March 2012



منظمة الأغذية والزراعة للأمم المتحدة 联合国 粮食及 农业组织

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations Organisation des Nations Unies pour l'alimentation et l'agriculture Продовольственная и сельскохозяйственная организация Объединенных Наций Organización de las Naciones Unidas para la Alimentación y la Agricultura

COMMISSION ON PHYTOSANITARY MEASURES

Seventh Session

Rome, 19 - 23 March 2012

Considerations on Compiled Comments and Comments from Plenary regarding Adoption of International Standards: Regular Process

Agenda item 8.1.2 of the Provisional Agenda

I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. This conference room paper contains three sections:
 - a. explanation of the roles of observers in the sessions of the Commission on Phytosanitary Measures (CPM) including the CPM evening sessions
 - b. proposed division of compiled comments received 14 days prior to the CPM-7 to facilitate evening session discussions
 - c. compiled comments received from the Plenary during the afternoon session of the CPM-7 on Monday 19 March.

A. ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF OBSERVERS

- 2. It has been clearly identified that representatives from contracting parties should have the main voice in discussions and, in the end, it is only the main delegate from contracting parties that may block consensus, take decisions or vote.
- 3. However, the CPM acknowledges the benefits of being inclusive and welcomes observers to its meetings. The text of the IPPC recognizes a special relationship with RPPOs. Although observers, RPPOs have some privileges and have, in this regard, been allowed to submit their comments on draft ISPMs directly to the IPPC Secretariat.
- 4. In conclusion, it is the Chair of the session or the Chair of the evening session who is responsible for making the best use of the time available. Under the current rules for observers, it is up to the Chair to determine who will make interventions and for how long. As time is limited, observers are requested to respect this and recognize that priority will be given to representatives of contracting

2 CPM 2012/CRP/01

parties and then, in order of priority, to representatives of RPPOs, non-contracting parties and other observer organizations.

B. DIVISION OF COMPILED COMMENTS

- 5. To facilitate discussion of the comments received 14 days prior to CPM-7, the comments for those draft international standards for phytosanitary measures (ISPM) under the regular process which received numerous comments, have been divided into three categories:
 - a. for discussion
 - b. to be dropped
 - c. incorporated/modified.
- 6. The two draft ISPMs which received numerous comments are *Integrated measures for the production of plants for planting in international trade* (2005-002) and *Systems approach for pest risk management of fruit flies (Tephritidae)* (2004-022).

C. COMMENTS FROM PLENARY

- 7. During the Plenary session on Monday 19 March, additional comments on the draft ISPMs for adoption at CPM-7 were received.
- 8. Attachments 1 to 4 visualize both the division of comments and list the additional comments received from Plenary:
 - a. Attachment 1: Draft ISPM *Integrated measures for the production of plants for planting in international trade* (2005-002)
 - b. Attachment 2: Draft ISPM Systems approach for pest risk management of fruit flies (Tephritidae) (2004-022)
 - c. Attachment 3: Draft revision of Supplement 1 to ISPM 5. Glossary of phytosanitary terms: Guidelines on the interpretation and application of the concepts of "Official control" and "Not widely distributed" (2005-008)
 - d. Attachment 4: Draft amendments to ISPM 5. Glossary of phytosanitary terms (1994-001).

CPM 2012/CRP/01 3

Attachment 1

Draft ISPM Integrated measures for the production of plants for planting in international trade

D. Division of compiled comments received 14 days prior to CPM-7

A (for discussion)	B (to be dropped)	C (incorporated/modified)
6-9: Title 1, 3, 4, 13: Require glossary term for integrated measures	4, 11, 23, 26, 39, 48, 52, 53, 57, 59, 60, 70, 77, 78, 82, 83, 86, 112, 114, 130, 133, 137, 140, 148, 150, 151, 152	17, 18, 19, 21, 25, 27, 41, 44, 50, 61, 62, 69,71,72, 76, 90, 93, 94, 96, 97, 101, 106, 107,108, 109, 110, 116, 117,
1, 14: Outline of Requirements (COSAVE should suggest alternative wording)	140, 148, 150, 151, 152	118, 119, 120, 123, 124, 143, 144, 145, 147, 149
29-32: para. 19 – needs rewording or partly/all removed		
92: period for maintaining records		
103-104: removal of para. 79 regarding informing of suspension and reinstatement.		
2, 5, 125-129, 131, 132, 134-136, 138-139: suggestion by CR to remove annex 1		

E. Comments received from Plenary

9. No comments received from Plenary on this draft ISPM.

4 CPM 2012/CRP/01

Attachment 2

Draft ISPM Systems approach for pest risk management of fruit flies (Tephritidae) (2004-022)

F. Division of compiled comments received 14 days prior to CPM-7

A	B	C
(for discussion)	(to be dropped)	(incorporated/modified)
20, 33, (43, 44, 50, 51 all refer to same issue)	2, 17, 22, 26, 28, 29, 34, 36, 37, 49	N/A

G. Comments received from Plenary

10. No comments received from Plenary on this draft ISPM.

CPM 2012/CRP/01 5

Attachment 3

Draft revision of Supplement 1 to ISPM 5. Glossary of phytosanitary terms: Guidelines on the interpretation and application of the concepts of "Official control" and "Not widely distributed" (2005-008)

H. Division of compiled comments received 14 days prior to CPM-7

11. There were so few comments received prior to CPM-7 that it was not found to be necessary to divide the comments to facilitate discussions.

I. Comments received from Plenary

12. No comments received from Plenary on this draft ISPM.

6 CPM 2012/CRP/01

Attachment 4

Draft amendments to ISPM 5. Glossary of phytosanitary terms (1994-001)

J. Division of compiled comments received 14 days prior to CPM-7

13. There were so few comments received prior to CPM-7 that it was not found to be necessary to divide the comments to facilitate discussions.

K. Comments received from Plenary

14. No comments received from Plenary on this draft ISPM.

L. Withdrawal of comments

- 15. Only one member country, China, made substantive comments to this draft ISPM prior to CPM-7 but decided to withdraw their second comment.
- 16. This leaves one suggestion for a new term to be considered, which this will be forwarded to the Standards Committee for their consideration, and one translation related comment which will be forwarded to the FAO translation-services.