

联合国 Food and . 粮食及 Organizat 农业组织 United

Food and Agriculture Or Organization of the Un United Nations

Organisation des Nations Пр Unies pour l'alimentation сельскохо et l'agriculture Об

Продовольственная и сельскохозяйственная организация Объединенных Наций Organización de las Naciones Unidas para la Alimentación y la Agricultura

منظمة الأغذية والزراعة للأمم المتحدة

CPM 2015/11

植物检疫措施委员会

第十届会议	
2015年3月16-20日,罗马	
为纠正已通过标准中术语使用不一致而提出的 文字修改一植物检疫状况	
议题 8.4	
国际植保公约秘书处起草	

I. 背景

 术语表技术小组于 2010 年承认,许多不同情况下使用了未予界定的"植物 检疫状况"这一表述。2010 年 11 月,标准委员会(标准委)将"植物检疫状况" 列入"国际植保公约标准主题清单",由术语表技术小组审查在标准中的使用情况, 考虑是否需要对此术语作出澄清。术语表技术小组在其 2013 年和 2014 年 2 月的 会议上做出了详细分析¹。

植物检疫状况一词使用现状和对其作出解释的尝试

2. 植物检疫状况一词在国际植物检疫措施标准中在多种情况下得到使用(概况如表 A.1-A.6 所示)。在此背景下其预期含义差异相当大。为消除各国际植检措施标准中使用时的模糊和不一致性,术语表技术小组提出多项建议,使用其他更为精准的术语来取代"植物检疫状况"。

¹ 该小组的报告可见: https://www.ippc.int/core-activities/standards-setting/expert-drafting-groups/technical-panels/technical-panel-glossary-phytosanitary-terms-ispm-5

为尽量减轻粮农组织工作过程对环境的影响,促进实现对气候变化零影响, 本文件印数有限。谨请各位代表、观察员携带文件与会,勿再索取副本。 粮农组织土多数合议文件可从互联网 www.foo.org 网计范围

粮农组织大多数会议文件可从互联网 www.fao.org 网站获取。

 标准委 2014 年 5 月审议批准了这些建议并提交植检委。这些建议仅以英文 在表 A.1-A.6 中提出。在植检委注意到这些文字修改之后,即可对其进行翻译。 文字修改拟议译文将提交语言审查小组审查之后再发表。²

- 4. 请植检委:
 - 注意到表 A.1-A.6 提出的文字修改,并请秘书处将其纳入相应国际植检措施标准;
 - 同意一旦秘书处采用上述修改,则国际植检措施标准先前所有版本废除, 由新加注版本取代。

 $^{^2\} https://www.ippc.int/core-activities/governance/standards-setting/ispms/language-review-groups$

CPM 2015/11

Proposed ink amendments for replacement of phytosanitary status

Tables A.1- A.6: Proposed ink amendments for replacement of *phytosanitary status*

The ink amendments proposed in this section can be summarized as follows (details are given in each case in the tables below).

Summary table of the proposed ink amendments

	Phytosanitary status used in existing ISPMs in relation to	Phytosanitary status can be replaced by
A.1	Pest	Pest risk
A.2	Pest detection	Pest status
A.3	Host plants	Pest risk
A.4	Area	Status of the pest in the area, pest status
A.5	Countries	Pest status
A.6	Commodities	Compliance with phytosanitary import requirements, phytosanitary security, inspection or not necessary/text can be deleted

A.1. Pertaining to pest

It appears that the intended meaning of the phytosanitary status of a pest is: the intrinsic ability of a pest to establish, spread and cause economic impact. It is proposed to substitute *phytosanitary status* to the defined term *pest risk*, as follows:

Table /	Fable A.1 - Pertaining to pest			
ISPM	Section	Para	Current text	Proposed text
11	2.1.1.1	2	The taxonomic unit for the pest is generally species. The use of a higher or lower taxonomic level should be supported by scientifically sound rationale. In the case of levels below the species, this should include evidence demonstrating that factors such as differences in virulence, host range or vector relationships are significant enough to affect phytosanitary status.	The taxonomic unit for the pest is generally species. The use of a higher or lower taxonomic level should be supported by scientifically sound rationale. In the case of levels below the species, this should include evidence demonstrating that factors such as differences in virulence, host range or vector relationships are significant enough to affect phytosanitary status pest risk.
21	3.1.1.1	2	For the pest, the taxonomic unit is generally the species.	For the pest, the taxonomic unit is generally the species. The use of a higher or lower

The use of a higher or lower taxonomic level should be supported by a scientifically sound rationale. In the case of levels below the species (e.g. race), this should include evidence demonstrating that factors such as difference in virulence, host range or vector relationships are significant enough to affect the phytosanitary status.	taxonomic level should be supported by a scientifically sound rationale. In the case of levels below the species (e.g. race), this should include evidence demonstrating that factors such as difference in virulence, host range or vector relationships are significant enough to affect the phytosanitary status pest risk.

A.2. Pertaining to detection of a pest

The intended meaning of phytosanitary status of the detection of a pest as used in ISPM 26, Annex 1 (2011) (*Fruit fly trapping*) seems to refer to pest status. It is proposed to substitute in ISPM 26: Annex 1 *phytosanitary status* by the defined term *pest status*, as follows:

Table A	Fable A.2 - Pertaining to detection of a pest				
ISPM	Section	Para	Current text	Proposed text	
26	Annex 1		 Actions to apply the corrective action plan (1) Determination of the phytosanitary status of the detection (actionable or non-actionable) (1.1) If the detection is a transient non-actionable occurrence (ISPM 8:1998), no further action is required. (1.2) If the detection of a target pest may be actionable, a delimiting survey, which includes additional traps, and usually fruit sampling as well as an increased trap inspection rate, should be implemented immediately after the detection to assess whether the detection represents an outbreak, which will determine necessary responsive actions. If a population is present, this action is also used to determine the size of the affected area. 	 Actions to apply the corrective action plan (1) Determination of the phytosanitary pest status of the detection (actionable or non-actionable) (1.1) If the detection is a transient non-actionable occurrence (ISPM 8:1998), no further action is required. (1.2) If the detection of a target pest may be actionable, a delimiting survey, which includes additional traps, and usually fruit sampling as well as an increased trap inspection rate, should be implemented immediately after the detection to assess whether the detection represents an outbreak, which will determine necessary responsive actions. If a population is present, this action is also used to determine the size of the affected area. 	

A.3. Pertaining to *host plants*

It appears the intended meaning of phytosanitary status of host plants is: the intrinsic characteristics of the host plant that determines its suitability as a host and the damage that a pest could confer to that plant. It is proposed to substitute phytosanitary status to the defined term pest risk.

Table A	Table A.3 - Pertaining to host plants			
ISPM	Section	Para	Current text	Proposed text
21	3.1.1.1	3	Also for the host, the taxonomic unit is generally the species. The use of a higher or lower taxonomic level should be supported by a scientifically sound rationale. In	Also for the host, the taxonomic unit is generally the species. The use of a higher or lower taxonomic level should be supported by a scientifically sound rationale. In the case of levels below the species (e.g. variety), there should be evidence

sh dif sig Ta (ge no	hould be evidence demonstrating that factors such as ifference in host susceptibility or resistance are ignificant enough to affect the phytosanitary status.	demonstrating that factors such as difference in host susceptibility or resistance are significant enough to affect the <u>phytosanitary status pest risk</u> . Taxa for plants for planting above the species level (genera) or unidentified species of known genera should not be used unless all species in the genus are being evaluated for the same intended use.
-------------------------------------	---	---

A.4. Pertaining to an *area*

It appears the intended meaning of *phytosanitary status* for area is in respect of the status of a pest in that area or, in one instance, of the actual pest incidence and distribution. It is proposed to substitute in two cases *phytosanitary status* by *status of the relevant pest in the area*, and in one case by *pest status*.

Table /	A.4 - Pertai	ning to	area	
ISPM	Section	Para	Current text	Proposed text
29	Outline	1	Recognition of pest free areas (PFAs) and areas of low pest prevalence (ALPPs) is a technical and administrative process to achieve acceptance of the phytosanitary status of a delimited area. Technical requirements for establishment of PFAs and ALPPs, as well as certain elements relating to recognition, are addressed in other International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures (ISPMs). In addition, many principles of the International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC) are relevant.	Recognition of pest free areas (PFAs) and areas of low pest prevalence (ALPPs) is a technical and administrative process to achieve acceptance of the status of the relevant pest in phytosanitary status of a delimited area. Technical requirements for establishment of PFAs and ALPPs, as well as certain elements relating to recognition, are addressed in other International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures (ISPMs). In addition, many principles of the International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC) are relevant.
30	2.2.1	4	The presence and distribution of fruit fly hosts should be recorded separately identifying commercial and non- commercial hosts. This information will help in planning the trapping and host sampling activities and may help in anticipating the potential ease or difficulty of establishing and maintaining the phytosanitary status of the area.	The presence and distribution of fruit fly hosts should be recorded separately identifying commercial and non-commercial hosts. This information will help in planning the trapping and host sampling activities and may help in anticipating the potential ease or difficulty of establishing and maintaining the <u>status of the relevant</u> pest inphytosanitary status of the area.
30	Annex 2 (2)	Title	(2) Determination of the phytosanitary status Immediately after detecting a population level higher than the specified level of low pest prevalence, a delimiting survey (which may include the deployment of additional traps, fruit sampling of host fruits and increased trap inspection frequency) should be implemented to determine the size of the affected area and more precisely gauge the level of the fruit fly prevalence.	(2) Determination of the phytosanitary pest status Immediately after detecting a population level higher than the specified level of low pest prevalence, a delimiting survey (which may include the deployment of additional traps, fruit sampling of host fruits and increased trap inspection frequency) should be implemented to determine the size of the affected area and more precisely gauge the level of the fruit fly prevalence.

CPM 2015/11

A.5. Pertaining to *countries*

It appears the intended meaning of *phytosanitary status* for countries is in respect of the actual status of the pest. That meaning could be conferred by substituting *phytosanitary status* to phrases referring to the status of the pest.

Table	A.5 - Pertai	ning to	countries	
ISPM	Section	Para	Current text	Proposed text
1	1.7	1	Contracting parties should, in accordance with the IPPC, apply phytosanitary measures without discrimination between contracting parties if contracting parties can demonstrate that they have the same phytosanitary status and apply identical or equivalent phytosanitary measures.	Contracting parties should, in accordance with the IPPC, apply phytosanitary measures without discrimination between contracting parties if contracting parties can demonstrate that they have the same phytosanitary status and the status of the relevant pest is the same and that they apply identical or equivalent phytosanitary measures.
11	3.4	1	Appropriate measures should be chosen based on their effectiveness in reducing the probability of introduction of the pest. The choice should be based on the following considerations, which include several of the phytosanitary principles of ISPM 1:1993:[5th indent:] - <i>Principle of "non-discrimination":</i> If the pest under consideration is established in the PRA area but of limited distribution and under official control, the phytosanitary measures in relation to import should not be more stringent than those applied within the PRA area. Likewise, phytosanitary measures should not discriminate between exporting countries of the same phytosanitary status.	Appropriate measures should be chosen based on their effectiveness in reducing the probability of introduction of the pest. The choice should be based on the following considerations, which include several of the phytosanitary principles of ISPM 1:1993:[5th indent:] <i>Principle of "non-discrimination":</i> If the pest under consideration is established in the PRA area but of limited distribution and under official control, the phytosanitary measures in relation to import should not be more stringent than those applied within the PRA area. Likewise, phytosanitary measures should not discriminate between exporting countries of the same phytosanitary status where the status of the relevant pest is the same.
21	4.3	1	Appropriate measures should be chosen based on their effectiveness in limiting the economic impact of the pest on the intended use of the plants for planting. The choice should be based on the following considerations, which include several of the principles of plant quarantine as related to international trade (ISPM 1:1993):[5th indent:] <i>Principle of "non-discrimination"</i> . Phytosanitary measures should not discriminate between exporting countries of the same phytosanitary status.	Appropriate measures should be chosen based on their effectiveness in limiting the economic impact of the pest on the intended use of the plants for planting. The choice should be based on the following considerations, which include several of the principles of plant quarantine as related to international trade (ISPM 1:1993):[5th indent:] <i>Principle of "non-discrimination"</i> . Phytosanitary measures should not discriminate between exporting countries of the same phytosanitary status where the status of the relevant pest is the same.
24	2.4	1+2	The principle of non-discrimination requires that when equivalence of phytosanitary measures is granted for one exporting contracting party, this should also apply to	The principle of non-discrimination requires that when equivalence of phytosanitary measures is granted for one exporting contracting party, this should also apply to contracting parties with the same phytosanitary status where the status of the relevant

contracting parties with the same phytosanitary status and similar conditions for the same commodity or commodity class and/or pest. Therefore, an importing contracting party which recognizes the equivalence of alternative phytosanitary measures of an exporting contracting party should ensure that it acts in a non- discriminatory manner. This applies both to applications from third countries for recognition of the equivalence of any domestic measures.It should be recognized that equivalence of phytosanitary measures does not, however, mean that when a specific measure is granted equivalence for one exporting contracting party for the same commodity or commodity class or pest. Phytosanitary measures should always be considered in the context of the pest status and phytosanitary regulatory system of the exporting contracting party, including the policies and procedures.	 pest is the same and similar conditions for the same commodity or commodity class and/or pest. Therefore, an importing contracting party which recognizes the equivalence of alternative phytosanitary measures of an exporting contracting party should ensure that it acts in a non-discriminatory manner. This applies both to applications from third countries for recognition of the equivalence of the same or similar measures, and to the equivalence of any domestic measures. It should be recognized that equivalence of phytosanitary measures does not, however, mean that when a specific measure is granted equivalence for one exporting contracting party, this applies automatically to another contracting party for the same commodity or commodity class or pest. Phytosanitary measures should always be considered in the context of the pest status and phytosanitary regulatory system of the exporting contracting party, including the policies and procedures.
---	--

A.6. Pertaining to consignment

It appears the intended meaning of *phytosanitary status* for consignments varies. In some cases, it appears to relate to compliance with phytosanitary import requirements, phytosanitary security or inspection. In other cases, the words *phytosanitary security* appear unnecessary (ISPM 23, section 2.5; ISPM 12, section 5, sub-section on Certifying statement).

A few other cases where *phytosanitary status* is used in relation to consignments are not clear and would require extensive rewriting to replace these words. These are presented in Table B.2, and the TPG is still considering the possibility to define *phytosanitary status (of a consignment)* in this context.

Table A	Table A.6 - Pertaining to consignment					
ISPM	Section	Para	Current text			
7	2.2	Indent	The NPPO should have the capability to undertake the following functions:			
	6	6	6		6	document and maintain the information regarding the phytosanitary import requirements where needed for phytosanitary certification and provide appropriate work instructions to personnel
			perform inspection, sampling and testing of plants, plant products and other regulated articles for purposes related to phytosanitary certification			
			detect and identify pests			
			identify plants, plant products and other regulated articles			
			perform, supervise or audit the required phytosanitary treatments			
			perform surveys and monitoring and control activities to confirm <u>the phytosanitary status compliance with the phytosanitary import requirements to</u> <u>be</u> attested in phytosanitary certificates			

12	Outline	6	Phytosanitary certificates may have a limited duration of validity as the phytosanitary status of consignments may change after issuance of phytosanitary certificates. The NPPO of the exporting country or the importing country may make relevant stipulations. <u>Justification: this change is proposed for internal consistency within the standard. The same text appears in section 1.6, for which an ink amendment is proposed below. As this is only the outline of requirements, it is proposed that the end of the sentence could be deleted. If this is not acceptable as considered beyond consistency, similar wording as in 1.6 could be used, i.e.: "Phytosanitary certificates may have a limited duration of validity as the phytosanitary status phytosanitary security of consignments may be lost change after issuance of phytosanitary certificates. The NPPO of the exporting country or the importing country may make relevant stipulations."</u>
12	1.2	2	A phytosanitary certificate for export is usually issued by the NPPO of the country of origin. A phytosanitary certificate for export describes the consignment and, through a certifying statement, additional declarations and treatment records, declares that the phytosanitary status of the consignment meets phytosanitary import requirements. A phytosanitary certificate for export may also be issued in certain re-export situations for plants, plant products and other regulated articles originating in countries other than the country of re-export if the phytosanitary status of the consignment compliance with the phytosanitary import requirements can be attested determined by the country of re-export (e.g. by inspection).
12	1.6	1	The <u>phytosanitary security</u> phytosanitary status of consignments may <u>be lostchange</u> after issuance of phytosanitary certificates and therefore the NPPO of the exporting or re-exporting country may decide to restrict the duration of the validity of phytosanitary certificates after issuance and prior to export.
12	5 (I) Certifying statement	4	In instances where phytosanitary import requirements are not specific, the NPPO of the exporting country may certify the general status phytosanitary status of the consignment for any pests believed by it to be of phytosanitary concern.
22	3.1.4.3	1	In cases where an ALPP is established for a regulated pest, phytosanitary measures may be required to reduce the risk of entry of the specified pests into the ALPP (ISPM 20:2004). These may include: regulation of the pathways and of the articles that require control to maintain the ALPP. All pathways into and out of the ALPP should be identified. This may include the designation of points of entry, and requirements for documentation, treatment, inspection or sampling before or at entry into the area. verification of documents and of the phytosanitary status inspection of consignments including identification of intercepted specimens of specified pest and maintenance of sampling records confirmation of the application and effectiveness of required treatments documentation of any other phytosanitary procedures
23	2.5	3	In many cases, pests or signs of pests that have been detected may require identification or a specialized analysis in a laboratory or by a specialist before a determination can be made on the phytosanitary status of the consignment. It may be decided that emergency measures are needed where new or previously unknown pests are found. A system for properly documenting and maintaining samples and/or specimens should be in place to ensure trace-back to the relevant consignment and to facilitate later review of the results if necessary. Justification: the requirement is well covered in the first part of the sentence and the use of phytosanitary status here is confusing.