March 2012



منظمة الأغذية والزراعة للأمم المتحدة

联合国 粮食及 农业组织

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations Organisation des Nations Unies pour l'alimentation et l'agriculture Продовольственная и сельскохозяйственная организация Объединенных Наций Organización de las Naciones Unidas para la Alimentación y la Agricultura

# COMMISSION ON PHYTOSANITARY MEASURES

### Seventh Session

Rome, 19 - 23 March 2012

List of Topics for IPPC Standards and the report of the Openended workshop on the international movement of grain

8.1.5

8.1.8

Prepared by Secretariat in response to the discussions during the Friends of the Chair meeting

- 1. A *Friends of the Chair* group met to further review the proposed modifications to the List of Topics for IPPC Standards (CPM 2012/05 Rev2). The group discussed the proposed modifications identified in CPM 2012/INF/18 and CPM 2012/CRP/03.
- 2. After discussions, the group proposed the following recommendations.
- 3. The CPM is invited to:

#### Regarding the List of topics (paragraph 15, CPM 2012/05 Rev 2)

- 1) Agreed to the proposed priorities
  - A) request the SC to review the assignment of topics to the IPPC Strategic Framework's Strategic Objectives and report to the CPM
  - B) request the List of Topics be presented to the CPM in order of priority
  - C) *note* that 1B revokes the previous CPM decision to present the List of Topics in order of projected adoption date
- 2) remove the following ten topics from the List of topics for IPPC standards:
  - Row 3: Minimizing the risk of quarantine pests associated with stored products in international trade (2005-006)
  - Row 14: Import of germplasm (2004-001)

2 CPM 2012/CRP/07

- Row 16: International movement of forest tree seeds (2006-032)
- Row 17: Terminology of the Montreal Protocol in relation to the Glossary of phytosanitary terms (Appendix to ISPM 5) (2009-001)
- Row 32: Appropriate level of protection (2005-007)
- Row 33: Biological control for forest pests (2009-008)
- Row 34: Forest pest surveys for determination of pest status (2006-030)
- Row 35: Guidelines for public officers issuing phytosanitary certificates (Appendix to ISPM 7 2011 Phytosanitary certification system) (2010-038)
- Row 36: Framework for national phytosanitary inspection procedures (2005-005)
- ➤ Row 37: Systems for authorizing phytosanitary activities (2008-003)
- 3) request the SC to consider whether the topic *Wood products and handicrafts made from* raw wood (2008-008) (line 15) should be developed as an annex to the topic *Management* of phytosanitary risks in the international movement of wood (2006-029) (line 6)
- 4) *note*, as suggested by the SPTA, that the subjects for phytosanitary treatments, diagnostic protocols and glossary terms, approved by the SC, no longer need to be presented to the CPM and would be posted on the IPP
- 5) note the List of topics for IPPC standards as presented in Tables 1 and 2

#### Regarding technical panels

- 6) *note* that two technical panels will continue to support the SC in providing expertise relevant to their subject areas by working virtually
- 7) *note* the continued importance of holding some face-to-face TP meetings
- 8) *note* the terms of the majority of TP experts will expire in 2013 and the arrangements the SC has put in place both to ensure continuity and introduce some new blood
- 9) agree that technical panels play a valuable role in standard setting
- 10) thank TP members for their efforts in regards to developing technical standards

#### **Regarding ISPM 15**

- 11) *note* ongoing efforts to identify alternative treatments to methyl bromide for inclusion in ISPM 15
- 12) A) *notes* that the efficacy of the time and temperature parameters as well as the limitations to two centimetres in cross section is supported by sufficient scientific evidence
  - B) *encourage* additional investigations be carried out regarding practical operational elements of this treatment
- 13) *note* that there is limited experience with using dielectric heating treatment on wood on a commercial level and sufficient experience to develop detailed operational guidance on use at a commercial scale will only be gained once the treatment is included in ISPM 15
- 14) *agree* to continue with the development of this treatment in the knowledge that detailed operational guidance will only be able to be developed after the treatment is adopted

## Regarding the report of the Open-ended working group on the international movement of Grain (paragraph 11, CPM 2012/19 Rev1)

The CPM is invited to:

1) Thank the following sponsors who provided support and funding to hold this workshop: the Federal Republic of Germany, Safe Supply of Affordable Food Everywhere (SSAFE), Cámara de la Industria Aceitera de la República Argentina (CIARA), the Canadian Grain Commission, the Vancouver Grain Terminal Association, Comité de Sanidad Vegetal del Cono Sur (COSAVE) and the North American Export Grain Association.

CPM 2012/CRP/07 3

2) *Thank* NAPPO for providing the lead and the APPPC, the Canadian Food Inspection Agency (CFIA) and other RPPOs for their support in the organization of this workshop.

3) Request the SC to develop a specification on the topic of International movement of grain (2008-007), and, considering the main results of the Open-ended workshop on the international movement of grain (December 2011), submit the specification for member consultation, review the member comments, revise the specification accordingly and submit a recommendation to the CPM seeking guidance on how to move forward.