<td>IPPC/RPPO</td>
<td>NPPOs and RPPOs</td>
<td>Donors</td>
<td>Five donor conferences held on a regional basis at an average cost of $75,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Strategic Area 5: Pest Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity No</th>
<th>Output</th>
<th>Sub-activity</th>
<th>Lead Entity</th>
<th>Supported by</th>
<th>Funding Options</th>
<th>Notes/Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ST5/O1/A1</td>
<td>Contracting parties updated regulated pest lists and timely report outbreaks of regulated pests.</td>
<td>Gap analysis to determine requirements for surveillance, diagnostics, reference collections, information systems etc.</td>
<td>IPPC</td>
<td>IPPC/RPPO/NPPO and other organizations</td>
<td>Donors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST5/O2/A2</td>
<td>Pest data analysed, especially providing early warning for risk management, market access and risk analysis.</td>
<td>National Planning activities related to surveillance, diagnostics and related activities underpinning food security</td>
<td>NPPOs</td>
<td>IPPC/RPPO/and other organizations</td>
<td>Donors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST5/O/A3.1</td>
<td>Enhancement of surveillance skills through training - especially practical application</td>
<td></td>
<td>NPPO</td>
<td>RPPOs, IPPC Sec, Technical Assistance providers</td>
<td>Donors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST5/O/A3.2</td>
<td>Development of specialized diagnostic support at a regional level</td>
<td></td>
<td>RPPO</td>
<td>NPPO/IPPC</td>
<td>Donors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST5/O/A4.1</td>
<td>Enhancement of diagnostic capability through development of laboratory infrastructure</td>
<td></td>
<td>NPPO</td>
<td>NPPO/RPPO/IPPC</td>
<td>Donors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST5/O/A4.2</td>
<td>Enhancement of diagnostic capability through the provision of diagnostic tools</td>
<td></td>
<td>NPPO/RPPO/IPPC</td>
<td>Donors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST5/O/A4.3</td>
<td>Enhancement of diagnostic capability through development of networking</td>
<td></td>
<td>IPPC</td>
<td>RPPO/NPPO</td>
<td>Donors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST5/O/A4.4</td>
<td>Enhancement of reference collections and related physical facilities and curation protocols</td>
<td></td>
<td>NPPO</td>
<td>RPPO/IPPC</td>
<td>Donors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST5/O/A4.5</td>
<td>Confirmatory identifications for specimens to assist with reference collections, early warning for risk management, market access and risk analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td>NPPO</td>
<td>RPPO, IPPC</td>
<td>Donors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST5/O/A5.1</td>
<td>Create and deploy information systems at national level. Mechanisms created to provide pest information to NPPOs</td>
<td></td>
<td>NPPO</td>
<td>IPPC/RPPO</td>
<td>Donors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST5/O/A5.2</td>
<td>Training in compilation of pest information and management of information systems provided to national actors, including NPPOs</td>
<td></td>
<td>NPPO</td>
<td>IPPC/RPPO</td>
<td>Donors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST5/O/A5.3</td>
<td>Data entry</td>
<td></td>
<td>NPPOs</td>
<td>Donors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST5/O/A6.1</td>
<td>Capability to provide plant pest information enhanced</td>
<td>Training provided in analysis of pest information, preparation of pest reports and issuing of pest alert</td>
<td>NPPO/ RPPO</td>
<td>IPPC</td>
<td>Donors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST5/O1/A7</td>
<td>Pest information analyzed; reports and early warnings issued</td>
<td></td>
<td>NPPOs</td>
<td>IPPC/RPPO/NPPO</td>
<td>Donors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST5/O/A8</td>
<td>Embed IPPC related material in national tertiary and vocational curricula</td>
<td></td>
<td>NPPOs</td>
<td>IPPC/RPPO</td>
<td>Donors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Strategic Area 6: Resource Mobilization (Fundraising)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity No.</th>
<th>Output</th>
<th>Sub-activity</th>
<th>Lead Entity</th>
<th>Supported by</th>
<th>Funding Options</th>
<th>Notes/ Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ST6/O1/A1.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Donor coordination meetings at all levels</td>
<td>IPPC Sec</td>
<td>NPPO, RPPO</td>
<td>IPPC Sec, Donors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST6/O1/A1.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Coordinate and maximize the effectiveness of the funds available from various sources.</td>
<td>STDF, IPPC Sec</td>
<td>NPPO, RPPO, REC’s, Donors</td>
<td>IPPC Sec, RPPO, Trust Funds, Donors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST6/O1/A1.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Develop guidelines to be used by NPPOs for engaging donors</td>
<td>IPPC Sec</td>
<td>NPPO, RPPO</td>
<td>IPPC Sec, RPPO, Donors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST6/O1/A1.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Develop recommendations to be used by donors for granting funding support</td>
<td>IPPC Sec</td>
<td>NPPO, RPPO</td>
<td>National, IPPC Sec, RPPO, Donors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST6/O1/A1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hire a full-time fundraiser in the IPPC Secretariat</td>
<td>IPPC Sec</td>
<td>NPPO, RPPO, FAO and others</td>
<td>Trust Funds, IPPC Sec, Donors</td>
<td>Staff resource shared with other areas of the IPPC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST6/O1/A1.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>IPPC facilitates meeting with donors (e.g. side meetings at the CPM)</td>
<td>IPPC Sec.</td>
<td>NPPO, RPPO</td>
<td>Trust Fund, IPPC Sec, Donors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST6/O2/A2.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Undertake national baseline analysis and determine level of funds required.</td>
<td>NPPO</td>
<td>IPPC Sec, RPPO</td>
<td>National, IPPC Sec, RPPO, FAO- TOP, Donors</td>
<td>National activities will be based on need and cost is considered under ST1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST6/O2/A2.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Develop a mechanism to ensure sustainability of the operations of an NPPO, including cost sharing /cost-recovery/user-pay models</td>
<td>NPPO</td>
<td>IPPC Sec, RPPO, National stakeholders</td>
<td>National, IPPC Sec, RPPO, National end-user of PS services, Donors</td>
<td>National activities will be based on need and priority and cost is considered under ST1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST6/O2/A2.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>NPPO management develops and pursues appropriate funding level through the national budgetary processes</td>
<td>NPPO</td>
<td>National stakeholders</td>
<td>IPPC Sec, RPPO, National end-user of PS services, Donors</td>
<td>National activities will be based on need and cost is considered under ST1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Strategic Area 7: Advocacy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity No.</th>
<th>Output</th>
<th>Sub-activity</th>
<th>Lead Entity</th>
<th>Supported by</th>
<th>Funding Options</th>
<th>Notes/Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ST7/O1/A1.1</td>
<td>Enhanced involvement of the NPPO in formulating relevant national policies</td>
<td>Develop training materials; deliver training; evaluate training impact on policy</td>
<td>Multinational organizations</td>
<td>FAO, IPPC Sec, RPPO/RECS and other organizations</td>
<td>IFAD, FAO, WB, NPPO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST7/O1/A1.2</td>
<td>Conduct study of policy documents for possible impact on the phytosanitary system</td>
<td>National and regional mentoring</td>
<td>NPPO/RPPO</td>
<td>IPPC Sec</td>
<td>NPPO/RPPO</td>
<td>FAO, RPPO, RECS and other organizations, IFAD, FAO, WB, NPPO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST7/O2/A2.2</td>
<td>Enhanced NPPO capacity to promote their own capacity development needs</td>
<td>Develop guidelines for phytosanitary capacity building based on Paris Principles</td>
<td>RPPO/RECS and other organizations</td>
<td>FAO, NPPOs, RPPOs</td>
<td>STDF, FAO, WB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST7/O3/A3.1</td>
<td>NPPOs have better capacity to develop and implement communication/advocacy strategies</td>
<td>Develop training materials</td>
<td>IPPC Sec</td>
<td>FAO</td>
<td>FAO, WB, IFAD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td> </td>
<td> </td>
<td>Evaluate training impact</td>
<td>RPPOs, RECS and other organizations</td>
<td>Expert/NPPO/IPPC Sec</td>
<td> </td>
<td> </td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST7/O3/A3.2</td>
<td> </td>
<td> </td>
<td>NPPO</td>
<td> </td>
<td> </td>
<td> </td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST7/O4/A4.1</td>
<td>Enhanced capacity to coordinate national stakeholders</td>
<td>Develop tools for evidence based advocacy (economic analysis, cost/benefit, etc)</td>
<td>RPPO/IPPC Sec</td>
<td>FAO, RECS and other organizations</td>
<td>STDF, NPPO, WB, RECS and other organizations, RPPO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST7/O4/A4.2</td>
<td>Formalize regular linkages – bridge building with customs, immigration, trade groups and private sector</td>
<td>RPPO/IPPC Sec</td>
<td>FAO, RECS and other organizations</td>
<td>IPPC Sec</td>
<td>STDF, NPPO, WB, RECS and other organizations, RPPO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST7/O4/A4.3</td>
<td>Encourage public private partnership with users of the phytosanitary service</td>
<td> </td>
<td>RECS and other organizations</td>
<td> </td>
<td> </td>
<td> </td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST7/O4/A4.4</td>
<td>Develop and promote case studies of private sector/public sector collaboration to achieve phytosanitary / bio security / market access objectives</td>
<td> </td>
<td>RECS and other organizations</td>
<td> </td>
<td> </td>
<td> </td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td> </td>
<td> </td>
<td> </td>
<td> </td>
<td> </td>
<td> </td>
<td> </td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST7/O5/A5.1</td>
<td>Enhanced capacity of regional bodies to influence, assist, and promote national policy</td>
<td>Create fora for interchange of experiences and skills on phytosanitary advocacy among regional organizations</td>
<td> </td>
<td>FAO, RECS and other organizations</td>
<td>STDF, NPPO, WB, RECS and other organizations, RPPO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST7/O5/A5.2</td>
<td>Utilize other international fora (e.g. APEC) to advocate for national phytosanitary systems</td>
<td> </td>
<td>FAO, RECS and other organizations</td>
<td>IPPC Sec</td>
<td>STDF, NPPO, WB, RECS and other organizations, RPPO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST7/O5/A5.3</td>
<td>Conduct baseline study of RPPO relevance</td>
<td> </td>
<td>NPPO, RECS and other organizations</td>
<td> </td>
<td> </td>
<td> </td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST7/O6/A6.1</td>
<td>Enhanced the capacity of contracting parties to generate, access and retrieve data and information on advocacy</td>
<td> </td>
<td>NPPO, IPPC Sec, other organizations</td>
<td>RPPOs, RECS and other organizations</td>
<td>IPPO, FAO, WB, STDF, NPPO, RECS and other organizations, RPPO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST7/O6/A6.2</td>
<td>Review of current phytosanitary advocacy and communication documentation</td>
<td> </td>
<td> </td>
<td> </td>
<td> </td>
<td> </td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Strategic Area 8: Monitoring and Evaluation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity No.</th>
<th>Output</th>
<th>Sub-activity</th>
<th>Lead Entity</th>
<th>Supported by</th>
<th>Funding Options</th>
<th>Notes/Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ST8/O1/A1.1</td>
<td>Monitoring and evaluation tools developed and used throughout the</td>
<td>Identify existence and use of M&amp;E tools by contracting parties and others</td>
<td>IPPC Sec</td>
<td>NPPOs</td>
<td>Donors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>implementation of the global phytosanitary strategy at all levels.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST8/O1/A1.2</td>
<td>M&amp;E tools developed or adapted,</td>
<td>IPPC Sec</td>
<td></td>
<td>NPPOs and other organizations</td>
<td>Donors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Develop and populate a depository tool</td>
<td>IPPC Sec</td>
<td></td>
<td>NPPOs and other organizations</td>
<td>Donors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST8/O1/A1.3</td>
<td>Training in use of M&amp;E tools</td>
<td>IPPC Sec</td>
<td></td>
<td>Donors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST8/O1/A1.4</td>
<td>IPPC secretariat (and others) promote use of M&amp;E and depository tools</td>
<td>IPPC Sec</td>
<td></td>
<td>Donors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST8/O1/A1.5</td>
<td>Periodic review and assessment being conducted</td>
<td>IPPC Sec, NPPOs and other organizations</td>
<td></td>
<td>Donors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST8/O1/A1.7</td>
<td>Adjust M&amp;E tool when necessary</td>
<td>IPPC Sec, NPPOs</td>
<td></td>
<td>Donors, Interested NGOs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST8/O2/A2.1</td>
<td>Continual process of improvement (adaptive management)</td>
<td>Partner with leading organizations to conduct reviews and assessment.</td>
<td>IPPC Sec</td>
<td>Donors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST8/O3/A3</td>
<td>Share review results as appropriate and implement corrective measures</td>
<td>IPPC</td>
<td></td>
<td>Donors</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ANNEX 5: Statement of commitment for implementation and capacity development activities

STATEMENT OF COMMITMENT – Implementation and Capacity Development activities

[Updated by the IPPC Secretariat 2018-05]

STATEMENT OF COMMITMENT

Each nominee is requested to read the information listed and referenced in Appendix 1 for the relevant body, complete and sign this statement of commitment and submit it at the same time as the nomination and CV.

1. IPPC subsidiary body - Implementation and Capacity Development Committee (IC)

Expected meeting date and location, if relevant:

2. Nominee:
I have read the information listed and referenced in Appendix 1 in regards to my nomination and, if selected, agree to undertake the tasks and responsibilities involved and to commit the time required. I have also discussed with my employer the time commitment and financial resources required (as appropriate) to carry out my duties if my nomination is approved for the body indicated under section 1 above.

I also agree that, if I request financial assistance to attend the relevant IPPC meeting and I am eligible to receive it, I have read and will adhere to the conditions laid out in Commitment of Funded Participants section of the Criteria used for prioritizing participants to receive travel assistance to attend meetings organized by the IPPC Secretariat (web link provided in footnote 1).

Signature ____________________ Date __________________

---

70 As recommended by the second session of the Interim Commission on Phytosanitary Measures (1999), whenever possible, those participating in IPPC activities voluntarily fund their travel and subsistence to attend meetings. Participants may request financial assistance, with the understanding that resources are limited and the priority for financial assistance is given to developing country participants. Requests for financial assistance will be assessed by the Criteria used for prioritizing participants to receive travel assistance to attend meetings organized by the IPPC Secretariat that is in place at the time this statement of commitment is signed. The statistical information in place at the time of signing this statement of commitment will be applied for the duration of the term of membership in the relevant IPPC body.
3. Authorization (time):
I have read the information listed and referenced in Appendix 1 in regards to the above nominee who is employed in our organization. If this nominee is selected, I agree to ensure that the appropriate time will be allocated to allow the nominee to undertake the tasks and responsibilities involved and commit the time required. I have the authority from my organization to authorize this and understand the time commitment required to carry out these duties.

__________________________________________
Name, Title (please print)

__________________________________________
Address

__________________________________________
Phone

__________________________________________
Email

__________________________________________  ________________________
Signature                               Date

4. Authorization (financial resources):

☐ 4.1 I have read the Criteria used for prioritizing participants to receive travel assistance to attend IPPC meetings and am eligible for full travel assistance DSA and airfare.

OR

☐ 4.2 I have read the information listed and referenced in Appendix 1 in regards to the above nominee who is employed in our organization. If this nominee is selected, I agree to ensure that the appropriate financial resources will be allocated to allow the nominee to undertake the tasks and responsibilities involved. I have the authority from my organization to authorize this and understand the financial resources required (as appropriate, see footnote 1) to carry out these duties.

☐ Contact information same as per point 3 (if this is the case, still add signature and date below).

__________________________________________
Name, Title (please print)
Contact details for nominee:

Name: (LAST NAME in upper case, given names)

E-mail:
Phone:
Fax:

Mailing address:

Criteria used for prioritizing participants to receive travel assistance to attend meetings organized by the IPPC Secretariat that is in place at the time this statement of commitment (https://www.ippc.int/publications/criteria-used-prioritizing-participants-receive-travel-assistance-attend-meetings).
APPENDIX 1

General membership duties relevant to all bodies:
- allocate time, as appropriate, for travel to the meeting, attendance in the meeting and follow-up activities, as necessary
- consult and liaise with relevant national and international experts, as appropriate
- read all meeting documents prior to the meeting and provide discussion papers and/or comments, if necessary
- maintain a functioning e-mail address and participate in e-mail discussions or conference calls occurring outside of the meeting dates and times, if necessary
- participate as an individual expert in a personal capacity
- participate in relevant meetings for the duration of the term and participate in virtual meetings (not to exceed one per month), some of which may take place outside local daytime hours, in order to accommodate the participation from multiple time zones
- if unable to attend the meeting, provide written notification to the IPPC Secretariat well in advance and before travel arrangements have been made
- use web based tools as appropriate (Adobe Connect, e-mail, Online Comment System, Skype, e-forums, e-decisions, etc.)
- other specific details may be found in the IPPC Procedural Manual (https://www.ippc.int/core-activities/ippc-standard-setting-procedure-manual).

Implementation and Capacity Development Committee (IC) member duties, in addition to the above general duties:
- attend two IC meetings annually, one at FAO headquarters and one hosted by a contracting party
- participate in relevant regional workshops providing implementation and capacity development expertise
- participate for the entirety of the three-year term, as appropriate
- other duties as assigned.

Further details are provided in the following documents:
- Terms of Reference and Rules of Procedure for the IC
ANNEX 6: Submission form for topics for Standards and Implementation

(Updated by the IPPC Secretariat 2018-04-27)

Name of Country or Organization________________________________________________________

Introduction
In Accordance with CPM-13 decision, a combined call for topics for standards and tools for implementation is opened in 2018. IPPC contracting parties and RPPOs are invited to submit proposals for topics to be included as gaps in the Framework for Standards and Implementation for consideration to be put onto the IPPC work programme. Each submission should clearly define the problem needing resolution in sufficient detail to determine how it fits into the Framework for Standards and Implementation and the cost/benefit of the development of the standard or tool. Submitters are requested to consult the current IPPC Framework for Standards and Implementation (https://www.ippc.int/en/publications/82439/) to identify areas where the proposal can contribute.

Standards
This form covers submissions for new ISPMs, new components to an existing ISPM and revision or amendments to an ISPM, supplement, annex or appendix, including diagnostic protocols. Please note that a separate call for phytosanitary treatments (PTs) is made, more information on this call is available at https://www.ippc.int/en/core-activities/standards-setting/calls-treatments/.
Please refer to the IPPC Standard Setting Procedure Manual72 for an explanation of the hierarchy of terms for standards (technical area, topic and subject). The list of topics for IPPC standards adopted by the CPM is available at https://www.ippc.int/core-activities/standards-setting/list-topics-ippc-standards.

Implementation
This form covers submissions for new IPPC implementation resources for implementation of the Convention, ISPMs and CPM recommendations or for revisions to IPPC implementation resources. Please refer to the IPPC Framework for Standards and Implementation on implementation resources that have been adopted/developed, are under development or are planned to be developed.

Submission
This completed form should be submitted by the IPPC official contact point, preferably via e-mail, to the IPPC Secretariat (ippc@fao.org) no later than 31 August 2018. Please use one form per topic.
An electronic version of this form is available at https://www.ippc.int/en/core-activities/standards-and-implementation/call-for-topics-standards-and-implementation/.
Save and submit the completed submission form as: 2018_TOPIC_[Country or Organization name – Proposed title of topic].docx.

3. **Proposed Topic** (Choose one box only)

[ ] Standard (go to 4)  [ ] Implementation resource (go to 5)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4. Standards</th>
<th>4.1 Type of topic: (Choose one box only)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. New ISPM:</td>
<td>B. New component to an existing ISPM:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Concept</td>
<td>[ ] Supplement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Pest specific</td>
<td>[ ] Annex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Commodity specific</td>
<td>[ ] Appendix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Reference</td>
<td>[ ] Technical panel (technical area)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[ ] Diagnostic protocol (subject)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C. Revision/Amendment of:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[ ] ISPM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[ ] Supplement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[ ] Annex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[ ] Appendix</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Draft specification:**
As agreed by CPM-7 (2012) and CPM-11 (2016), submissions in answer to the call for topics (except for draft diagnostic protocols, which are subject to additional criteria, see below) should be accompanied by a draft specification. Proposals for phytosanitary treatments are submitted using a different submission form in a separate call: https://www.ippc.int/en/core-activities/standards-setting/calls-treatments/.

An annotated template for the draft specification for Standards is available on the IPP (https://www.ippc.int/en/publications/81324/) in English, French and Spanish.

(3) (go to 6)

OR

5. **Implementation**

5.1 Type of topic: (Choose one box only)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A. New implementation resource:</th>
<th>B. Revision of implementation resource</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Guide (e.g. Manual)</td>
<td>[ ] Guide (e.g. Manual)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Training material (e.g. e-Learning)</td>
<td>[ ] Training material (e.g. e-Learning)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Awareness material</td>
<td>[ ] Awareness material</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Other (Please specify)</td>
<td>[ ] Other (Please specify)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2 **Featured Convention articles, ISPMs and CPM recommendations in the proposed implementation resource**

[ ] for Convention articles (Please specify )

[ ] for ISPM (Please specify )

[ ] for CPM Recommendation (Please specify )

**Draft outline:**
Submissions for topics on implementation should be accompanied by a draft outline of implementation resource defining a scope and purpose, or a draft implementation resource. Commitment for financial/in-kind resources to support the development of the implementation resource may be included in the submission (non-obligatory).
### 6. Proposed title of document

### 7. Proposed priority

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1 (high)</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4 (low)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments:**

### 8. Featured outcome of standard/implementation resource

### 9. Contribution to filling the gaps of the Framework for Standards and Implementation:** (2 lines max)

### 10. Summary of justification for the proposal** (2 lines max)

---

### Criteria for justification and prioritization of proposed topics:

Submissions should address the applicable criteria for justification of the proposal (as listed below). Where possible, information in support of the justification and that may assist in the prioritization should be indicated.

All core criteria must be addressed; supporting criteria should be addressed if applicable.

Priority will be given to topics with the largest global impact.

**Core criteria (must provide information. It is expected that all submissions meet the following core criteria):**

- Contribution to the purpose of the IPPC as described in article I.1.
- Linkage to IPPC Strategic Objectives (SOs) and Organizational results demonstrated.
- Feasibility of implementation at the global level (consider ease of implementation, technical complexity, capacity of NPPO(s) to implement, relevance for more than one region).
- Clear identification of the problems that need to be resolved through the development of the standard or implementation resource.
- Availability of, or possibility to collect, information in support of the proposed standard or implementation resource (e.g. scientific, historical, technical information, experience).

**Supporting criteria (information may be provided, as appropriate):**

---

73 As agreed by CPM-13 (2018)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supporting criteria (Practical)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) Is there a regional standard and/or implementation resource on the same topic already available and used by NPPOs, RPPOs or international organizations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Availability of expertise needed to develop the proposed standard and/or implementation resource.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supporting criteria (Economic)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) Estimated value of the plants protected.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Estimated value of trade including new trade opportunities affected by the proposed standard and/or implementation resource (e.g. volume of trade, value of trade, the percentage of Gross Domestic Product of this trade) if appropriate.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supporting criteria (Environmental)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) Utility to reduce the potential negative environmental consequences of certain phytosanitary measures, for example reduction in global emissions for the protection of the ozone layer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Utility in the management of non-indigenous species which are pests of plants (such as some invasive alien species).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Contribution to the protection of the environment, through the protection of wild flora, and their habitats and ecosystems, and of agricultural biodiversity.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supporting criteria (Strategic)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) Extent of support for the proposed standard and/or implementation resource (e.g. one or more NPPOs or RPPOs have requested it, or one or more RPPOs have adopted a standard on the same topic).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Frequency with which the issue to be addressed, as identified in the submission emerges as a source of trade disruption (e.g. disputes or need for repeated bilateral discussions, number of times per year trade is disrupted).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Relevance and utility to developing countries.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) Coverage (application to a wide range of countries/pests/commodities).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5) Complements other standards and/or implementation resources (e.g. potential for the standard to be used as part of a systems approach for one pest, complement treatments for other pests).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6) Conceptual standard and/or implementation resource to address fundamental concepts (e.g. treatment efficacy, inspection methodology).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7) Urgent need for the standard and/or implementation resource.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Diagnostic protocols are subject to additional criteria. For proposals for DPs, please elaborate on the following criteria to help the future consideration of the subject proposed:

- Need for international harmonization of the diagnostic techniques for the pest (e.g. due to difficulties in diagnosis or disputes on methodology)
- Relevance of the diagnosis to the protection of plants including measures to limit the impact of the pest.
- Importance of the plants protected on the global level (e.g. relevant to many countries or of major importance to a few countries).
- Volume/importance of trade of the commodity that is subjected to the diagnostic procedures (e.g. relevant to many countries or of major importance to a few countries).
- Other criteria for topics as determined by CPM that are relevant to determining priorities
- Balance between pests of importance in different climatic zones (temperate, tropics etc.) and commodity classes.
- Number of labs undertaking the diagnosis.
- Feasibility of production of a protocol, including availability of knowledge and expertise.

**Literature review** [74](#) (This section will provide a summary of the topic based on scientific and technical publications, including a referenced list of literature reviewed. This will help provide the scientific basis for the content of the standard/implementation resource to be used by the selected experts during the development of the standard/implementation resource).

---

As agreed by CPM-7 (2012) and CPM-11 (2016).
Send submissions to:
E-mail: ippc@fao.org
(Subject line: “Call for topics 2018”)

Address: IPPC Secretariat (AGDI)
Food and Agriculture Organization of the UN
Viale delle Terme di Caracalla
00153 Rome, Italy
ANNEX 7: List of Implementation and Capacity Development Guides and Training Material

List: Implementation and Capacity Development Guides and Training Material
Updated on 2018/10/3

These guides and training material have been developed under auspices of the IPPC Secretariat and are available at: [https://www.ippc.int/en/core-activities/capacity-development/guides-and-training-materials/](https://www.ippc.int/en/core-activities/capacity-development/guides-and-training-materials/)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Guide No</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Language</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Market access</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>En-Sp-Fr-Ru-Ar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit manual</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>En-Sp-Fr-Ru</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establishing a National Plant Protection Organization</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>En-Ru</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation of a National Plant Protection Organization</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>En-Ru</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managing relationships with stakeholders</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>En</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Import verification</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>En-Ru</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Export certification</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>En-Ru</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant pest surveillance</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>En</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guide to Delivering Phytosanitary Diagnostic Services</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>En-Ru</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good practices for CPM participation</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>En</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPPC meeting participation support materials</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>En</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preparing a national phytosanitary capacity development strategy</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>En-Fr-Sp</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Training Kits</th>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Language</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E-learning on PRA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-learning: Trade in forest commodities and the role of phytosanitary measures</td>
<td>March</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>En-Sp-Fr-Ru-Ar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRA awareness materials</td>
<td>Apr</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>En-Sp-Fr-Ru-Ar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation in the CPM</td>
<td>Mar</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>En</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPPO establishment training kit</td>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>En</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPPO operations training kit</td>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>En</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPPC introduction presentation</td>
<td>Sept</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>En</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity development and training resources presentation</td>
<td>Sept</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>En</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e-learning course “Introduction to the International Plant Protection Convention”</td>
<td>Feb</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>En-Sp-Fr-Ru-Ar-Zh</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Fact Sheets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fact Sheet No</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Language</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>En-Sp-Fr-Ru-Ar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>En-Sp-Fr-Ru-Ar-Zh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>En-Sp-Fr-Ru-Ar-Zh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>En-Sp-Fr-Ru-Ar-Zh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>En</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>En</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>En-Sp-Fr-Ru</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>En</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>En</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ANNEX 8: List of adopted International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures (ISPM)

Texts of adopted ISPMs are available at: https://www.ippc.int/core-activities/standards-setting/ispms

Language versions of this list are available at: https://www.ippc.int/en/publications/626/

Updated 2018-09-14

ISPM 1  
*Phytosanitary principles for the protection of plants and the application of phytosanitary measures in international trade* (adopted in 1993, revised in 2006)

ISPM 2  

ISPM 3  
*Guidelines for the export, shipment, import and release of biological control agents and other beneficial organisms* (adopted in 1995, revised in 2005)

ISPM 4  
*Requirements for the establishment of pest free areas* (adopted in 1995)

ISPM 5  
*Glossary of phytosanitary terms* (updated as needed)

- Supplement 1: Guidelines on the interpretation and application of the concept of “official control” and “not widely distributed” (2012)

- Supplement 2: Guidelines on the understanding of “potential economic importance” and related terms including reference to environmental considerations (2003)


ISPM 6  
*Surveillance* (adopted in 1997, revised in 2018)

ISPM 7  
*Phytosanitary certification system* (adopted in 1997, revised in 2011)

ISPM 8  
*Determination of pest status in an area* (adopted in 1998)

ISPM 9  
*Guidelines for pest eradication programmes* (adopted in 1998)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISPM</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Adoption Dates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td><strong>Requirements for the establishment of pest free places of production and pest free production sites</strong> (adopted in 1999)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td><strong>Pest risk analysis for quarantine pests</strong> (adopted in 2001, revised in 2004 and 2013)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td><strong>Guidelines for the notification of non-compliance and emergency action</strong> (adopted in 2001)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td><strong>The use of integrated measures in a systems approach for pest risk management</strong> (adopted in 2002)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td><strong>Regulated non-quarantine pests: concept and application</strong> (adopted in 2002)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td><strong>Pest reporting</strong> (adopted in 2002)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Guidelines for the use of irradiation as a phytosanitary measure (adopted in 2003)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td><strong>Guidelines on lists of regulated pests</strong> (adopted in 2003)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td><strong>Pest risk analysis for regulated non-quarantine pests</strong> (adopted in 2004)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td><strong>Requirements for the establishment of areas of low pest prevalence</strong> (adopted in 2005)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td><strong>Guidelines for inspection</strong> (adopted in 2005)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td><strong>Guidelines for the determination and recognition of equivalence of phytosanitary measures</strong> (adopted in 2005)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td><strong>Consignments in transit</strong> (adopted in 2006)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td><strong>Establishment of pest free areas for fruit flies (Tephritidae)</strong> (adopted in 2006, revised in 2014 and 2015. Ink amendments in 2018)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 1: Fruit fly trapping (2011)

Annex 2: Control measures for an outbreak within a fruit fly-pest free area (2014)


**ISPM 27**  
*Diagnostic protocols for regulated pests* (adopted in 2006)

- DP 1: Diagnostic protocol for *Thrips palmi* Karny (2010)
- DP 3: Diagnostic protocol for *Trogoderma granarium* Everts (2012)
- DP 4: Diagnostic protocol for *Tilletia indica* Mitra (2014)
- DP 5: Diagnostic protocol for *Phyllosticta citricarpa* (McAlpine) Aa on fruit (2014)
- DP 8: Diagnostic protocol for *Ditylenchus dipsaci* and *Ditylenchus destructor* (2015)
- DP 10: Diagnostic protocol for *Bursaphelenchus xylophilus* (2016)
- DP 11: Diagnostic protocol for *Xiphinema americanum sensu lato* (2016)
- DP 12: Diagnostic protocol for Phytoplasmas (2016)
- DP 14: Diagnostic protocol for *Xanthomonas fragariae* (2016)
- DP 15: Diagnostic protocol for *Citrus tristeza virus* (2016)
- DP 17: Diagnostic protocol for *Aphelenchoides besseyi*, *A. fragariae* and *A. ritzemabosi* (2016)
- DP 20: Diagnostic protocol for *Dendroctonus ponderosae* (2017)
- DP 24: Diagnostic protocol for *Tomato spotted wilt virus, Impatiens necrotic spot virus* and *Watermelon silver mottle virus* (2017)

**ISPM 28**  *Phytosanitary treatments for regulated pests* (adopted in 2007)
- PT 1: Irradiation treatment for *Anastrepha ludens* (2009)
- PT 2: Irradiation treatment for *Anastrepha obliqua* (2009)
- PT 3: Irradiation treatment for *Anastrepha serpentina* (2009)
- PT 4: Irradiation treatment for *Bactrocera jarvisi* (2009)
- PT 5: Irradiation treatment for *Bactrocera tryoni* (2009)
- PT 6: Irradiation treatment for *Cydia pomonella* (2009)
- PT 7: Irradiation treatment for fruit flies of the family Tephritidae (generic) (2009)
- PT 8: Irradiation treatment for *Rhagoletis pomonella* (2009)
- PT 10: Irradiation treatment for *Grapholita molesta* (2010)
- PT 11: Irradiation treatment for *Grapholita molesta* under hypoxia (2010)
- PT 12: Irradiation treatment for *Cylas formicarius elegantulus* (2011)
- PT 13: Irradiation treatment for *Euscepes postfasciatus* (2011)
- PT 14: Irradiation treatment for *Ceratitis capitata* (2011)
- PT 15: Vapour heat treatment for *Bactrocera cucurbitae* on *Cucumis melo* var. reticulatus (2014)
- PT 16: Cold treatment for *Bactrocera tryoni* on *Citrus sinensis* (2015)
- PT 17: Cold treatment for *Bactrocera tryoni* on *Citrus reticulata* x *C. sinensis* (2015)
- PT 18: Cold treatment for *Bactrocera tryoni* on *Citrus limon* (2015)
- PT 19: Irradiation treatment for *Dysmicoccus neobrevipes*, *Planococcus lilacinus* and *Planococcus minor* (2015)
- PT 20: Irradiation treatment for *Ostrinia nubilalis* (2016)
- PT 21: Vapour heat treatment for *Bactrocera melanotus* and *Bactrocera xanthodes* on *Carica papaya* (2016)
- PT 23: Sulfuryl fluoride fumigation treatment for nematodes and insects in debarked wood (2017)
- PT 24: Cold treatment for *Ceratitis capitata* on *Citrus sinensis* (2017)
- PT 25: Cold treatment for *Ceratitis capitata* on *Citrus reticulata* x *C. sinensis* (2017)
- PT 26: Cold treatment for *Ceratitis capitata* on *Citrus limon* (2017)
- PT 27: Cold treatment for *Ceratitis capitata* on *Citrus paradisi* (2017)
- PT 28: Cold treatment for *Ceratitis capitata* on *Citrus reticulata* (2017)
- PT 29: Cold treatment for *Ceratitis capitata* on *Citrus clementina* (2017)
- PT 30: Vapour heat treatment for *Ceratitis capitata* on *Mangifera indica* (2017)
- PT 31: Vapour heat treatment for *Bactrocera tryoni* on *Mangifera indica* (2017)
- PT 32: Vapour heat treatment for *Bactrocera dorsalis* on *Carica papaya* (2018)

ISPM 29 Recognition of pest free areas and areas of low pest prevalence (adopted in 2007)


ISPM 31 Methodologies for sampling of consignments (adopted in 2008)

ISPM 32 Categorization of commodities according to their pest risk (adopted in 2009)

ISPM 33 Pest free potato (*Solanum* spp.) micropropagative material and minitubers for international trade (adopted in 2010)

ISPM 34 Design and operation of post-entry quarantine stations for plants (adopted in 2010)

ISPM 35 Systems approach for pest risk management of fruit flies (Tephritidae) (adopted in 2012. Ink amendments in 2018)

ISPM 36 *Integrated measures for plants for planting* (adopted in 2012)

ISPM 37 *Determination of host status of fruit to fruit flies* (*Tephritidae*) (adopted in 2016)

ISPM 38 *International movement of seeds* (adopted in 2017)


ISPM 40 *International movement of growing media in association with plants for planting* (adopted in 2017)

ISPM 41 *International movement of used vehicles, machinery and equipment* (adopted in 2017)

ISPM 42 *Requirements for the use of temperature treatments as a phytosanitary measures* (adopted in 2018)